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#### Abstract

This paper describes Morphological Parsing of Bangla words using PC-KIMMO, based on Kimmo Koskenniemi's model of Two-level Morphology. There are three sections in the PC-KIMMO: rules section, lexicon section and grammar section. We explain here how to write these sections in PC-KIMMO to do morphological analysis for Bangla.


## 1. Introduction

Morphological analysis is one of the most important subjects in Natural Language Processing (NLP). From MT to Machine Readable Dictionary morphological parsing and generation is absolute requirement. For Bangla there is hardly any work to implement a complete morphological parser or generator. Our aim is to produce a Morphological Parser for Bangla using PC-KIMMO, which is widely used by linguistics around the world for morphological parsing and generation. PC-KIMMO is based on Kimmo Koskenniemi's famous model of Two-level Morphology in which a word is represented as a correspondence between its lexical level form and its surface level form. In this paper we discuss how to incorporate Bangla in PC-KIMMO. [1][3]

## 2. Why do morphological parsing?

Bangla has a vast inflectional system, the number of inflected and derivational forms of a certain lexicon are huge. For example there are nearly $(10 * 5)$ forms for a certain verb word in Bengali as there are 10 tenses and 5 persons and a root verb changes its form according to tense and person. For example here are 20 forms of verb root KA (था). ${ }^{1}$

[^0]

Figure 1: Different forms of verb root KA.
Other than this, there are lots of prefixes and suffixes, which can attach with a root word and form a new word. So having an exhaustive list of all these forms in the lexicon will make it huge and space consuming. So in the dictionary we will store only the root form (open morphemes) of a word and suffix, prefixes (closed morphemes) are stored in a known database. Using these we can generate other words via morphological parser.

## 3. Finite state morphological parsing

Here the goal is to divide a Bangla word into smaller subdivisions. For example if a word is given $\mathrm{KAc} \sim \mathrm{CE}$ (খाচ্ছে) to the morphological parser it will generate the output

KA + PresentContinuous + ThirdPersonNormal খা + ঘটমমন বর্তমান + নাম পুরুয

Here খा (KA) is the root morpheme and PresentContinuous, ThirdPersonNormal are morphological features. These features specify the additional information about the stem.

In order to build a morphological parser we need at least the following: (1) Lexicon (2) Morphotactics (3) Orthographic Rules. [4]

### 3.1. Lexicon

The list of stems and affixes, together with basic information about them (whether a stem is a Noun stem or a Verb stem, etc.). Every lexicon is of a certain class.
Example: Here are two examples
morpheme1:

## hAt

Class: Verb_Stem or Root
Feature: Parts of Speech = Verb
morpheme2:
ECI
Class: Tense_Person_Affix
Feature: Tense = Present Perfect

$$
\text { Person }=1 \text { st person }
$$

All the lexicons in a certain class are stored in a FSA.

### 3.2. Morphotactics

The model of morpheme ordering that explains which classes of morphemes can follow other classes of morphemes inside a word. For example, the rule that the Bengali Tense_Person_Affixes follow the Verbs, rather than precede it. Normally morphotactics is implemented using Finite State Automata (FSA).

### 3.3. Orthographic rules

These spelling rules are used to model the changes that occur in a word, usually when two morphemes combine. For example here A is turned into E: [8]

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { hAt + Present Perfect + 1st person } \\
& =\text { hAt + ECI } \\
& =\text { hEtECI (হেটেছ })
\end{aligned}
$$

## 4. Two-level morphological parsing

Morphological parsing took a new turn with Kimmo Koskenniemi 's 1983 dissertation on "Twolevel morphology: A general computational model for word-form recognition and generation". [3] The "Two Level" refers to the two levels of representation of a word - the lexical or underlying form and the surface form. There is a direct, letter-for-letter correspondence between the two levels, which is a natural application of a Finite State Transducer (FST). In the two-level model, a word is represented Koskenniemi's model is "two-level" in the sense that a word is represented as a direct, letter-for-letter correspondence between its
lexical or underlying form and its surface form. The word hEtECIIAm for example has the following twolevel representation be represented using the following two-levels, where + denotes a morpheme boundary and 0 denotes a null character. [1]

```
gloss: hAt + PERFECT + PAST + FIRST_PERSON
lexical: hAt + EC + Il + Am
surface: hEt 0 EC 0 Il 0 Am
last: hEtECIlAm
```

This is done by extending FSA (which is used to represent lexicon) to add an output for every transition. As the FSA traverses an edge from one state to another, it emits an output symbol. To do this we must add an output alphabet to our definition of Finite State Machine. This yields a Finite State Transducer (FST). This is called transducer because it can work both ways: if the lexical form is given it can generate the surface form (generation) and if the surface form is given it can parse it to lexical form (Parsing).

Normally there is not much difference in between lexical and surface representation. For the above example we can see that h is turned into $\mathrm{h}, \mathrm{t}$ is always turned into t , + is always turned into 0 , and so on. But A is turned into E . So what we have to do is to write a rule that specifies when A is turned into E . So there are two types of correspondence in two level morphological parsing: (1) Default correspondence: like $\mathrm{h}: \mathrm{h}$ in the previous example (2) Special correspondence: like $\mathrm{A}: \mathrm{E}$ in the previous example.

The two major components of the two-level model -rules and lexicon- are described below.

### 4.1. A rules component

It contains phonological rules which specify the special correspondences. The rule notation is of the following form: $\mathrm{a}->\mathrm{b}$ / c _ d [rule notation of Chomsky and Halle(1968)]. It means a is changed into b if it occurs in between c and d in lexical form.

The rules are represented as finite state devices as are done in string matching algorithm. Representing rules like the above way made the rules work in both parsing and generation stage. The application of the two-level rules is a concurrent process, in contrast to the sequential processing of generative rules.

### 4.2. A lexical component, or lexicon

The lexicon contains the indivisible words and morphemes in their lexical forms, i.e., the lexical items, as well as the morphotactic constraints.

## 5. The PC-KIMMO parser

The first implementation of the two-level model was PC-KIMMO version 1, developed by the Summer Institute of Linguistics in 1990. PC-KIMMO implemented the two components in two files: (i) the rules file (.RUL) which specifies all the orthographic rules, and (ii) the lexical file (.LEX) which specifies all the lexicons, classification of lexicons and morphotactic constraints of these classes. [1]


Figure 2: PC-KIMMO parser
The next version of PC-KIMMO, version 2, included a feature-structure unification based chart parser capable of producing parse trees. This feature requires specifying the word grammar, which was added as the third component. The word grammar is specified using a grammar (.GRM) file, so this version requires a total of 3 files for morphological processing. Now we will discuss in details how we wrote these files to implement morphological parser for Bangla. [2]

### 5.1. The rules section

Here we have to handle all the spelling changes of the root morpheme that are associated with Bangla morphological analysis. Here are two examples: [8]

Rule 1: a:e. If we are to generate the form of a verb for the tense PERFECT and there are a (আ) in the first char and consonant in the last char of the root verb then a (আ) is changed to e (এ).

For example "ak" is a root verb whose first char is $a(আ)$ and last char is $k$ (consonant). So

[^1]$=\mathrm{ek}+\mathrm{EC}+0+\mathrm{I}$
$=$ ekECI

Rule 2: A:E. If we are to generate the form of a verb for the tense PERFECT and if there are 3 chars in the root and middle char is A ( ) then change A to E (6).

For example "hAt" is a root verb which has 3 chars and middle char is A (बा). So

$$
\begin{align*}
& \text { hAt }+ \text { PERFECT }+ \text { PRESENT }+ \text { FIRST_PERSON } \\
= & \text { hAt }+ \text { EC }+0+\mathrm{I} \\
= & \mathrm{hEt}+\mathrm{EC}+0+\mathrm{I} \\
= & \mathrm{hEtECI} \tag{2}
\end{align*}
$$

To incorporate these rules we have to write rules in PC-KIMMO format. Here we will show the contents of .RUL file that handles the above two rule:

Alphabet. Here we use all the English characters to represent the Bangla character. We use one to one mapping for each Bangla character (example "আ" is "a", "‘" is " $A$ ", "ि" is " I ", " " is " E ", "ক" is " k ", "乡" is "K", "য়" is " $y$ ", " "" (hasanta) is " $\sim$ " etc). NULL, ANY, morpheme boundary and BOUNDARY symbols are as usual $0, @,+$, \# respectively.

## Section of .rul file:

## ALPHABET

bcdfghjklmnpqrstvwxyzBCDFGHJK LMNPQRSTVWXYZaeiouAEIOU + ~' NULL 0
ANY @
BOUNDARY \#
Subset:
We defined 5 subsets

## Section of .rul file:

SUBSET Cons bcdfghjklmnpqrstvwxyz B C D F G H J K L M N P QR S TV W X Y Z SUBSET Vowel a e iou
SUBSET ShortV A EIOU
SUBSET CnsVow bcdfghjklmnpqrstvwx y z A B CDEFGHIJKLMNOPQRSTUV W X Y Z

Rules. The two special correspondences given above in (1) and (2) are shown below in PC-KIMMO format: [6]

## Section of rul file:

RULE " a:e <=> _CnsVow* Cons +:0 E C" 98

| a a CnsVow Cns + E C @ |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| e @ | CnsVow | Cns |  | E C |  |
| 1: 26 | 1 | 1 |  | 1 |  |
| 2. 00 | 2 | 3 |  | 2 |  |
| 3. 00 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 |  |
| 4. 00 | 0 | 0 |  | 5 |  |
| 5. 00 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 |  |
| 6: 26 | 6 | 7 |  | 6 |  |
| 7: 26 | 1 | 1 |  | 1 |  |
| 8: 26 | 1 | 1 |  | 9 |  |
| 9. 26 |  |  |  |  |  |

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { RULE " A:E <=> +:0 Cons_Cons +:0 E C" } 117 \\
& \text { A A + Cons E C @ } \\
& \text { E@ } 0 \text { Cons E C @ } \\
& \begin{array}{lllllll}
0 & 1 & 2 & 1 & 1 & 1
\end{array} \\
& \text { 2: } 0112 \begin{array}{lllll}
1 & 1 & 3 & 1
\end{array} \\
& \text { 3: } 84211111 \\
& \text { 4: } \begin{array}{llllllll}
1 & 1 & 2 & 5 & 1 & 5 & 1
\end{array} \\
& \text { 5: } 0 \begin{array}{lllllll}
1 & 6 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1
\end{array} \\
& \text { 6: } 00112 \begin{array}{llllll} 
& 1 & 3 & 7 & 1
\end{array} \\
& \text { 7: } 0 \begin{array}{lllllll}
1 & 2 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 1
\end{array} \\
& \text { 8. } 00000 \begin{array}{lllll}
9 & 0 & 9 & 0
\end{array} \\
& \text { 9. } 0010 \quad 0 \quad 000 \\
& \text { 10. } 0000001100 \\
& \text { 11. } 00000010
\end{aligned}
$$

### 5.2. The lexicon section

Its primary task is to decompose a word into its constituent morphemes using a simple positional analysis. The positional analysis need only go far enough to ensure that all correct parses are produced but not too many incorrect parses. Co-occurrence restrictions between morpheme positions are best handled in the word grammar, not the lexicon, because that will raise complexities of morphotactic analysis. $[4,5,6]$

Verb. When a verb word is given it is divided into four parts:

1. Verb Root: KA, jA, hAt, GUr
2. Tense: GHATAMAN , PURAGHATITA , SADARAN
3. Time: BARTAMAN, ATIT, VHABISWAT
4. Person: UTTAM, MADDAM, MADDAM_ASAMMAN, NAM_SAMMAN.

For example if a word is given like this:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\text { hEtECIIAm } & =\mathrm{hAt}+\mathrm{EC}+\mathrm{Il}+\mathrm{Am} \\
& =\mathrm{hAt}+\text { Tense }+ \text { Time }+ \text { Person }
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
=\text { hAt }+ \text { PURAGHATITA }+ \text { ATIT }+ \text { UTTAM }
$$

A normal FSA will be as is shown in Figure 3.


Figure 3: FSA for verb
This obviously is a rather coarse analysis of morphotactic structure, and as such greatly overrecognizes. For example it recognizes both hAtCIIAm (হাটছিলাম) and hAtc~CIIAm (হাটচ্ছিলাম). This incorrect parse can be filtered out in the word grammar component.

Noun. A Noun word consists of an obligatory root (or indivisible stem) preceded by zero or more prefixes and followed by zero or more suffixes. Here is the regular expression of a Noun word.

$$
\text { Noun }=(\text { Prefix })^{*}+\text { Nroot }+(\text { Suffix })^{*}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { For example } \\
& \text { অনাধুনিকীকরণের } \\
& =\text { অন }+ \text { आধুনিক }+ \text { করণ }+ \text { এর } \\
& =\text { Prefix }+ \text { Nroot }+ \text { Suffix }+ \text { Suffix }
\end{aligned}
$$

While it enforces the relative order of prefixes, roots, and suffixes, it does not enforce any order among prefixes or suffixes. For example,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\text { adUnIktA } & =\text { ROOT }+ \text { SUFFIX } \\
& =\text { adUnIk }+ \text { tA } \\
\text { adrtA } & =\text { ROOT }+ \text { SUFFIX } \\
& =\text { adr }+ \text { tA }
\end{aligned}
$$

Both follow the same morpheme structure. But we know that the first one is correct and the second one is not [8]. However, this incorrect parse would be filtered out by the word grammar, which knows that the suffix + tA can only attach to an Adjective stem.

The morphotactic analysis shown above is implemented in PC-KIMMO using ALTERNATION declarations in the main lexicon file (.LEX) [6]. The alternation name stands for a positional slot, while the sub-lexicon names stand for the classes of lexical items that can fill that slot.

| ALTERNATION Vroot | ROOT1 VROOT2 |
| :--- | :--- |
| ALTERNATION Tense | SADARAN |
|  | GHATMAN |
| ALTERNATION Time | PURAGHATITA <br> BARTAMAN <br>  <br> ATIT |
| ALTERNATION Person | VHABISWAT |
|  | PERSON1 |
|  | PERSON2 |
|  | PERSON3 |
| ALTERNATION Nprefix | PERSON4 |
| NPREFIX |  |
| ALTERNATION Nroot | NROOT |
| ALTERNATION Nsuffix | NSUFFIX |
| ALTERNATION End | End |

Now we will discuss about the different sublexicons we used above. A PC-KIMMO lexicon must start with an INITIAL sublexicon. The INITIAL sublexicon in our implementation contains just two null entries, one showing path for the Verb and other for the Noun.

Some of the lexicons are shown below: (Note: Here $\backslash l f$ is the lexicon $\backslash 1 \mathrm{x}$ is its category \alt is its next state $\backslash \mathrm{gl}$ is the output when this lexicon is found, \fea is its features). The features we use here are root: v1, v2; tense: puraghatita(pg),ghataman(gh) or sadaran(sd); time: bartaman(b), atit(a), vhabiswat(v); person: uttam(ut), maddam sadaran(ms), maddam asamman(ma), nam sadaran(ns), nam samman(nm).

```
;LEXICON INITIAL
lf 0
Vx INITIAL
\alt Vroot
\gl
\lf 0
vx INITIAL
lalt Prefix
lgl
;LEXICON VROOT1(whose last char is consonant)
lf hAt
\lx VROOT1
\alt Tense
lgl hAt
fea v1 ........ [more VROOT1 lexicons]
;LEXICON VROOT2(whose last char is vowel)
lf KA
vx VROOT2
lalt Tense
lgl hAt
\fea v2 ........ [more VROOT2 lexicons]
```

```
;LEXICON SADARAN
llf 0
```

lu SADARAN
\alt Time
\gl +SADARAN
lfea sd
;LEXICON GHATAMAN
llf C
Ilx GHATAMAN
\alt Time
$\mathfrak{l g}$ +GHATAMAN
\fea gh vl
;LEXICON GHATAMAN
lif $\mathrm{c} \sim \mathrm{C}$
lix GHATAMAN
\alt Time
$\backslash \mathrm{gl}+$ GHATAMAN
\fea gh v2
;LEXICON BARTAMAN
llf 0
llx BARTAMAN
lalt Person
$\backslash \mathrm{gl}+$ BARTAMAN
$\backslash$ fea $b$
;LEXICON ATIT
llf Il
lix ATIT
lalt Person
$\backslash \mathrm{gl}+\mathrm{ATIT}$
fea a
;LEXICON VHABISWAT
lif b
llx VHABISWAT
lalt Person
\gl + VHABISWAT
$\backslash$ fea $v$
;LEXICON PERSON1
llf I
Vx PERSON1
lalt End
\gl +UTTAM
\fea ut b a
..........[other lexicons of PERSON1 specifying maddam, nam
,.....]
;LEXICON NPREFIX
If bI
Vlx NPREFIX
lalt NROOT
$\backslash \mathrm{gl}$ an+
......[more prefixes]
;LEXICON NROOT
lif adr
lix NROOT
lalt NSUFFIX
\gl adr
......[more nouns]
;LEXICON NSUFFIX
If tA
\lx NSUFFIX
\alt End
$\backslash \mathrm{gl}+\mathrm{tA}$
......[more suffixes]
;LEXICON End
Uf 0
llx End
\alt \#
\gl

### 5.3. The word grammar section

When the morphemes are given by the lexicon section they are combined using a word parser. Here co-relations between different morphemes are considered using feature unification [2, 7].

In the .GRM file first of all we have to do a feature and category definition. For example:

Let v1 be $<$ root $>=\mathrm{V} 1$
Let v2 be $<$ root $>=\mathrm{V} 2$ [Which assigns root features in root variable]

Let VROOT1 be $<$ cat $>=$ VROOT
Let VROOT2 be $<$ cat $>=$ VROOT
Let GHATAMAN be $<$ cat $>=$ TENSE
Let PURAGHATITA be $<$ cat $>=$ TENSE
Let SADARAN be <cat>=TENSE
Let BARTAMAN be $<$ cat $>=$ TIME
Let ATIT be <cat>=TIME
Let VHABISWAT be <cat>=TIME
Let PERSON1 be <cat>=PERSON
Let PERSON2 be <cat>=PERSON
Let PERSON3 be <cat $>=$ PERSON
The word grammar we used for Bangla is:
RULE Word -> Verbroot Suffix1
RULE Suffix1-> Tense Suffix2
RULE Suffix2 -> Time Person
RULE Verbroot -> VROOT
RULE Tense -> TENSE
RULE Time -> TIME
RULE Person->PERSON
RULE Word -> Prefix NSUFFIX
RULE Prefix-> NPREFIX NROOT
So when we send hEtECI to the recognizer we get the output shown in Figure 4.


Figure 4: PC-KIMMO output
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## 7. Conclusion

PC-KIMMO is an open-source software and its functions can be called from any other program like dictionary, MT, search and replace etc where morphological parsing needs to be incorporated. PCKIMMO can also handle multiple senses, compound words etc. The analysis we did here for Bangla is quite elementary. Lots of rules still need to be added to make it a complete one. Hopefully this paper can work as a guide for future morphological analysis.
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[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ Through out this paper we have used English alphabet to represent Bangla characters. For example "আ" is " " $"$ ", "ण " is " A ", "ि" is " l ", "ক" is " $k$ ", "খ" is " $K$ ", "ج"" is " $y$ ", " " "(hasanta) is " $\sim$ " etc.
    we have also assumed that the words are given in Unicode Format (vowel comes after consonant). For example খেয়েছি is represented as KEyECI.

[^1]:    ak + PERFECT + PRESENT + FIRST_PERSON
    $=\mathrm{ak}+\mathrm{EC}+0+\mathrm{I}$

