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#### Abstract

Like other developing countries, Nepal is currently going through epidemiological transition along with rising burden of Non-communicable Diseases. However, since 2013, no study investigated the prevalence and determinants of hypertension in Nepal involving nationally representative sample. Therefore, this study aimed to find out the current prevalence of hypertension in Nepal and its determinants using the latest nationally representative data obtained from Nepal Demographic and Health Survey (NDHS) 2016. The NDHS 2016 collected data on hypertension from 13,304 men and women aged 18 years and above from 5,520 urban and 5,970 rural households covering seven administrative provinces and three ecological zones. Participants were considered as hypertensive when their systolic blood pressure was $\geq 140 \mathrm{mmHg}$ and/or diastolic blood pressure was $\geq 90 \mathrm{mmHg}$ and/or they reported taking antihypertensive medication. A total of $19.9 \%$ study participants were diagnosed as hypertensive of which majority were male (male-24.3\%, female-16.9\%), ever married (ever married-21.7\%, unmarried-6.1\%) and residents of urban area (urban-20.9\%, rural- $18.3 \%$ ). Hypertension prevalence has shown growing trend with the increase of age. This prevalence was also higher among rich and overweight/obese individuals. In multivariable logistic regression analysis, older age, male gender, better education, residence at urban area and province 4 and 5 and being overweight/obese were found positive association with having hypertension. When the determinants of hypertension were stratified by sex of the participants, difference was observed in case of age group, education and place of residence. As one out of every five individuals in Nepal are hypertensive, public health initiatives are immediately required for prevention and control of hypertension to reduce mortality and morbidity associated with this progressive disease.


## Introduction

Hypertension is a form of cardiovascular disorder that results from a wide range of interconnected etiologies [1]. Untreated and uncontrolled hypertension leads to structural and functional abnormalities of cardiovascular system, which ultimately harm the vital organs of body, e.g., heart, kidneys, brain [2]. Henceforth, hypertension remains one of the foremost causes of death and disability all over the world [3]. In 2001, globally $13 \cdot 5 \%$ of total premature deaths and $6.0 \%$ of total Disability Adjusted Life Year (DALY) were caused by hypertension [4]. In addition, 9.4 million people expire every year due to hypertension related complications [5]. South Asia is the home to almost $25 \%$ of total world's population and Non-communicable diseases (NCDs) are accountable for nearly half of the disease burden in this region [6]. Hypertension and related complications are major contributors to death and disability in South Asian countries like India, Bangladesh, Nepal, Bhutan and Sri Lanka [7].

Nepal is currently going through epidemiological transition along with rising burden of NCDs [8]. Different studies have found that prevalence of hypertension among Nepalese population is between $21 \%$ and $34 \%$ [9-14]. Two cross-sectional studies conducted in a rural area of the Kathmandu valley have found that the burden of hypertension increased almost threefold within 25 years period of time from 1981 to 2006 among individuals aged 21 years and above [11]. In Nepal, the World Health Organization (WHO) implemented 'STEP wise approach to surveillance' (STEPS) using nationally representative sample in 2008 and 2013 to identify the prevalence and risk factors of major NCDs. These surveys also found that hypertension prevalence among 15-69 years Nepalese population rose from 21.5\% in 2008 to $26.0 \%$ in 2013 [1, 2]. However, since 2013, no study has been carried out in Nepal involving nationally representative sample that might give information about current magnitude of this disease. In addition, previous studies excluded population who were more than 70 years old, which impedes to get complete idea about the burden of hypertension in Nepal. Therefore, this study aimed to find out the current prevalence of hypertension in Nepal along with its determinants among adult Nepalese population (aged $\geq 18$ years) using the latest nationally representative data obtained from Nepal Demographic and Health Survey (NDHS) 2016. Findings of our study will offer a strong insight to the pertinent stakeholders on the current scenario of hypertension in Nepal, which eventually will help them to set target specific intervention for different group of people on priority basis for prevention and control of this progressive disease.

## Materials and methods

## Study design

Nepal Demographic and Health Survey (NDHS) 2016 data was used to conduct this study, which is a nationally representative survey. Measure Evaluation carries out and manages the survey all over the world, however, in Nepal it was conducted by NEW ERA under the supervision of the Ministry of Health, Nepal (MOH). The NDHS 2016 was conducted in last half of 2016 and used revised version of sampling frame of National Population and Housing Census (NPHC). The sampling frame was revised because in Nepal, urban/rural classification has been changed at the ward level; some new places have been declared as municipalities and some municipalities have been reformed in 2015. This change also divided Nepal into seven provinces (province 1, province 2, province 3, province 4, province 5, province 6 , and province 7). Every province was split into urban and rural areas and comprises several districts. Rural areas and urban areas were then subdivided into wards, however, urban areas were further
divided into enumeration area (EA) because of having more household than rural areas. Wards were considered as primary sampling unit (PSU) for both urban areas and rural areas [15].

The NDHS 2016 used two stage stratified cluster sample of households and the stratification was achieved based on urban and rural settings. In the first stage of sampling, PSUs were nominated by probability proportional to size followed by systematic selection of households from individual PSU during second stage of sampling. However, in urban settings, three stage stratified cluster sample technique was used for household selection. In the first stage, PSUs were chosen by probability proportional to size. However, in second stage, EAs were randomly selected from PSUs followed by systematic selection of households during third stage of sampling. Primarily, 383 wards were selected, of which 184 wards were from urban settings and 199 were from rural settings. From all the selected wards, total 11,490 households (urban5,520 households and rural- 5,970 households) were chosen for survey. The full NDHS report was published earlier [15].

## Study participants

In NDHS (2016), 49,064 individuals were interviewed, however, BP was measured among 15,162 participants. In our study, we included 13,304 men and women aged 18 years and above whose blood pressure was measured after excluding respondents aged $<18$ years $(\mathrm{n}=1,446)$, missing values $(\mathrm{n}=395)$ and extreme values $(\mathrm{n}=17)($ Fig 1).

## Outcome of interest

Hypertension was considered as the outcome variable of this study. Blood pressure was measured three times for individual participant by UA-767F/FAC (A\&D Medical) blood pressure monitor. In this survey, first measurement was discarded and then average of second and third measurements was recorded to identify whether the participant was hypertensive or not. A participant having systolic blood pressure $\geq 140 \mathrm{mmHg}$ and/or diastolic blood pressure $\geq 90$ mmHg was considered as hypertensive [16, 17]. Additionally, Participants taking antihypertensive medication irrespective of their blood pressure during the survey was considered as hypertensive. On the other hand, participants having systolic blood pressure $\geq 120 \mathrm{mmHg}$ but $<140 \mathrm{mmHg}$ and/or diastolic blood pressure $\geq 80 \mathrm{mmHg}$ but $<90 \mathrm{mmHg}$ was considered as pre-hypertensive. For this paper, pre-hypertensive and normotensive were combined as nonhypertensive to make the variable dichotomous.

## Determinants

Age, sex, marital status, education, wealth index, working status, ecological zone, province of residence, place of residence, body mass index, drinking alcohol and caffeine use were considered as determinants of hypertension in this study. Wealth index was segregated into five groups (poorest, poorer, middle, richer, and richest) and was calculated by principal component analysis [15]. Ecologically, Nepal was divided into Mountain, Hill, and the Terai. Moreover, administratively Nepal was divided into seven provinces in 2015 [15]. The body mass index (BMI) was categorized into underweight ( $<18.5 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{m}^{2}$ ), normal ( 18.5 to $24.9 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{m}^{2}$ ), overweight ( 25.0 to $29.9 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{m}^{2}$ ) and obese ( $\geq 30.0 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{m}^{2}$ ).

## Statistical analysis

All the statistical analyses were performed using Stata 13.0. Univariate analysis of selected variables was performed and presented in terms of frequency. Later, bivariate analysis (cross
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Fig 1. Process of selection of participants.
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tabulation) between dependent variable (hypertension) and individual covariate was carried out followed by chi-square test to see the proportional difference between them. Multivariable logistic regression was done to find out the determinants of hypertension. Moreover, multivariable logistic regression was run separately for both male and female participants to see
whether the risk factors differ between male and female participants. Both crude and adjusted odds ratio were calculated for each covariate at $95 \%$ level of confidence. A determinant was considered significant with $p$ value $<.05$. Sample weight calculated for NDHS 2016 was used for this paper.

## Ethical consideration

The ethical clearance for NDHS 2016 was taken from Nepal Research council and ICF Macro Institutional Review Board in Calverton, Maryland, USA. Informed written consent was obtained from each respondent prior to the interview.

## Results

A total of 13,304 participants were included in this study of which majority were female (58.1\%), ever married (88.3\%) and from urban settings (61.1\%) (Table 1). More than onethird of our study participants received no education (41.0\%). Nearly half of the participants were residents of ecological zone Terai ( $49.6 \%$ ) and just over one-fifth were from Province 3 $(21.9 \%)$. The median age $( \pm$ SD) of the study participants was $38( \pm 16.7)$ years. Highest proportion of these population were from 25-34 years age group ( $23.2 \%$ ) followed by 18-24 years age group ( $20.6 \%$ ). Most of the study participants ( $62.0 \%$ ) had normal BMI, however, $16.7 \%$ were underweight and $21.4 \%$ were overweight or obese. The overall mean systolic $\mathrm{BP}( \pm \mathrm{SD})$ of the participants was $116.31( \pm 19.36) \mathrm{mmHg}$ and the mean diastolic $\mathrm{BP}( \pm \mathrm{SD})$ was $77.89( \pm 11.59)$ mmHg .

A total of $19.9 \%$ of our study participants were identified as hypertensive, however, the prevalence was $31.3 \%$ among $\geq 35$ years old respondents. Hypertension prevalence has shown growing trend with the increase of age. Among the study participants aged 65 years or more, the prevalence was highest (41.7\%), followed by 55-64 years old (34.9\%) (Table 1). Noticeably, one out of every five individuals of $35-44$ years age group ( $20.8 \%$ ) and one out of every four individuals of 45-54 years age group (27.9\%) were hypertensive. This prevalence was significantly higher among male participants (male-24.3\%, female-16.9\%; $p<0.001$ ); ever married individuals (ever married-21.7\%, unmarried-6.3\%; $p<0.001$ ) and residents of urban area (urban-20.9\%, rural- $18.3 \% ; p<0.001$ ). Higher prevalence of hypertension was also seen among the individuals who received no education (23.7\%) or attained only primary education $(21.5 \%)$ than their counterparts who accomplished secondary education (16.1\%) or higher education (14.5\%). Prevalence of hypertension was, moreover, greater among participants from rich wealth quintile (22.5\%) than the participants from other wealth quintiles. Overweight and obese individuals experienced highest prevalence of hypertension (35.6\%) than the individuals having normal (16.9\%) or low (13.0\%) BMI. This prevalence was also higher among respondents who used to drink alcohol (alcohol-32.1\%, no alcohol-19.7\%; $p=0.001$ ) and caffeine (caffeine-30.7\%, no caffeine-19.0\%; $p<0.001$ ). In Nepal, the prevalence of hypertension shown strong geographic trends, with the highest burden in hill area (22.6\%) and in Province 4 (27.5\%).

Among the hypertensive patients, only $23 \%$ were taking medications. Rest of them were newly diagnosed.

Table 2 demonstrates the logistic regression analysis with Crude Odds Ratios (COR) and Adjusted Odds Ratio (AOR) at 95\% level of confidence. In the final model, respondents' age, sex, education, BMI, place of residence, and province of residence were found significantly associated with the status of hypertension. From multivariable logistic regression analysis, it was revealed that participants having 65 or more years of age and 55-64 years of age were respectively nineteen times (AOR $=19.752,95 \% \mathrm{CI}: 14.737-26.472, p<0.001$ ) and twelve times

Table 1. Characteristic of the study participants by hypertension status (weighted) and prevalence of hypertension, Nepal Demographic and Health Survey (NDHS), 2016 ( $\mathrm{N}=13,304$ ).

|  | Total |  | No Hypertension |  | Hypertension |  | Prevalence of Hypertension 19.9 | p-value |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Overall | 13,304 | - | 10,649 | 80.1 | 2,655 | 19.1 |  |  |
| Variables | n | \% | n | \% | n | \% | \% |  |
| Age Group (in Years) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $<0.001$ |
| 18-24 | 2,768 | 20.6 | 2,648 | 24.7 | 120 | 4.3 | 4.3 |  |
| 25-34 | 3,030 | 23.2 | 2,714 | 26.1 | 316 | 11.8 | 10.4 |  |
| 35-44 | 2,486 | 18.8 | 1,970 | 18.6 | 516 | 19.9 | 20.8 |  |
| 45-54 | 2,029 | 14.9 | 1,463 | 13.3 | 566 | 21.5 | 27.9 |  |
| 55-64 | 1,601 | 11.8 | 1,043 | 9.6 | 558 | 20.6 | 34.9 |  |
| $\geq 65$ | 1,390 | 10.7 | 811 | 7.8 | 579 | 21.9 | 41.7 |  |
| Sex |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $<0.001$ |
| Male | 5,499 | 42.0 | 4,164 | 39.8 | 1,335 | 50.4 | 24.3 |  |
| Female | 7,805 | 58.1 | 6,485 | 60.2 | 1,320 | 49.6 | 16.9 |  |
| Marital Status |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $<0.001$ |
| Unmarried | 1,481 | 11.7 | 1,388 | 13.82 | 93 | 3.6 | 6.3 |  |
| Ever married | 11,823 | 88.3 | 9,261 | 86.18 | 2,562 | 96.4 | 21.7 |  |
| Education |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $<0.001$ |
| No education | 5,515 | 41.0 | 4,206 | 39.2 | 1,309 | 48.1 | 23.7 |  |
| Primary education | 2,281 | 17.2 | 1,791 | 16.9 | 490 | 18.2 | 21.5 |  |
| Secondary education | 3,676 | 27.4 | 3,085 | 28.6 | 591 | 23.0 | 16.1 |  |
| Higher education | 1,832 | 14.4 | 1,567 | 15.3 | 265 | 10.8 | 14.5 |  |
| Wealth index |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $<0.001$ |
| Poor | 5,600 | 37.3 | 4,553 | 37.9 | 1,047 | 35.2 | 18.7 |  |
| Middle | 2,653 | 20.0 | 2,179 | 20.7 | 474 | 17.2 | 17.9 |  |
| Rich | 5,051 | 42.7 | 3,917 | 41.4 | 1,134 | 47.7 | 22.5 |  |
| Place of residence |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $<0.001$ |
| Urban | 8,395 | 61.1 | 6,639 | 60.1 | 1,756 | 65.0 | 20.9 |  |
| Rural | 4,909 | 38.9 | 4,010 | 39.9 | 899 | 35.0 | 18.3 |  |
| Ecological zone |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $<0.001$ |
| Mountain | 994 | 6.4 | 833 | 6.6 | 161 | 5.6 | 16.2 |  |
| Hill | 6,062 | 44.0 | 4,695 | 42.4 | 1,367 | 50.3 | 22.6 |  |
| The Terai | 6,248 | 49.6 | 5,121 | 51.0 | 1,127 | 44.2 | 18.0 |  |
| Province of Residence |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $<0.001$ |
| Province 1 | 1,989 | 17.6 | 1,608 | 17.8 | 381 | 16.7 | 19.2 |  |
| Province 2 | 2,230 | 20.6 | 1,866 | 21.8 | 364 | 15.9 | 16.3 |  |
| Province 3 | 1,904 | 21.9 | 1,445 | 20.8 | 459 | 25.9 | 24.1 |  |
| Province 4 | 1,785 | 10.3 | 1,294 | 9.4 | 491 | 14.1 | 27.5 |  |
| Province 5 | 1,991 | 16.3 | 1,548 | 15.9 | 443 | 17.8 | 22.3 |  |
| Province 6 | 1,619 | 5.0 | 1,351 | 5.3 | 268 | 3.9 | 16.6 |  |
| Province 7 | 1,786 | 8.3 | 1,537 | 8.9 | 249 | 5.8 | 13.9 |  |
| Body Mass Index (BMI) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $<0.001$ |
| Underweight | 2,207 | 16.7 | 1,920 | 18.1 | 287 | 11.0 | 13.0 |  |
| Normal | 8,470 | 62.0 | 7,036 | 64.4 | 1,434 | 52.4 | 16.9 |  |
| Overweight and Obese | 2,627 | 21.4 | 1,693 | 17.5 | 934 | 36.6 | 35.6 |  |
| Drinking alcohol |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.001 |
| No | 13,080 | 98.41 | 10,497 | 98.61 | 2,583 | 97.6 | 19.7 |  |
| Yes | 224 | 1.59 | 152 | 1.39 | 72 | 2.4 | 32.1 |  |

(Continued)

Table 1. (Continued)

|  | Total |  | No Hypertension |  | Hypertension |  | Prevalence of Hypertension |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Overall | $\mathbf{1 3 , 3 0 4}$ | - | $\mathbf{1 0 , 6 4 9}$ | $\mathbf{8 0 . 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 , 6 5 5}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 . 1}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 . 9}$ |  |
| Variables | $\mathbf{n}$ | $\%$ | $\mathbf{n}$ | $\mathbf{\%}$ | $\mathbf{n}$ | \% |  |  |
| Caffeine use |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No | 12,273 | 92.12 | 9,935 | 93.1 | 2,338 | 8 |  |  |
| Yes | 1,031 | 7.88 | 714 | 6.9 | 317 |  |  |  |

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0198028.t001
(AOR $=12.773,95 \%$ CI: $9.625-16.951, p<0.001$ ) more likely to be hypertensive compared to those who were 18-24 years old. Female gender was found negatively associated with developing hypertension after adjusting for potential confounders (AOR $=0.742,95 \% \mathrm{CI}: 0.658$ $0.836, p<0.001$ ). Education, additionally, was found to be associated with status of hypertension among our study participants. In our study, respondents who attained primary education and secondary education were $24 \%(\mathrm{AOR}=1.239,95 \% \mathrm{CI}: 1.056-1.453, p=0.009)$ and $27 \%$ ( $\mathrm{AOR}=1.268,95 \% \mathrm{CI}: 1.072-1.499, p=0.006$ ) more likely to be hypertensive than those who had no education. Noticeably, there was no significant association with higher education and hypertension (AOR $=1.246,95 \% \mathrm{CI}: 0.994-1.562, p=0.057$ ). Residence in province 4 and 5 were also found positively associated with developing hypertension among our study participants however, negative association was found with residence in rural settings ( $\mathrm{AOR}=0.882$, $95 \%$ CI: $0.788-0.988, p=0.030$ ). Drinking alcohol or using caffeine had no significant association with the risk of having hypertension in our study.

When the determinants of hypertension were stratified by sex of the participants, difference was observed in case of age group, education and place of residence (Table 3). For instance, female of $35-44$ years and $45-54$ years age groups were five times (AOR $=5.032,95 \% \mathrm{CI}$ : $3.539-7.155, p<0.001$ ) and nine times ( $\mathrm{AOR}=9.038,95 \% \mathrm{CI}: 6.272-13.023, p<0.001$ ) more likely to develop hypertension respectively than the female of 18-24 years age group. However, the risk of developing hypertension was four times (AOR $=4.344,95 \% \mathrm{CI}$ : 2.815-6.705, $p<0.001$ ) and seven times ( $\mathrm{AOR}=7.203,95 \% \mathrm{CI}: 4.638-11.186, p<0.001$ ) higher for 35-44 years and 45-54 years age male than the male of 18-24 years age group. Similarly, female of $55-64$ years and $\geq 65$ years age groups were fifteen times (AOR $=15.340,95 \% \mathrm{CI}: 10.488$ $22.436, p<0.001$ ) and twenty-six times (AOR $=26.408,95 \% \mathrm{CI}: 17.805-39.167, p<0.001$ ) more likely to develop hypertension respectively, though, the risk of developing hypertension was ten times ( $\mathrm{AOR}=10.179,95 \% \mathrm{CI}: 6.531-15.865, p<0.001$ ) and fifteen times $(\mathrm{AOR}=15.112$, $95 \%$ CI: 9.611-23.763, $p<0.001$ ) higher for 35-44 years and 45-54 years age male respectively. Among male participants of our study who lived in the rural area had $22 \%$ lower risk of being hypertensive ( $\mathrm{AOR}=0.788,95 \% \mathrm{CI}: 0.671-0.925, p=0.004$ ) than the urban residents, however, no such association was found in females. Additionally, men receiving education were more likely to have hypertension than their counterparts having no education (primaryAOR $=1.452,95 \%$ CI: $1.165-1.811, p=0.001 ;$ secondary - AOR $=1.497,95 \%$ CI: $1.186-1.889$, $p=0.001$; higher - AOR $=1.396,95 \%$ CI: $1.186-1.889, p=0.029$ ), nevertheless, no such association was found in case of females. Moreover, men from Hills zone showed $43 \%$ more risk of being hypertensive than the male residents from the mountain region ( $\mathrm{AOR}=1.434,95 \% \mathrm{CI}$ : $1.045-1.968, p=0.025$ ), though, among female respondents, no association was found between status of hypertension and ecological zone. Overweight and obesity as well as residing in province 4 and 5 were found as significant predictors of hypertension among both male and female participants of our study.

Table 2. Logistic regression analysis of determinants of hypertension among adults in Nepal, Nepal Demographic and Health Survey (NDHS), 2016 ( $\mathrm{N}=13,304$ ).

| Variables | COR* | CI** | p-value | AOR ${ }^{* * *}$ | CI** | $p$-value |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Age Group (in Years) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 18-24 | Ref. |  |  | Ref. |  |  |
| 25-34 | 2.623 | 2.059-3.341 | <0.001 | 2.101 | 1.604-2.752 | $<0.001$ |
| 35-44 | 6.199 | 4.93-7.795 | <0.001 | 4.852 | 3.708-6.348 | <0.001 |
| 45-54 | 9.393 | 7.472-11.809 | <0.001 | 8.337 | 6.329-10.981 | <0.001 |
| 55-64 | 12.485 | 9.915-15.722 | <0.001 | 12.773 | 9.625-16.951 | $<0.001$ |
| $\geq 65$ | 16.171 | 12.813-20.408 | <0.001 | 19.752 | 14.737-26.472 | <0.001 |
| Sex |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Male | Ref. |  |  | Ref. |  |  |
| Female | 0.650 | 0.588-0.718 | $<0.001$ | 0.742 | 0.658-0.836 | $<0.001$ |
| Marital Status |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Unmarried |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Ever married | 4.340 | 3.435-5.484 | $<0.001$ | 1.112 | 0.835-1.481 | 0.466 |
| Education |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No education | Ref. |  |  | Ref. |  |  |
| Primary education | 0.878 | 0.766-1.006 | 0.061 | 1.239 | 1.056-1.453 | 0.009 |
| Secondary education | 0.657 | 0.579-0.745 | <0.001 | 1.268 | 1.072-1.499 | 0.006 |
| Higher education | 0.574 | 0.482-0.683 | <0.001 | 1.246 | 0.994-1.562 | 0.057 |
| Wealth index |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Poor | Ref. |  |  | Ref. |  |  |
| Middle | 0.892 | 0.781-1.018 | 0.091 | 0.973 | 0.829-1.141 | 0.733 |
| Rich | 1.241 | 1.113-1.383 | <0.001 | 1.054 | 0.908-1.224 | 0.486 |
| Place of residence |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | Ref. |  |  | Ref. |  |  |
| Rural | 0.813 | 0.736-0.899 | $<0.001$ | 0.882 | 0.788-0.988 | 0.030 |
| Ecological zone |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mountain | Ref. |  |  | Ref. |  |  |
| Hill | 1.410 | 1.157-1.717 | 0.001 | 1.106 | 0.885-1.381 | 0.375 |
| The Terai | 1.030 | 0.847-1.252 | 0.768 | 0.957 | 0.742-1.235 | 0.736 |
| Province of Residence |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Province 1 | Ref. |  |  | Ref. |  |  |
| Province 2 | 0.777 | 0.658-0.918 | 0.003 | 0.990 | 0.816-1.201 | 0.920 |
| Province 3 | 1.330 | 1.12-1.579 | 0.001 | 1.178 | 0.966-1.435 | 0.105 |
| Province 4 | 1.603 | 1.369-1.878 | <0.001 | 1.410 | 1.17-1.699 | <0.001 |
| Province 5 | 1.195 | 1.019-1.4 | 0.028 | 1.382 | 1.159-1.649 | <0.001 |
| Province 6 | 0.782 | 0.65-0.941 | 0.009 | 0.990 | 0.797-1.23 | 0.929 |
| Province 7 | 0.695 | 0.579-0.834 | <0.001 | 0.877 | 0.718-1.07 | 0.195 |
| Body Mass Index (BMI) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Underweight | Ref. |  |  | Ref. |  |  |
| Normal | 1.333 | 1.136-1.563 | $<0.001$ | 1.612 | 1.355-1.916 | <0.001 |
| Overweight and Obese | 3.436 | 2.888-4.089 | <0.001 | 3.999 | 3.264-4.900 | <0.001 |
| Drinking alcohol |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No | Ref. |  |  | Ref. |  |  |
| Yes | 1.738 | 1.268-2.382 | 0.001 | 1.063 | 0.756-1.495 | 0.726 |
| Caffeine use |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No | Ref. |  |  | Ref. |  |  |

(Continued)

Table 2. (Continued)

| Variables | COR $^{*}$ | CI $^{* *}$ | p-value | AOR $^{* * *}$ | CI $^{* *}$ |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Yes | 1.789 | $1.52-2.105$ | $<0.001$ | 1.178 | $0.984-1.411$ | 0.074 |

*COR: Crude Odds Ratio.
${ }^{* *} \mathrm{CI}$ : Confidence Interval.
***AOR: Adjusted Odds Ratio.
https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0198028.t002

## Discussion

## Prevalence of hypertension

To the best of our knowledge, this was the first reported study that investigated the prevalence and determinants of hypertension among Nepalese population using the latest nationally representative data obtained from NDHS 2016. Finding of our study reveals that about one-fifth (19.9\%) of Nepalese adults were hypertensive. Prevalence was higher among older age group, ever married, rich and male participants; participants having no education, residing in urban area, hill zone and province 4 ; participants drinking alcohol, using caffeine and with higher BMI. In our study, older age, male gender, receiving education, urban residence, living in province 4 and 5 as well as being overweight or obese were found to have positive association with being hypertensive.

In our study, the overall prevalence of hypertension was found as $19.9 \%$. This prevalence is lower than the estimates found in 2013 WHO STEPS survey conducted among 15-69 years old Nepalese people (25.7\%) [14]. This difference might be due to dissimilarity in survey design, age of the participants and other methodological issues. Prevalence of hypertension was found $29.6 \%$ in our study while measured for $\geq 35$ years old respondents. This prevalence is higher than the prevalence of hypertension in Bangladesh among similar age group participants but lower than that of Pakistan. According to the Bangladesh Demographic and Health Survey (2011), 24.4\% adults aged 35 years or older were hypertensive in Bangladesh [18]. On the other hand, in Pakistan, $42.3 \% 35$ to 74 years of age had hypertension [19]. As one out of every five adults is hypertensive, it can be undoubtedly stated that a huge burden of hypertension is currently prevailing in Nepal. Untreated and uncontrolled high blood pressure is the reason for developing a wide range of complications e.g. ischemic heart disease, pulmonary hypertension, heart failure, renal disease, conduction disorders and cerebrovascular diseases like stroke [20]. It is also attributable to premature deaths and disability [20]. In addition, hypertension driven complications uplift the expense of medical care [21]. Therefore, it is a timely need for policy makers of the country to offer prevention and control of hypertension the highest priority considering its health and economic burden.

## Determinants of hypertension

It was revealed from our study that, the risk of developing hypertension upturned with increasing age and the risk was almost 20 -fold higher (AOR = 19.752) for respondents aged 65 years or more. Age is a non-modifiable factor of hypertension [22]. As Nepal is currently confronting demographic transition due to declining fertility rate and improved life expectancy, the rise of number of elderly group of people is imminent in upcoming days [23]. This incident has the potential to upsurge the number of hypertensive patients in Nepal and therefore the burden of this disease seems to intensify in forthcoming times [24]. Male gender was also found to have higher risk of developing hypertension compared to their female counterparts in our study. This gender difference in case of developing hypertension has been well

Table 3. Logistic regression analysis of determinants of hypertension among adults in Nepal stratified by sex, Nepal Demographic and Health Survey (NDHS), 2016 (N = 13,304).

| Variables | Female ( $\mathrm{n}=7,805$ ) |  |  |  |  |  | Male ( $\mathrm{n}=5,499$ ) |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | COR | CI | p-value | AOR | CI | p-value | COR | CI | p-value | AOR | CI | p-value |
| Age Group (in Years) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 18-24 | Ref. |  |  | Ref. |  |  | Ref. |  |  | Ref. |  |  |
| 25-34 | 2.194 | 1.538-3.13 | $<0.001$ | 1.611 | 1.112-2.334 | 0.012 | 3.330 | 2.378-4.663 | $<0.001$ | 2.706 | 1.778-4.119 | $<0.001$ |
| 35-44 | 6.877 | 4.99-9.477 | $<0.001$ | 5.032 | 3.539-7.155 | $<0.001$ | 5.581 | 4.015-7.757 | $<0.001$ | 4.344 | 2.815-6.705 | $<0.001$ |
| 45-54 | 10.915 | 7.926-15.03 | $<0.001$ | 9.038 | 6.272-13.023 | <0.001 | 7.822 | 5.632-10.864 | $<0.001$ | 7.203 | 4.638-11.186 | $<0.001$ |
| 55-64 | 15.892 | 11.495-21.97 | $<0.001$ | 15.340 | 10.488-22.436 | <0.001 | 9.365 | 6.738-13.017 | $<0.001$ | 10.179 | 6.531-15.865 | $<0.001$ |
| $\geq 65$ | 22.453 | 16.187-31.144 | $<0.001$ | 26.408 | 17.805-39.167 | $<0.001$ | 11.117 | 7.973-15.501 | $<0.001$ | 15.112 | 9.611-23.763 | $<0.001$ |
| Marital Status |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Unmarried | Ref. |  |  | Ref. |  |  | Ref. |  |  | Ref. |  |  |
| Ever married | 5.563 | 3.619-8.552 | $<0.001$ | 1.436 | 0.902-2.284 | 0.127 | 4.394 | $3.31-5.833$ | $<0.001$ | 1.090 | 0.729-1.632 | 0.674 |
| Education |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No education | Ref. |  |  | Ref. |  |  | Ref. |  |  | Ref. |  |  |
| Primary education | 0.597 | 0.485-0.736 | $<0.001$ | 1.189 | 0.923-1.532 | 0.180 | 1.053 | 0.862-1.286 | 0.615 | 1.452 | 1.165-1.811 | 0.001 |
| Secondary education | 0.384 | 0.315-0.469 | <0.001 | 1.129 | 0.866-1.473 | 0.369 | 0.833 | 0.692-1.003 | 0.054 | 1.497 | 1.186-1.889 | 0.001 |
| Higher education | 0.348 | 0.252-0.481 | <0.001 | 1.228 | 0.832-1.811 | 0.301 | 0.717 | 0.569-0.903 | 0.005 | 1.396 | 1.035-1.883 | 0.029 |
| Wealth index |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Poor | Ref. |  |  | Ref. |  |  | Ref. |  |  | Ref. |  |  |
| Middle | 0.922 | 0.77-1.105 | 0.380 | 0.999 | 0.8-1.247 | 0.993 | 0.860 | 0.706-1.048 | 0.135 | 0.932 | 0.74-1.174 | 0.552 |
| Rich | 1.285 | 1.106-1.493 | 0.001 | 1.094 | 0.886-1.35 | 0.405 | 1.167 | 0.997-1.367 | 0.055 | 0.991 | 0.802-1.225 | 0.935 |
| Place of residence |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | Ref. |  |  | Ref. |  |  | Ref. |  |  | Ref. |  |  |
| Rural | 0.878 | 0.765-1.007 | 0.063 | 0.989 | 0.844-1.159 | 0.892 | 0.751 | 0.648-0.869 | $<0.001$ | 0.788 | 0.671-0.925 | 0.004 |
| Ecological zone |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mountain | Ref. |  |  | Ref. |  |  | Ref. |  |  | Ref. |  |  |
| Hill | 1.184 | 0.905-1.549 | 0.218 | 0.863 | 0.631-1.181 | 0.357 | 1.741 | 1.301-2.33 | $<0.001$ | 1.434 | 1.045-1.968 | 0.025 |
| The Terai | 0.933 | 0.715-1.218 | 0.611 | 0.833 | 0.583-1.192 | 0.317 | 1.158 | 0.869-1.543 | 0.318 | 1.134 | 0.788-1.632 | 0.498 |
| Province of Residence |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Province 1 | Ref. |  |  | Ref. |  |  | Ref. |  |  | Ref. |  |  |
| Province 2 | 0.723 | 0.575-0.91 | 0.006 | 1.029 | 0.784-1.35 | 0.837 | 0.835 | 0.657-1.063 | 0.144 | 0.973 | 0.739-1.282 | 0.847 |
| Province 3 | 1.178 | 0.93-1.491 | 0.174 | 1.050 | 0.793-1.391 | 0.731 | 1.501 | 1.166-1.93 | 0.002 | 1.334 | 1.006-1.77 | 0.045 |
| Province 4 | 1.497 | 1.207-1.856 | 0.000 | 1.417 | 1.091-1.841 | 0.009 | 1.773 | 1.401-2.243 | $<0.001$ | 1.442 | 1.1-1.891 | 0.008 |
| Province 5 | 1.130 | 0.911-1.403 | 0.266 | 1.346 | 1.055-1.716 | 0.017 | 1.287 | 1.017-1.628 | 0.036 | 1.421 | 1.096-1.842 | 0.008 |
| Province 6 | 0.568 | 0.434-0.743 | <0.001 | 0.794 | 0.579-1.088 | 0.151 | 1.070 | 0.825-1.388 | 0.608 | 1.237 | 0.913-1.677 | 0.169 |
| Province 7 | 0.556 | 0.43-0.719 | <0.001 | 0.685 | 0.513-0.915 | 0.010 | 0.911 | 0.701-1.184 | 0.486 | 1.087 | 0.82-1.441 | 0.562 |
| Body Mass Index (BMI) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Underweight | Ref. |  |  | Ref. |  |  | Ref. |  |  | Ref. |  |  |
| Normal | 1.225 | 0.995-1.508 | 0.056 | 1.787 | 1.416-2.254 | $<0.001$ | 1.382 | 1.079-1.771 | 0.011 | 1.519 | 1.174-1.965 | 0.001 |
| Overweight and Obese | 3.217 | 2.574-4.02 | $<0.001$ | 4.381 | 3.339-5.748 | $<0.001$ | 4.044 | 3.069-5.33 | $<0.001$ | 3.950 | 2.908-5.364 | $<0.001$ |
| Drinking alcohol | Ref. |  |  | Ref. |  |  | Ref. |  |  | Ref. |  |  |
| No |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Yes | 2.414 | 1.317-4.422 | 0.004 | 1.130 | 0.568-2.247 | 0.728 | 1.308 | 0.903-1.895 | 0.156 | 1.075 | 0.73-1.583 | 0.714 |
| Caffeine use |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No | Ref. |  |  | Ref. |  |  | Ref. |  |  | Ref. |  |  |
| Yes | 1.947 | 1.526-2.484 | $<0.001$ | 1.146 | 0.871-1.508 | 0.330 | 1.525 | 1.224-1.900 | $<0.001$ | 1.191 | 0.939-1.512 | 0.150 |

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0198028.t003
documented in previous researches conducted in Nepal [11,14,25] and in other countries [14,26-28].

In our study, we found that education has a positive relationship with the risk of being hypertensive. This finding is supported by previous studies conducted in six middle income countries [29] and in Bangladesh [30] where it was reported that prevalence of hypertension was greater among individuals who received higher education. Sedentary life style and intake of high calorie diet by the population belonging to higher educational status might explain this pattern [31-33]. However, we did not find positive association between higher socio economic status with the risk of experiencing hypertension which was exhibited in other studies [30,31]. Moreover, the urban residents of Nepal had higher odds of having hypertension, which is coherent with the findings of previous researches conducted in different geographical and cultural context across the continents e.g. Nepal, Bangladesh, Uganda and Kenya [14,30,34-36]. Sedentary lifestyles coupled with junk food consumption, which has been emerged as an inevitable consequence of urbanization, were reported as the foremost reasons of this in those studies $[34,37,38]$. Overweight and obesity was emerged as a significant factor associated with hypertension in our study. This relationship was also shown in previous studies in different parts of the world [28,29-32,39-41]. Noticeably, WHO STEPS survey 2013 has reported that prevalence of excessive BMI has been growing in both urban and rural areas of Nepal, whereas, the level of physical activity was very low among these people [14]. As Nepal is confronting nutritional transition, upward trend of the overweight and obesity is expected to persist in upcoming years that, in turn, can expand the burden of hypertension in Nepal.

## Recommendations

Considering the emerging demographic, nutritional and epidemiological transition, stakeholders of Nepal should keep focus on prevention and control of hypertension. Recently, NCD s have been admitted as an important public health issue in the National Health Policies and National Health Sector Plans of Nepal [42]. For instance, NCD related services are being offered using the existing health system [43]. However, current NCD platforms are mostly focused on health promotion activities using Behavior Change Communication (BCC) [43]. NCDs are not part of the essential health care service package (ESP) and health care providers are not well trained, therefore, majority of the people do not have access to good quality of care for NCDs like hypertension and diabetes [44]. In addition, antihypertensive drug is not included in ESP, hence, availability of essential medications at no or low cost is still not the reality for Nepalese people [44]. Therefore, it is a timely need for pertinent stakeholders of Nepal to introduce a novel approach to generate financially sustainable and target specific programs for early diagnosis, treatment and control of hypertension through a group of welltrained health care providers. Offering antihypertensive drugs at no or low cost and ensuring enabling environment for lifestyle modification is also needed in this regard. High-risk group of population ought to be given priority while designing community-based programs for screening, diagnosis and management of hypertension. Further research is additionally warranted in order to identify implementation challenges of current programs and to imply this knowledge in future. Additionally, strong public private partnership involving different government and non-government organizations should work together to combat the current burden of hypertension in Nepal.

## Strengths and limitations

As this study utilized a nationally representative sample from Nepal, the results can be generalized to the target population ( $\geq 18$ years old population in Nepal). Moreover, due to the
utilization of standard and valid tools for data collection by NDHS, the probability of existence of measurement error is less in our study in comparison to other single cross sectional studies conducted in Nepal. However, this study has some potential limitations. We could not measure the associations between hypertension and several important determinants like dietary habits, physical activity, diabetes, salt intake, smoking and family history of hypertension due to absence of the data in the survey.

## Conclusions

Non-communicable diseases are now a global concern, as they not only cause premature death but also impose huge health and economic burden on a nation. Our study indicates that, in Nepal, one out of every five individuals aged 18 years or above is hypertensive. Older age, male gender, receiving education and being overweight or obese were found positive association with being hypertensive. People residing in urban area and in province 4 and 5 are also found at higher risk to experience hypertension. Public health efforts are urgently warranted for effective prevention and control of this progressive disease for diverse group of people from different geographic area on priority basis to reduce its health and economic burden.
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