ISOLATION, CHARACTERIZATION AND PHYLOGENETIC ANALYSIS OF DYE DECOLORIZING BACTERIA FROM TEXTILE SLUDGE

By

Sumaiya Sultana 15226004

A thesis submitted to the Department of Mathematics and Natural Sciences in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the degree of Bachelor in Microbiology

> Department of Mathematics and Natural Sciences Brac University February 2020

> > © 2020. Brac University All rights reserved.

Declaration

It is hereby declared that

- The thesis submitted is my/our own original work while completing degree at Brac University.
- 2. The thesis does not contain material previously published or written by a third party, except where this is appropriately cited through full and accurate referencing.
- 3. The thesis does not contain material which has been accepted, or submitted, for any other degree or diploma at a university or other institution.
- 4. I/We have acknowledged all main sources of help.

Student's Full Name & Signature:

Sumaiya Sultana

15226004

Student Full Name Student ID

Approval

The thesis titled "Isolation, Characterization and Phylogenetic Analysis of Dye Decolorizing Bacteria from Textile Sludge" submitted by Sumaiya Sultana (15226004) of Spring, 2020 has been accepted as satisfactory in partial fulfillment of the requirement for the degree of Bachelor in Microbiology on 27th February, 2020.

Examining Committee:

Supervisor: (Member)

Romana Siddique Senior Lecturer, Department of Mathematics and Natural Sciences, Brac University

Program Coordinator: (Member)

Mahbubul Hasan Siddiqee Senior Lecturer, Department of Mathematics and Natural Sciences, Brac University

Departmental Head: (Chair)

Dr. A F M Yusuf Haider Professor and Chairperson, Department of Mathematics and Natural Sciences, Brac University

Abstract

Textile industries use various dyes which have become one of the key sources of environment pollution. As a result, it is necessary to remove these undesirable dyes from the effluent that is released to the environment. This study was envisaged to obtain potential bacterium that can degrade the reactive dyes present in soil/sludge samples collected from the textile disposal sites. Samples were collected aseptically from Narsingdi, Bangladesh. On the basis of the degradation rate, three bacterial strains were isolated using salt media (SM) for two dyes named Setazol Blue BB and Black B. Isolated bacterial strains were identified as *Bacillus* sp. (SSR_S-4_19, SSR_B-3_19), *Pseudomonas* sp. (SSR_S-5_19) by 16srRNA gene sequencing analysis. For the decolorization experiment, SM broth containing specific dyes (1%) were inoculated with each of the strains. All the three strains could decolorize the soil sample within 24h which was estimated using spectrophotometry. The decolorization rate for Setazol Blue BB was 87.7% and 86.5% in 24hr for the strains SSR_S-4_19 and SSR_S-5_19 respectively. On the other hand, for Black B it was 92.6% and 85.22% in 24hr for the strains SSR_B-3_19 and SSR_S-4_19 respectively. Since these strains have been efficient in decolorizing the dyes, in future it may be a promising strain for industrial use.

Keywords: reactive dye; dye degrading bacteria; textile effluent; decolorization rate; salt media (SM); spectrophotometry

Dedication

This is dedicated to my family for their

constant support, love and encouragement in

completing this project.

Acknowledgement

I am highly grateful to my supervisor Romana Siddique, Senior Lecturer, Department of Mathematics and Natural Sciences, for her prodigious cooperation and valuable suggestions and comments whenever I required.

My honor and respect for all the faculty members of the Department of Mathematics and Natural Sciences, Brac University, particularly our honorable Chairman Dr. A F M Yusuf Haider, Mahbubul Hasan Siddiqee and Dr. Mahboob Hossain for their invaluable help and support. Moreover, I am thankful to the lab staffs Mr. Nazrul, Ashik vai and Tanjila apu for their help during the project.

My heartiest gratitude is for my parents who helped me collecting the samples and dyes which were the most important thing for this project. Besides, I would like to thank my friend Mridul who also helped me in collecting some textile dyes.

Lastly, I want to show my gratitude to my friends specially Noor for his immense help, support and valuable suggestions which helped me a lot to complete this project successfully.

Table of Contents

Declarationii
Approval iii
Abstractiv
Dedicationv
Acknowledgementvi
Table of Contentsvii
List of Tablesix
List of Figuresx
List of Acronymsxi
Chapter 1 Introduction1
Chapter 2 Materials and methodology4
2.1 Sample collection
2.2 Chemicals, dyes and media
2.3 Isolation, identification and screening of dye decolorizing bacteria4
2.4 Decolorization assay5
2.5 Biochemical tests of dye decolorizing bacteria
2.6 DNA extraction, PCR, gel electrophoresis
2.7 PCR purification and 16srRNA gene sequencing
2.8 Multiple sequence alignment and phylogenetic tree analysis7
Chapter 3 Result and discussion

References	
Chapter 4 Conclusion	34
3.5.1 Multiple sequence analysis and phylogenetic tree	17
3.5 PCR purification and 16srRNA analysis	16
3.4 DNA extraction, PCR, gel electrophoresis	16
3.3 Biochemical tests of dye decolorizing bacteria	14
	11
3.2.3 Decolorization by specific isolates SSR_S-4_19, SSR_S-5_19 and	SSR_B-3_19
3.2.2 Decolorization of Black B	10
3.2.1 Decolorization of Setazol Blue BB	9
3.2 Decolorization assay	9
3.1 Isolation, identification and screening of dye degrading bacteria	8

List of Tables

Table 1: Average absorbance & SD of Setazol Blue BB	10
Table 2: Average absorbance & SD of Black B	10
Table 3: Decolorization of Black B by SSR_S-4_19 & SSR_B-3_19	11
Table 4: Decolorization of Setazol Blue BB by SSR_S-4_19 & SSR_S-5_19	11
Table 5: Biochemical test result	15
Table 6: Colony morphology of isolates	15
Table 7: BLAST hit list for SSR_B-3_19	18
Table 8: BLAST hit list for SSR_S-4_19	19
Table 9: BLAST hit list for SSR_S-5_19	20

List of Figures

Figure 1: Types of treatment method	3
Figure 2: Decolorization of Setazol Blue BB	9
Figure 3: Decolorization of Black B	10
Figure 4: Average absorbance & SD of Setazol Blue BB	12
Figure 5: Decolorization of Setazol Blue BB by SSR_S-4_19, SSR_S-5_19	12
Figure 6: Average absorbance & SD of Black B	13
Figure 7: Decolorization of Black B by SSR_S-4_19, SSR_B-3_19	13
Figure 8: Agarose gel electrophoresis	16
Figure 9: MSA for SSR_B-3_19	21
Figure 10: MSA for SSR_S-4_19	21
Figure 11: MSA for SSR_S-5_19	22
Figure 12: Evolutionary analysis by Maximum evolution (SSR_B-3_19)	22
Figure 13: Evolutionary analysis by Maximum likelihood method (SSR_B-3_19)	23
Figure 14: Evolutionary analysis by Neighbour-joining (SSR_B-3_19)	24
Figure 15: Evolutionary analysis by UPGMA (SSR_B-3_19)	25
Figure 16: Evolutionary analysis by maximum evolution (SSR_S-4_19)	26
Figure 17: Evolutionary analysis by maximum likelihood method (SSR_S-4_19)	27
Figure 18: Evolutionary analysis by Neighbour-joining (SSR_S-4_19)	
Figure 19: Evolutionary analysis by UPGMA (SSR_S-4_19)	29
Figure 20: Evolutionary analysis by maximum evolution (SSR_S-5_19)	
Figure 21: Evolutionary analysis by maximum likelihood method (SSR_S-5_19)	31
Figure 22: Evolutionary analysis by Neighbour-joining (SSR_S-5_19)	32
Figure 23: Evolutionary analysis by UPGMA (SSR_S-5_19)	33

List of Acronyms

SM	Salt media
rPm	Rotation per minute
NA	Nutrient agar
min	minute
ml	Milliliter
g/l	Gram per liter
hr	hour
SD	Standard deviation
LB	Luria-Bertani medium
MSA	Mannitol salt agar
MAC	MacConkey agar
XLD	Xylose lysine deoxycholate
EMB	Eosin methylene blue
BCA	Bacillus Agar
MIU	Motility indole urease
DNA	Deoxyribonucleic acid
PCR	Polymerase chain reaction
BLAST	Basic Local Alignment Search Tool
UPGMA	Unweighted Pair Group Method with Arithmetic Mean

Chapter 1

Introduction

Textile industry plays a major role in the economy of a country but at the same time it has become one of the key sources of environment pollution(Ashfaq Ahmad, 2014). Textile industry requires large volume of water for their dyeing operation and around 90% of this is released as wastewater(Dipankar Chandra Roy, Sudhangshu Kumar Biswas, Ananda Kumar Saha, Biswanath Sikdar, Mizanur Rahman, Apurba Kumar Roy, Zakaria Hossain Prodhan, 2018). This wastewater contains various dyestuffs which when released in the environment causes water pollution(Barathi Selvaraj, Chinnannan Karthik, Nadanasabapathi S, 2020). In addition, humans and animals are at a higher risk of diseases and infections such as cancer, skin allergy due to this untreated release of textile effluent in the water bodies(S.Barathi, 2015)(K.R. Mahbub, A. Mohammad, M.M. Ahmed, 2012). This untreated or inappropriate discharge of textile effluent into the environment decreases penetration of sunlight which results in reduction of photosynthetic activity leading to toxic effects on marine system and dissolved oxygen concentration(Dipankar Chandra Roy, Sudhangshu Kumar Biswas, Ananda Kumar Saha, Biswanath Sikdar, Mizanur Rahman, Apurba Kumar Roy, Zakaria Hossain Prodhan, 2018)(Mohamed El Bouraie, 2016). Moreover, wastewater released from dyeing industry also has adverse effect on total organic carbon, biological oxygen demand and chemical oxygen demand(Maulin P Shah, Kavita A Patel, Sunu S Nair & Industrial, 2013). Hence, it is obligatory to develop a method for the removal of toxic dye from the textile effluent which is effective, economical and ecofriendly(Barathi Selvaraj, Chinnannan Karthik, Nadanasabapathi S, 2020).

Numerous physicochemical methods have been used for the removal of dyes from the textile effluent including adsorption, irradiation, ion exchange, oxidative process, ozonation, coagulation(Sanmuga Priya Ekambaram, Senthamil Selvan Perumal, 2016). However, these methods are expensive, ineffective and sometimes causes secondary pollution(N. Sriram, 2015)(R.G. Saratale, G.D. Saratale, J.S. Chang, 2011). Thus, a cheaper yet effective and environment friendly methods are in need. In recent decades, biological methods have been examined like biodegradation and biosorption by microbial biomass which may take place in an aerobic, anaerobic or mixed treatment processes with bacteria, fungi, yeasts and algae(Md. Ekramul Karim, Kartik Dhar, 2018)(Yuanyuan Qu, Shengnan Shi, Fang Ma, 2010).

Microbiological treatment is comparatively cheaper, environment friendly and more efficient than the conventional method(S. Menaka and S. Rana, 2016)(Bella Devassy Tony, Dinesh Goyal, 2009). In addition to that, microorganisms are capable of completely mineralizing textile dyes under optimal conditions(Ken Meerbergen, Kris A. Willems, Raf Dewil, Jan Van Impe, Lise Appels, 2018)(Rodrigues de Almeida et al., 2019). In recent years, single bacterial strain has been identified having the ability to degrade textile reactive dyes with high efficiency. On the contrary, pure culture have advantages over mixed culture such as having the knowledge about the degradation pathway with prediction that the degradation end product is nontoxic(A. Karthikeyan, 2013). Thus, biological method is considered as best treatment method for textile dye degradation. Bacteria that are able to decolorize the textile dye can be isolated from various abode inclusive of soil, water, colored effluents, human/animal excreta, and contaminated food materials(Ken Meerbergen, Kris A. Willems, Raf Dewil, Jan Van Impe, Lise Appels, 2018).



Figure 1: Types of treatment method

The objective of this study was to isolate an efficient bacterium that can fully decolorize the textile effluent containing dyes. In this study, bacteria were isolated from textile effluent which was collected from effluent discharge site of Madhabdi, Narsingdi. Initially five reactive dyes were used namely Setazol Blue BB, Agrazol Red 3BS, Agrazol Yellow 3RS, Orange P2R and Black B within which two dyes were completely decolorized. Dye decolorization was performed under aerophilic condition till no visual color was detected(Franciscon Elisangela, Zille Andrea, Dias Guimaro Fabio, Ragagnin de Menezes Cristiano, Durrant Lucia Regina, 2009). Finally, the decolorization rate was measured using spectrophotometer.

Chapter 2

Materials and methodology

2.1 Sample collection

Textile effluent sample was collected aseptically from Narsingdi, Bangladesh. After collection, sample was immediately transported to lab and kept in 4°C.

2.2 Chemicals, dyes and media

Dyes used for this study were Setazol Blue BB, Agrazol Red 3BS, Agrazol Yellow 3RS, Orange P2R, Turquoise Blue G and Black B which were collected from Shah Amanat Knitting & Dyeing Industries Ltd. and Bengal Hurricane Dyeing & Printing Pvt. Ltd., Bangladesh. Stock solution of the dyes were prepared by dissolving 1g of each dye into 100ml of sterile distilled water(Md. Ekramul Karim, Kartik Dhar, 2018). For this study, SM broth was used which contained: peptone 10g/l; KH₂PO₄ 1.9g/l; K₂HPO₄ 0.6g/l; NH₄Cl 1g/l; Yeast extract 1g/l.

2.3 Isolation, identification and screening of dye decolorizing bacteria

Sample was initially inoculated in SM broth containing dye. After visual decolorization, sample from this container was diluted up to 10⁻⁷ and plated on NA plate(Walaa A. Al-Shareef, Salwa S.I. Afifi, Mohamed A. Ramadan, 2018). From this NA plate, 24 colonies were randomly selected on the basis of colony morphology(Farhana Hussain, Md. Reazul Karim, Fahmida Hossain, 2018) and tested for decolorization of five reactive dyes in shaking condition(80rpm) at 37°C(Md. Zobaidul Hossen, Md. Eleus Hussain, Al Hakim, Kamrul Islam, Md. Nizam Uddin, 2019)(Manjinder Singh Khehra, Harvinder Singh Saini, Deepak Kumar Sharma, Bhupinder Singh Chadha, 2005). Isolates that degrade one of the six dyes within 48hr were selected for further study.

2.4 Decolorization assay

Experiment was conducted in 100ml conical flask under shaking (80rpm, 37°C) condition. Samples from the decolorized container was centrifuged at 10,000rpm for 15min at 4°C(Manjinder Singh Khehra, Harvinder Singh Saini, Deepak Kumar Sharma, Bhupinder Singh Chadha, 2005). Then clear supernatant was taken for the analysis using spectrophotometry. All assays were performed triplicate and compared with uninoculated controls. Absorbance was measured at 540-600nm wavelengths(M. Ponraj, K. Gokila, 2011)(Naeimeh Enayatizamir, Fatemeh Tabandeh, Susana Rodríguez-Couto, Bagher Yakhchali, Hossein A. Alikhani, 2011)(Guang Guo, Xiaohua Li, Fang Tian, Tingfeng Liu, Feng Yang, Keqiang Ding, Chong Liu, Jiasheng Chen, 2020) and there was peak at 600nm(Chandrakant R. Holkara, Harshit Arora, Dibyadeep Halder, 2018)(Carolina Heyse Niebisch, Alexandre Knoll Malinowski, Ruth Schadeck, David A. Mitchell, Vanessa Kava-Cordeiro, 2010). Absorbance was taken in every 24hr. Absorbance was taken using Thermo Scientific™ GENESYS™ 10S UV-Vis Spectrophotometer.

The decolorization assay of different isolates were expressed as per the following Eq.(Cai Feng, Chen Fang-yan, 2014)(M. Ponraj, K. Gokila, 2011)(Wycliffe C.Wanyonyi, John M.Onyari, Paul M.Shiundu, 2019)(Chandrakant R. Holkara, Harshit Arora, Dibyadeep Halder, 2018)

Decolorization Rate =
$$\frac{A-B}{A} \times 100$$

A= initial absorbance of dye solution containing sample

B= final absorbance of dye solution containing sample

2.5 Biochemical tests of dye decolorizing bacteria

For the presumptive identification of the characteristics of selected isolates, different biochemical tests were performed including gram's staining, triple sugar iron test, indole test, methyl red test, Voges–Proskauer test, catalase test, oxidase test, starch hydrolysis test, lipid hydrolysis test, casein hydrolysis test, nitrate reduction test, blood agar test, motility indole urease test and some tests on selective media like MSA, MAC, XLD, EMB, BCA.

2.6 DNA extraction, PCR, gel electrophoresis

DNA extraction of the selected strains were performed using Wizard® Genomic DNA Purification Kit. After successful DNA extraction, PCR was performed using two sets of universal bacterial 16SrRNA gene primers. Primer sets that were used include fD1; rp2 and 27f; 1492r. This PCR was set up for 35 cycles following hot start PCR protocol.

Primer sequence for primer-1 were fD1 (5' AGAGTTTGATCCTGGCTCAG 3') and rP2 (5' ACGGCTACCTTGTTACGACTT 3')(C. Ota-Tsuzuki, A.T.P. Brunheira, 2008)(T Gotoh, H Noda, 2007)(Heekyung Chung, Myoungsu Park, Munusamy Madhaiyan, Sundaram Seshadri, Jaekyeong Song, Hyunsuk Cho, 2005). On the other hand, sequence for primer-2 were 27f (5' AGAGTTTGATCMTGGCTCAG 3') and 1492r (5' TACGGYTACCTTGTTACGACTT 3')(Ram Chandra, Anuradha Ghosh, Rakesh Kumar Jain, 2006). In addition to that, gel electrophoresis was also performed in 1% gel to ensure that DNA extraction was successful and no primer-dimer was formed in PCR.

2.7 PCR purification and 16srRNA gene sequencing

PCR product was purified using Wizard® PCR purification kit. For the 16srRNA gene sequencing, the purified samples were sent to Invent technologies, Bangladesh.

2.8 Multiple sequence alignment and phylogenetic tree analysis

After having the sequences, it was analyzed at NCBI server using BLAST (blastn) tool and matching sequences from hit list was downloaded in FASTA format(Safia Moosvi, Xama Kher, 2007). Besides, multiple sequences were aligned using MEGA X software. Along with that, phylogenetic tree was also constructed by Neighbour-joining, UPGMA, Maximum likelihood method, Maximum evolution.

Chapter 3

Result and discussion

3.1 Isolation, identification and screening of dye degrading bacteria

Initially, six textile reactive dyes were used to observe the decolorization activity. For the dyes Agrazol Red 3BS, Agrazol Yellow 3RS, Orange P2R and Turquoise Blue G there were no observable decolorization. On the other hand, for Setazol Blue BB and Black B there were observable decolorization thus absorbance was measured and decolorization rate was measured with the mentioned equation.

$$OD_{600} = \frac{A_{initial} - A_{final}}{A_{initial}}$$

From the decolorized flasks, bacteria were isolated using spread plate method and randomly 24 colonies were selected for further study. After that, these 24 colonies were inoculated into SM broth containing Setazol Blue BB and Black B dyes. Each of the 24 colonies were enriched using LB media in shaker incubator at 37°C, 150rpm for 24hr(M. Dexilin, V. Elavarasi, 2013). After enrichment, 1ml of each enriched culture were inoculated into SM broth containing each dye. Then the flasks were kept in shaker incubator at 37°C, 80rpm and absorbance was taken in 24hr time interval.

Initial absorbance (0hr) and following observable decolorization final absorbance (24hr) was taken using spectrophotometer at 600nm. For each of the dye, only the decolorized flask was taken and further experimented. Among the 24 colonies only 3 colonies were found to be effective in decolorizing.

3.2 Decolorization assay

After final experiment, 3 isolates that were found to be efficient in decolorizing named SSR_S-4_19, SSR_S-5_19 and SSR_B-3_19 where SSR_S-4_19, SSR_S-5_19 decolorized Setazol Blue BB and SSR_S-4_19, SSR_B-3_19 decolorized Black B. Initial decolorization rate of Setazol Blue BB from sludge sample was 91.14% and for Black B it was 96.08%. Isolates SSR_S-4_19, SSR_S-5_19 and SSR_B-3_19 was inoculated in the media containing dye and observed for decolorization activity. However, the decolorization activity was visualized within 24hr of inoculation. As per the OD, the decolorization rate for Black B were 85.22% and 92.6% by SSR_S-4_19 and SSR_B-3_19 respectively. On the other hand, decolorization rate for Setazol Blue BB were 87.7% and 86.5% b SSR_S-4_19 and SSR_S-5_19 respectively.

3.2.1 Decolorization of Setazol Blue BB

Within 24hr efficient decolorization was observed for Setazol Blue BB. The average decolorization rate was 91% within 24hr.







Control

sample inoculated

decolorization

Figure 2: Decolorization of Setazol Blue BB

Name	Control	0hr	24hr	Decolorization rate
Average absorbance	0.945	1.005	0.089	91.14%
Average SD of SSR_S-4_19	0	0.00163	0.00124	N/A
Average SD of SSR_S-5_19	0	0.0357	0.00623	N/A

Table 1: Average absorbance & SD of Setazol Blue BB

3.2.2 Decolorization of Black B

For Black B, the decolorization was observed within 16hr but the absorbance was measured in 24hr interval. Average decolorization of this dye was 96% in 24hr.



Control



inoculated Figure 3: Decolorization of Black B



decolorization

Name	Control	0hr	24hr	Decolorization rate
Average absorbance	1.406	1.48	0.058	96.08%
Average SD of SSR_B-3_19	0	0.1322	0.00216	N/A
Average SD of SSR_S-4_19	0	0.0208	0.0463	N/A

Table 2: Average absorbance & SD of Black B

3.2.3 Decolorization by specific isolates SSR_S-4_19, SSR_S-5_19 and SSR_B-3_19

Among the isolates, SSR_S-4_19 was able to decolorize both of the two dyes efficiently whereas SSR_S-5_19 and SSR_B-3_19 was able to decolorize Setazol Blue BB and Black B respectively. For each of the isolates, triplets were done and the average were taken for the illustration. Moreover, standard deviation of the samples from the control were also measured and graphically represented.

Name of dye	Isolate	control	0hr	24hr	Decolorization rate
Black B	SSR_S-4_19	1.506	1.589	0.235	85.22%
	SSR_B-3_19	1.506	1.633	0.119	92.6%

Table 3: Decolorization of Black B by SSR_S-4_19 & SSR_B-3_19

Name of dye	Isolate	control	0hr	24hr	Decolorization rate
Setazol Blue	SSR_S-4_19	0.945	0.977	0.119	87.7%
BB	SSR_S-5_19	0.945	0.959	0.129	86.5%

Table 4: Decolorization of Setazol Blue BB by SSR_S-4_19 & SSR_S-5_19



Figure 4: Average absorbance & SD of Setazol Blue BB



Figure 5: Decolorization of Setazol Blue BB by SSR_S-4_19, SSR_S-5_19



Figure 6: Average absorbance & SD of Black B



Figure 7: Decolorization of Black B by SSR_S-4_19, SSR_B-3_19

3.3 Biochemical tests of dye decolorizing bacteria

Firstly, gram staining was done to identify whether the bacteria was gram positive or negative. According to the staining result, SSR_B-3_19 and SSR_S-4_19 were gram positive whereas SSR_S-5_19 was gram negative bacteria(Sandle, 2004). Secondly, triple sugar iron was done to identify whether the isolates had the ability to ferment glucose, lactose and sucrose(Lehman, 2013). In TSI test, SSR_B-3_19 and SSR_S-4_19 showed positive result and SSR_S-5_19 showed negative test result. Moreover, to identify the isolates indole test, methyl red, Voges– Proskauer test, citrate utilization test, catalase test, oxidase test, starch hydrolysis, lipid hydrolysis, casein hydrolysis test was done where only SSR_S-5_19 was positive for citrate utilization test, catalase test and starch hydrolysis test. On the other hand, SSR_B-3_19 and SSR_S-4_19 gave positive result for nitrate reduction, BCA and negative result for βhemolysis, MSA. In addition, SSR_S-5_19 gave positive result for MAC, XLD, EMB and MIU whereas SSR_B-3_19 and SSR_S-4_19 gave negative result for MIU.

SL No.	Tests name	SSR_B-3_19	SSR_S-4_19	SSR_S-5_19
1	Gram's staining	+	+	-
2	Triple sugar iron	+	+	_
3	Indole test	-	-	-
4	Methyl red	-	-	-
5	Voges–Proskauer	_	-	-
6	Citrate utilization	_	-	+
7	Catalase	_	-	+
8	Oxidase	-	-	-
9	Starch hydrolysis	-	-	+

10	Lipid hydrolysis	-	-	-
11	Casein hydrolysis	-	-	-
12	Nitrate reduction	+	+	N/A
13	β- hemolysis	-	-	N/A
14	MSA	_	-	N/A
15	MAC	N/A	N/A	+
16	XLD	N/A	N/A	+
17	EMB	N/A	N/A	+
18	BCA	+	+	N/A
19	MIU	-	-	+



Isolates	Shape	Color	Elevation	Margin	Surface	Morphology
SSR_B-3_19	Irregular	Cream	Raised	Entire	Smooth	Rod
SSR_S-4_19	Irregular	Cream	Raised	Entire	Smooth	Rod
SSR_S-5_19	Irregular	Cream	Flat	Irregular	Smooth	Short rod

Table 6: Colony morphology of isolates

3.4 DNA extraction, PCR, gel electrophoresis

For the further analysis of the isolates, DNA extraction was done using Wizard® Genomic DNA Purification Kit. After that, the extracted DNA samples were amplified through hot start PCR using two universals primer set. In addition, agarose gel electrophoresis was also performed for the conformation of successful DNA extraction. DNA extraction for all the isolates were successful as per agarose gel electrophoresis result. For this experiment, 1% gel was used and the result was observed under UV light.



Figure 8: Agarose gel electrophoresis

3.5 PCR purification and 16srRNA analysis

For the analysis of 16srRNA, the PCR samples were purified using the purification kit and sent for 16srRNA sequencing. Based on the sequencing test result the three isolates SSR_B-3_19, SSR_S-4_19, SSR_S-5_19 was identified as *Bacillus* sp., *Bacillus* sp. and *Pseudomonas* sp. respectively. Furthermore, sequences were compared with the available sequences in Genbank using blastn and multiple sequences were aligned(Jing-Long Han, I-Son Ng, Yanni Wang, Xuesong Zheng, Wen-Ming Chen, Chung-Chuan Hsueh, Shi-Qi Liu, 2012). Multiple sequences were aligned using MEGA X. Moreover, phylogenetic tree was also constructed to analyze the evolutionary relationship of the isolates.

3.5.1 Multiple sequence analysis and phylogenetic tree

For the analysis of MSA, sequences from the blast hit list were downloaded in FASTA format. After that, using this multiple sequence alignment phylogenetic tree was constructed.

SL No.	Name	E-value	Percentage of similarity	Accession number
1	Bacillus andreesenii strain 8-4-E13 16S ribosomal RNA, partial sequence	0	97.95%	NR_125565.1
2	Bacillus sp. Marseille-P3606 partial 16S rRNA gene, strain Marseille- P3606	0	94.18%	LT722675.1
3	Bacillus casamancensis strain TN3 16S ribosomal RNA gene, partial sequence	0	94.18%	JQ415976.1
4	Bacillus sp. mixed culture X11-59 16S ribosomal RNA gene, partial sequence	0	93.95%	KR029396.1
5	Bacillus timonensis strain LNHL41 16S ribosomal RNA gene, partial sequence	0	93.96%	MG008674.1
6	Bacillus sp. KSM-P358 gene for 16S rRNA	0	93.73%	AB073167.1
7	Bacillus humi strain CRRI-HN-6 16S ribosomal RNA gene, partial sequence	0	93.82%	JQ695933.1

8	Bacillus licheniformis strain FA-4 16S ribosomal RNA gene, partial sequence	0	93.49%	MG818961.1
9	Bacillus sonorensis partial 16S rRNA gene, strain Marseille-P3463	0	93.49%	LT714152.1
10	Bacillus salidurans strain yy08 16S ribosomal RNA gene, partial sequence	0	93.50%	MN177179.1
11	Bacillus ciccensis strain H1 16S ribosomal RNA gene, partial sequence	0	94.98%	MK256795.1
12	Bacillus sp. strain FJAT-22122 16S ribosomal RNA gene, partial sequence	0	92.52%	KY949533.1

Table 7: BLAST hit list for SSR_B-3_19

SL No.	Name	E-value	Percentage of similarity	Accession number
1	Bacillus andreesenii strain 8-4-E13 16S ribosomal RNA, partial sequence	0	98.31%	NR_125565.1
2	Bacillus sp. Marseille-P2639 partial 16S rRNA gene, strain Marseille- P2639	0	94.50%	LT598571.1
3	Bacterium strain BS0993 16S ribosomal RNA gene, partial sequence	0	94.33%	MK824181.1
4	Bacillus aerius strain BAB-2472 16S ribosomal RNA gene, partial sequence	0	94.25%	KC443076.1

5	Bacillus beringensis strain BR035 16S ribosomal RNA, partial sequence	0	94.18%	NR_116849.1
6	Bacillus paralicheniformis strain JO-2 16S ribosomal RNA gene, partial sequence	0	94.07%	MF321822.1
7	Bacillus sonorensis strain S3 16S ribosomal RNA gene, partial sequence	0	94.16%	MN056006.1
8	Bacillus humi strain CRRI-HN-6 16S ribosomal RNA gene, partial sequence	0	93.41%	JQ695933.1
9	Bacillus timonensis strain 10403023 16S ribosomal RNA, partial sequence	0	94.08%	NR_133024.1
10	Bacillus licheniformis strain G 16S ribosomal RNA gene, partial sequence	0	94.17%	AY672764.1
11	Bacillus sp. 3458BRRJ 16S ribosomal RNA gene, partial sequence	0	93.91%	FJ215796.2

Table 8: BLAST hit list for SSR_S-4_19

SL No.	Name	E-value	Percentage of similarity	Accession number
1	Pseudomonas mendocina strain CGS7 16S ribosomal RNA gene, partial sequence	0	92.74%	KF886277.1
2	Pseudomonas oleovorans JCM 13981 gene for 16S rRNA, partial sequence	0	92.65%	LC508007.1
3	Pseudomonas plecoglossicida JCM 13969 gene for 16S rRNA, partial sequence	0	92.65%	LC507997.1

4	Pseudomonas pseudoalcaligenes strain KJ1WB 16S ribosomal RNA gene, partial sequence	0	92.66%	MF470189.1
5	Pseudomonas nitroreducens strain EAPn13 16S ribosomal RNA gene, partial sequence	0	92.74%	JF911369.1
6	Gamma proteobacterium JAUIB78 16S ribosomal RNA gene, complete sequence	0	94.92%	DQ983422.1
7	Pseudomonas sp. RM12W 16S ribosomal RNA gene, partial sequence	0	92.32%	EF675623.1
8	Pseudomonas sp. DF7 16S ribosomal RNA gene, partial sequence	0	95.53%	KC294058.1
9	Pseudomonas sp. AD55 16S ribosomal RNA gene, partial sequence	0	92.77%	JQ994361.1
10	Pseudomonas sp. RD_AZIDI_09 16S ribosomal RNA gene, partial sequence	0	92.57%	KU597531.1
11	Pseudomonasnitroreducenssubsp.thermotoleransgene for 16S rRNA, partialcds, strain:NBRC 102205	0	92.57%	AB681730.1

Table 9: BLAST hit list for SSR_S-5_19

Data Display Search Groups Highlight		Stat	tistic	S		Help																										
🖥 📩 🕼 🕼 🍓 ᡟ 👫 🐫 C V Pi	8	5	0	2		4	×	•	N	Q AME	Q																					
✓ Name	G	С	A	A	G	ΤC	. (i A	(G C	G	A	T	A	T	C	T [T	T	G	G (i G	G	С	T	T	G	С	С	C (C	0
☑ 1. SSR B-3 19															i.			,								÷		i.				
2. NR 125565.1 Bacillus andreesenii strain 8-4-E13 16S riboson	n,	A	С	G	A	A.		С		r G	١.	С	G	G	С	G	. (G	С	С	T /	A	T	A	С	А	T	G		A	A (5 1
☑ 3. LT722675.1 Bacillus sp. Marseille-P3606 partial 16S rRNA ge	r,	A	T	G	A	A.		С		r G	4	С	G	G	С	G	. (G	С	С	T /	A	T	A	С	A	T	G		A	A (5 1
☑ 4. JQ415976.1 Bacillus casamancensis strain TN3 16S ribosom	ā,	T	G	С	С	. 4	A	T		λ.	А	T	G	С	A	A (3		С	. 1	A	C		A	А	С	T	T	G	. (G (; ,
☑ 5. KR029396.1 Bacillus sp. mixed culture X11-59 16S ribosoma	A	G	,	G	Т	. 1				Γ.	С	T	G	G	С	T	с,	A	G	. 1	A	١.	A	A	С	G	С	T	G	G	. 0	β,
✓ 6. MG008674.1 Bacillus timonensis strain LNHL41 16S riboson	n,	G	G		Т	G		С		r G	С	T	A	T	A	. /	4	. (G	С	A A	۱.	T		G	A			G	A	A	r c
7. AB073167.1 Bacillus sp. KSM-P358 gene for 16S rRNA	T	G	G			A (; T	T		r G	A	T	С	С		G (G	С		С	A	۰.	A	T	G	A	A		G		T (β.
☑8. JQ695933.1 Bacillus humi strain CRRI-HN-6 16S ribosomal l	R.	G	G	G		A		С		r A	T		С			G	с,	A	G	T	С	A			G	A	A			T (G A	١.
9. MG818961.1 Bacillus licheniformis strain FA-4 16S ribosom	аС	A	С	T	С		A	G		. A	С	G	A		С	G	2	G	G		С		С	G		G	С		T	A	A 1	ΓΑ
10. LT714152.1 Bacillus sonorensis partial 16S rRNA gene strai	٢	G			T	A,	A	T			А		G	T	С	G	4	G	С		. /	C	C	G	A	,		G	G	A	G.	. 1
11. MN177179.1 Bacillus salidurans strain yy08 16S ribosomal	F,							,					А	С	,	T		. (G	e.	. /	۱.	С	T		G	С	T			. A	A A
☑ 12. KY949533.1 Bacillus sp. strain FJAT-22122 16S ribosomal R	Ν.	А	G	С		A A	0	T		G	С	G	G	G	A	G	C				C .	C	C	4	A	A	А	А	G	T	T A	۱.
13. MK256795.1 Bacillus ciccensis strain H1 16S ribosomal RN	A A	A	i.			. 1	A	G	(G	÷	С	G	G	А	. (G (G	G	T	. /	١.	T	A	A	С	А		G	T	G (; .

MX: Sequence Data Explorer (SSR_B-3_19.meg)

Figure 9: MSA for SSR_B-3_19

Data Edit Search Alignment Web Sequencer Display Help Image: Search Alignment Web Sequencer Display Help Image: Search Alignment Web Sequencer Display Help Image: Search Image: Search Image: Search Image: Search Image: Search Help Image: Search	🕅 MX: Al	ignment E	xplorer (SSR_	_S-4_19.meg)																										
Image: Species/Abbrv 1. SSR_S-4_19 Image: Species/Abbrv 1. SSR_S-4_19 Image: Species/Abbrv 2. NR_125565.1 Bacillus andreesenii strain 8-4-E13 16S ribosomal RNA GA C G A A C G C T G G C G G C G T G C C C C C C A A G T C G A G C G A A C G C T G G C G G C G T G C C C C C A A G T C G A G C G A T A T C T T T G G G G G C C T A A T A C A T G C A A G T C G A G C G A T A T C T T G G G G G C C T A A T A C A T G C A A G T C G A G C G A T A T C T T G G G G G C C T A A T A C A T G C A A G T C G A G C G A T A T C T T G G G G G C C T A A T A C A T G C A A G T C G A G C G A T A T C T T G G G G G C C T A A T A C A T G C A A G T C G A G C G A T A T C T T G G G G G C C T G G C G G C G T G C C T A A T A C A T G C A A G T C G A G C G T G C C T A A T A C A T G C A A G T T A G C G G C C T A A T A C A T G C A A G T T A G C G G C G T G C C T A A T A C A T G C A A G T T A G C G G C G T G C C T A A T A C A T G C A A G T T A G C G G C G T G C C T A A T A C A T G C A A G T C G A G C C T A A T A C A T G C A A G T C G A G C C T A A T A C A T G C A A G T C G A G C C T A A T A C A T G C A A G T C G A G C C T A A T A C A T G C A A G T C G A G C C T A A T A C A T G C A A G T C G A G C C T A A T A C A T G C A A G T C G A G C C T A A T A C A T G C A A G T C G A G C C T A A T A C A T G C A A G T C G A G C C T A A T A C A T G C A A G T C G A G C G A C C C T A A T A C A T G C A A G T C G A G C G A C C C T A A T A C A T G C A A G T C G A G C C T A A T A C A T G C A A G T C G A G C G A C C C T A A T A C A T G C A A G T C G A G C C T A A T A C A T G C A A G T C G A G C C T A A T A C A T G C A A G T C G A G C C T A A T A C A T G C A A G T C G A G C C T A A T A C A T G C A A G T C G A G C C T A A T A C A T G C A A G T C G A G C C T A A T A C A T G C A A G T C G A G C G A C C C T A A T A C A T G C A A G T C G A G C C T A A T A C A T G C A A G T C G A G C C T	Data	Edit	Search	Alignment	Web	Sequ	enco	er	[Displ	ay		Hel	р																
DNA Sequences Translated Protein Sequences Species/Abbrv I SSR_S-4_19 G C A A G T C G A G C G A T A T C T T T G G G G G C T T G C C C C C G A A G T G T A 2. NR_125565.1 Bacillus andreesenii strain 8-4-E13 16S ribosomal RNA G A C G A A G T T T G A T C T T G G C G C T A A T A C A T G C A A G T C G A G C G A T 3. LT598571.1 Bacillus sp. Marseille-P2639 partial 16S ribosomal RNA gene strai A G A G T T T G A T C C T G G C T C A G G A C G A A C G C T G G C G C G T G C C T A A T A C A T G C A A G T T A G C G G C G C 4. MK824181.1 Bacillus aerius strain BAB-2472 16S ribosomal RNA gene parti A A G T C G A G C T T G C T C A G G A A C G C T G G C G C G T G C C T A A T A 5. KC443076.1 Bacillus aerius strain BAB-2472 16S ribosomal RNA ger T T T G T A T T T C T G C T C C A G G A A C G C T G G C G C G T G C C T A A T A 6. NR_116849.1 Bacillus beringensis strain BR035 16S ribosomal RNA ger T T T G A T T T G A T C C T G G C G C G C G C G C G A G C T G G C G G G G G G G G C G T G C C T A A T A C A T G C A A G T C G A G C G A C C T A A T A C A T G C A A G T C G A G C G A C C T A A T A C A T G C A A G T C G A G C G A C C G A C C T A A T A C A T G C A A G T C G A G C G A C C T A A T A C A T G C A A G T C G A G C G A C C T G G C G C G C G C G C G C G C G C	[] 🖿					4		0	Х	ן [5	X	Ø,	•	ŀ	2			Þ	(Q	Q #	ç	•	Q					
Species/Abbrv 1. SSR_S-4_19 G C A A G T C G A G C C G A T A T C T T T G G G G G C T T G C C C C G A A G A T G T A 2. NR_125565.1 Bacillus andreesenii strain 8-4-E13 16S ribosomal RNA G A C G A A C G C T G G C G G G C T C A G G A C G C T A A T A C A T G C A A G T C G A G C G A T 3. LT598571.1 Bacillus sp. Marseille-P2639 partial 16S rRNA gene strai A G A G T C G A G C G A A C T C C T G G C T C A G G A C G A C G C T G G C G G G G G G G G G G G G G	DNA Sequ	uences Ti	ranslated Pro	otein Sequences																										
1. SSR_S-4_19 G C A A G T C G A G C C A G C G A T A T C T T T G G G G G C T T G C C C C C G A A G T G T A G T A T C T T G G G G G C T T G C C C C C C G A A G T C T A A T A C A T G C A A G T C A A T A C A T G C A A G T C A A T A C A T G C A A G T C A A T A C A T G C A A G T C A A T A C A T G C A A G T C A A T A C A T G C A A G T C A A T A C A T G C A A G T C A A T A C A T G C A A G T C A A T A C A T G C A A G T C C A A A G T C A A C G C T A A T A C A T G C A A G T C C A A A G T C A A A G T C A A G T C A A A G T C A A A G T C A A A G T C A A A G T C A A A G T C A A A G T C A A A G T C A A A G T C A A A G T C A A A G T C A A A G T C A A A G T C A A A G T C A A A G T C A A A G T C A A A A G T C A A A G T C A A A A G T C A A A G T C A A A A G T C A A A A G T C A A A A G T C A A A A G T C A A A A G T C A A A A G T C A A A A G T C A A A A G T C A A A A G T C A A A A G T C A A A A G T C A A A A G T C A A A A G T C A A A A G T C A A A A G T C A A A A G T C A A A A A G T C A A A A A G T C A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A	Species/A	bbrv																												
2. NR_125565.1 Bacillus andreesenii strain 8-4-E13 16S ribosomal RNA G A C G A A C G C T G G C G T G C C T A A T A C A T G C A A G T C G A G C G G G G	1. SSR_S-	4_19				G	C A	A G	Т	G	٩G	C G	A T	A	r C 1	ГΤ	ΤG	G (G G	G C	T I	T G	СС	СС	C	G A	A G	A	T G	TA
3. LT598571.1 Bacillus sp. Marseille-P2639 partial 16S rRNA gene strai A G A G T T T G A T C C T G G C T C A G A C G A C G A A C G C T G G C G G C G T G C C T A A G T C C T A A T A C A G T C C T A A T A C A G T C C T A A T A C A G T C C T A A T A C A G T C C T A A T A C A G T C C T A A T A C A G T C C T A A T A C A G T C C T A A T A C A G T C C A A A G T C C T A A T A C A G T C C T A A T A C A G T C C T A A T A C A G T C C T A A T A C A G T C C T A A T A C A G T C C T A A T A C A G T C C T A A T A C A T G C A G C T C A G A A C G C T G G C G A A C G C T G G C G G C G T G C C T A A T A C A T A C A G T C A A A G T C C T A A T A C A T A C A T A C A T A C A T A C A G T C C T A A T A C A A C A C	2. NR_125	565.1 Bacill	us andreeser	nii strain 8-4-E13 10	6S ribosomal Ri	VA G /	٩C	G A	A (C <mark>G</mark> (C T	G G	CG	G	G	T G	СС	T,	A A	ΤA	C/	۹T	G C	ΑA	G	T C	G A	G	G	A T A
4. MK824181.1 Bacterium strain BS0993 16S ribosomal RNA gene parti A A G T C G A A C T T T G C A G G A A C T T G C T C C C A A A G T T A G C G G C G C G C G C C T A A T A C A G T T A G C G C C T A A T A C A T G C A A G T T A G C G C C T A A T A C A T G C A A G T T A G C G C C T A A T A C A T G C A A G T T A G C G C C T A A T A C A T G C A A G T C C T A A T A C A T G C A G C A C G C A A C G C T G G C G C G C G C G C G C G T G C C T A A T A C A T G C A A G T C C T A A T A C A T G C A A G T C C T A A T A C A T G C A A G T C C T A A T A C A T G C A A G T C C T A A T A C A T G C A A G T C C T A A T A C A T G C A A G T C C T A A T A C A T G C A A G T C C T A A T A C A T G C A A G T C G A G C G T G C C T A A T A C A T G C A A G T C G A G C G A C C T A A T A C A T G C A A G T C G A G C C T A A T A C A T G C A A G T C G A G C C T A A T A C A T G C A A G T C G A G C C T C A G G A C G C G T G C C T A A T A C A T G C A A G T C G A G C C T C A G G A C C T G G C G G C G T G C C T A A T A C A T G C A A G T C G A G C T T G G C T C A G G A C G C G T G C C T A A T A C A T G C A A G T C G A G C C T A A T A C A T G C A A G T C G A G C G A C C T G A G C G A C C T G A G C G A G C G A G C G A A C C T G A G C G A G C G A G C G A G C T T G G C G G C G T G C C T A A T A C A T G C A A G T C G A G C T T G G C G G G G G G G G G G G G G	3. LT5985	71.1 Bacillus	s sp. Marseille	e-P2639 partial 165	S rRNA gene st	rai A (3 A	GΤ	TI	T G /	۱T	сс	ΤG	G	ст	A	G G	A (G	A A	C	3 C	T G	G C	G (G C	GΤ	G	C C	ΤA
5. KC443076.1 Bacillus aerius strain BAB-2472 16S ribosomal RNA ger T T T G T A T T T C T G C T C A G G A C G A C G A A C G C T G G C G G C G T G C C T A A T A C 6. NR_116849.1 Bacillus beringensis strain BR035 16S ribosomal RNA A G A G T T T G A T C C T G G C T C A G G A C G A A C G C T G G C T G G C G G C G T G C C T A A T A 7. MF321822.1 Bacillus paralicheniformis strain JO-2 16S ribosomal RNA G A G T T T G A T C C T G G C G G C G T G C T A A T A C A T G C A A G T C G A G C G A G C G A C G A A C G C T A A T A C A T G C A A G T C G A G C G A C G A C G A C G A C G C T A A T A C A T G C A A G T C G A G C G A G C G A C G A C G A C G C T A A T A C A T A C A T G C A A G T C G A G C G A G C G A C C T A A T A C A T G C A A G T C G A G G C G A G C G A G G C G G G G	4. MK8241	81.1 Bacter	rium strain BS	0993 16S ribosom	al RNA gene pa	rti A /	٩G	тс	G /	A <mark>G</mark> (G	A A	СТ	Т	9 C (3 G	G A	G	C T	ΤG	С	ГС	сс	ΑA	A (G T	ΤA	G	G	GC
6. NR_116849.1 Bacillus beringensis strain BR035 16S ribosomal RNA A G A G T T T G A T C C T G G C T C A G G A C G A A C G C T G G C G G C G T G C C T A A T A C A G T C G A G C C T A A T A C A T G C A A G T C G A G C T G G C T A A T A C A T G C A A G T C G A G C C T A A T A C A T G C A A G T C G A G C C T A A T A C A T G C A A G T C G A G C G G C G T G C C T A A T A C A T G C A A G T C G A G C G A A C G C T G G C G T G C C T A A T A C A T G C A A G T C G A G C G A G C G A A C G C T G G C G T G C C T A A T A C A T G C A A G T C G A G C G A A C G C T G G C G T G C C T A A T A C A T G C A A G T C G A G C G A A C G C T G G C G T G C C T A A T A C A T G C A A G T C G A G C C T T G G C G G C G T G C C T A A T A C A T G C A A G T C G A G C T T G G C G G C G T G C C T A A T A C A T G C A A G T C G A G C T T G G C G C G T G C C T A A T A C A T G C A A G T C G A G C T T G G C G A G C G A A C C T G A G G C G A A C C T G A G G C G A A C C T G A G G C G A A C C T G A G G C G A A C C T G A G G C G A A C C T G A G G C G A A C C T G A G G C G A A C C T G A G G C G A A C C T G A G G C G A A C C T G A G G C G A A C C T G A G G C G A A C C T G A G G C G A A C C C T A A T A C A T G C A A G T C G A A G T C G A A C T C G A A G T C A G G A T G A A C G C T G G C G G C G T G C C T A A T A C A T G C A A G T C G A G T C G A G C T C A G G A T G A A C G C T G G C G G C G T G C C T A A T A C A T G C A A G T C G A G T C G A G C T C A G G A T G A A C G C T G G C G G G G G G G G G G G G G	5. KC4430	76.1 Bacillu	s aerius strai	n BAB-2472 16S ri	ibosomal RNA <u>o</u>	jer T	ГΤ	GΤ	A	TT.	ГС	T G	СТ	C A	GO	3 A	C G	A/	A C	G C	T (G G	C G	G C	G	T G	СС	T,	٩A	ΤA
7. MF321822.1 Bacillus paralicheniformis strain JO-2 16S ribosomal RNA gene G A C G C T G G C T G G C T G G C T G G C T G G C T G G C T G G C T G G C T G G C T G G C T G </td <td>6. NR_116</td> <td>849.1 Bacill</td> <td>us beringens</td> <td>is strain BR035 16</td> <td>S ribosomal RN</td> <td>A A (</td> <td>G A</td> <td>GΤ</td> <td>ΤI</td> <td>T G /</td> <td>۱T</td> <td>сс</td> <td>ΤG</td> <td>G</td> <td>с т с</td> <td>A</td> <td>G G</td> <td>A (</td> <td>G</td> <td>A A</td> <td>C</td> <td>3 C</td> <td>T G</td> <td>GC</td> <td>G (</td> <td>g C</td> <td>GΤ</td> <td>G</td> <td>C (</td> <td>ΤA</td>	6. NR_116	849.1 Bacill	us beringens	is strain BR035 16	S ribosomal RN	A A (G A	GΤ	ΤI	T G /	۱T	сс	ΤG	G	с т с	A	G G	A (G	A A	C	3 C	T G	GC	G (g C	GΤ	G	C (ΤA
8. MN056006.1 Bacillus sonorensis strain S3 16S ribosomal RNA gene G C T C A G G A C G A A C G C T G G C G G C G T G C C T A A T A C A T G C A A G T C G 9. JQ695933.1 Bacillus humi strain CRRI-HN-6 16S ribosomal RNA gene G G G G G A T G C T A T A C A T G C A G T C G A G C G A A C C T G A G G G A G C T T G 10. NR_133024.1 Bacillus timonensis strain 10403023 16S ribosomal RI G A T G A A C G C Y G G C G G C G T G C C T A A T A C A T G C A A G T C G A G G C G G G G	7. MF3218	22.1 Bacillu	s paralichenif	formis strain JO-2 1	16S ribosomal F	RN, A (G	A A	C	3 C T	r G	<mark>G</mark> C	G G	C	G T (3 C	ΤA	A	TA	C A	Т (3 C	A A	G T	C	G A	GC	G (3 A	СС
9. JQ695933.1 Bacillus humi strain CRRI-HN-6 16S ribosomal RNA gene G G G G G A T G C T A T A C A T G C A G T C G A G C G A A C C T G A G G G G A G C T T G I O NR_133024.1 Bacillus timonensis strain 10403023 16S ribosomal RI G A T G A A C G C Y G G C G G C G T G C C T A A T A C A T G C A A G T C G A G G C G A G C G A G C G A G G C G C	8. MN0560	06.1 Bacillu	is sonorensis	strain S3 16S ribo	somal RNA ger	ie G	СТ	CA	GO	G A (G	A A	C G	C	GQ	3 C	G G	C	G T	G C	C	ΤA	A T	A C	A	T G	C A	. A (3 T	C G
10. NR_133024.1 Bacillus timonensis strain 10403023 16S ribosomal RI G A T G A A C G C Y G G C G G C G T G C C T A A T A C A T G C A A G T C G A G C G A G T C G C T C G A G T C G C T C A A T A C A T A C A T G C A A G T C C A G T C C T A A T A C A T G C A A G T C C A G T C C T A A T A C A T G C A A G T C C A G T C C T A A T A C A T G C A A G T C C A G T C C T A A T A C A T G C A A G T C C C T A A T A C A T G C A A G T C C C T A A T A C A T G C A A G T C C C T A A T A C A T G C A A G T C C A G T C C T A A T A C A T G C A A G T C C A G C T C C T A A T A C A T G C A A G T C C C T A A T A C A T G C A A G T C C A G T C C T A A T A C A T G C A A G T C C A G T C C T A A T C A T C C A T G C A A G T C C C T A A T C A T C C A T C C A G C T C C T A A T C C A T C C A G T C C C T A A T C A T C C A T C C A G C C T C C T A A T C C A T C C A G C C T C C T A A T C A T C C A T C C A G C C T C C T C A G C C T C C T C A G C C C T C C T C A G C	9. JQ6959	33.1 Bacillu	s humi strain	CRRI-HN-6 16S rib	osomal RNA ge	ne G (G G	G G	A	T G (Т	A T	A C	A	r G <mark>(</mark>	A	GΤ	C	G A	G C	G /	A A	сс	ΤG	A	G G	G A	G	СТ	T G
11. AY672764.1 Bacillus licheniformis strain G 16S ribosomal RNA gen C T C A G G A C G A C G C G G C G G C G T G C C T A A T A C A T G C A A G T C G A I C C A I C A I C C A I C A I C C A I C A I C C A I C A I C C A I C A I C C A I C A I C C A I C A I C C A I	10. NR_13	3024.1 Bac	illus timonens	is strain 10403023	16S ribosomal	ri G /	٩T	G A	A (C <mark>G</mark> (Y	G G	C G	G	G	r G	сс	T/	A A	ΤA	C/	۹T	G C	A A	G	T C	G A	G	G	A A
12. FJ215796.2 Bacillus sp. 3458BRRJ 16S ribosomal RNA gene partia T G G C T C A G G A T G A A C G C T G G C G G C G T G C C T A A T A C A T G C A A G T	11. AY672	2764.1 Bacil	lus licheniforr	mis strain G 16S rib	oosomal RNA g	en C	ГС	A G	G /	4 C (GA.	A C	G C	T	G G C	G	G C	G	T G	сс	T/	A A	ΤA	C A	T	g C	A A	G	ГС	G A
	12. FJ2157	796.2 Bacillu	us sp. 3458BF	RRJ 16S ribosomal	RNA gene part	ial T (G G	СТ	C/	A G (3 A	T G	A A	C	3 C 1	r G	G C	G (g C	GΤ	G	C C	ΤA	AT	A	C A	ΤG	C/	۱A	GΤ

Figure 10: MSA for SSR_S-4_19

🖂 MX: A	lignment E	xplorer (SSR	_S-5_19.meg)																											
Data	Edit	Search	Alignment	Web		Seq	uen	cer		D)ispl	ay		He	lp															
1 🖿			at W L		Þ		•	ſ]	╳	[3	×	0		t	Ð		•	D		0	l	Q.	Q,	-	р,			
DNA Seq	uences T	ranslated Pro	otein Sequence	s																										
Species//	bbrv																													
1. SSR_S	-5_19				ТG	C G	С	3 G	С	СТ	A١	I C	AT	G	: A .	A G	Т	C G	A G	С	3 G	ΑA	Т	G A	C G	G	G A	G	: Т	T G
2. KF8862	277.1 Pseud	lomonas meno	locina strain CG	S7 16S ribos	G A	ΤТ	G /	A A	C (3 C	Т	G G	C G	GO	: A	G G	C (ст	A A	C /	A C	ΑT	G	C A	AG	Т	C G	A	зС	G G
3. LC5080	07.1 Pseud	omonas oleov	orans JCM 1398	31 gene for '	A T	ΤG	AA	A C	G	СТ	GØ	G C	G G	C A	G	G C	С	ΤA	A C	A (C A	ΤG	С	ΑA	G T	С	G A	G	G	G A
4. LC5079	97.1 Pseud	lomonas pleco	glossicida JCM	13969 gene	A T	ΤG	AA	A C	G	ст	GØ	B C	G G	C A	G	g C	С	ΤA	A C	A (C A	ΤG	С	A A	G T	С	G A	G	C G	G A
5. MF470	189.1 Pseud	lomonas pseu	doalcaligenes st	train KJ1WB	с т	GC	Т	C A	G /	۸T	Т	3 A	A C	GC	ст	G G	С	3 G	C A	G	3 C	СТ	A	A C	AC	А	ΤG	C/	A A	G T
6. JF9113	69.1 Pseud	omonas nitror	educens strain E	EAPn13 16S	T G	СA	G	ГС	G /	٩G	C	G G	AT	G A	A A	G A	G	4 G	с т	т	3 C	тс	Т	с т	G A	т	тт	AC	3 C	G G
7. DQ983	422.1 Gamm	na proteobact	erium JAUIB78 1	6S ribosoma	A G	A G	ΤT	ΤТ	G /	٩T	СС	С	G G	СТ	ГС	A G	A	ТΤ	G A	A	G	СТ	G	G C	G G	С	A G	G	c c	ΤA
8. EF6756	23.1 Pseud	omonas sp. R	M12W 16S ribos	somal RNA g	A G	A G	Τī	ТΤ	G /	٩T	сс	ст	G G	СТ	ГС	A G	A	ТΤ	G A	A	C G	СТ	G	g C	G A	С	A G	G	с	ΤA
9. KC294	058.1 Pseud	lomonas sp. C)F7 16S ribosom	al RNA gene	C G	G G	C/	ΑA	G	С	ΤA	۸A	C A	C A	١T	GC	A /	A G	тс	G /	A G	C G	G	A T	G A	С	G G	G /	G	СТ
10. JQ994	361.1 Pseu	domonas sp.	AD55 16S ribos	omal RNA ge	A C	A C	A	T G	C,	A A	G 1	ГС	G A	GC	G	G A	T	3 A	A G	A (3 A	GC	т	ΤG	СТ	С	тс	т	βA	ΤТ
11. KU59	7531.1 Pseu	idomonas sp.	RD_AZIDI_09 16	6S ribosoma	тс	A G	A	ΤТ	G/	A A	С	C C	ΤG	GO	G	G C	A	G G	сс	T /	A A	C A	С	A T	GC	А	A G	Т	G	A G
12. AB68	1730.1 Pseu	udomonas nitr	oreducens subs	p. thermotol	A T	ΤG	A A	A C	G	СТ	GØ	B C	G G	C A	G	g C	С	ΤA	A C	A (C A	ΤG	С	A A	G T	С	G A	G	G	G A
13. JQ652	2571.1 Pseu	domonas mer	idocina strain PN	/LR-1 16S ri	A G	GT	G	3 A	G (G G	СС	С	A A	AC	: A	T G	C,	A A	GТ	С	3 A	GC	G	G A	ΤG	A	G A	GO	3 A	<mark>G</mark> C

Figure 11: MSA for SSR_S-5_19



Figure 12: Evolutionary analysis by Maximum evolution (SSR_B-3_19)

The evolutionary history was inferred using the Minimum Evolution method. The optimal tree with the sum of branch length = 23.73405773 is shown. The tree is drawn to scale, with branch lengths in the same units as those of the evolutionary distances used to infer the phylogenetic tree. The evolutionary distances were computed using the Maximum Composite Likelihood method and are in the units of the number of base substitutions per site. The ME tree was searched using the Close-Neighbor-Interchange (CNI) algorithm at a search level of 1. The Neighbor-joining algorithm was used to generate the initial tree. This analysis involved 13 nucleotide sequences. Codon positions included were 1st+2nd+3rd+Noncoding. All ambiguous positions were removed for each sequence pair (pairwise deletion option). There were a total of 1548 positions in the final dataset. Evolutionary analyses were conducted in MEGA X.



Figure 13: Evolutionary analysis by Maximum likelihood method (SSR_B-3_19)

The evolutionary history was inferred by using the Maximum Likelihood method and Tamura-Nei model. The tree with the highest log likelihood (-23960.16) is shown. Initial tree(s) for the heuristic search were obtained automatically by applying Neighbor-Join and BioNJ algorithms to a matrix of pairwise distances estimated using the Maximum Composite Likelihood (MCL) approach, and then selecting the topology with superior log likelihood value. The tree is drawn to scale, with branch lengths measured in the number of substitutions per site. This analysis involved 13 nucleotide sequences. Codon positions included were 1st+2nd+3rd+Noncoding. There were a total of 1548 positions in the final dataset. Evolutionary analyses were conducted in MEGA X.



Figure 14: Evolutionary analysis by Neighbour-joining (SSR_B-3_19)

The evolutionary history was inferred using the Neighbor-Joining method. The optimal tree with the sum of branch length = 23.65283250 is shown. The tree is drawn to scale, with branch lengths in the same units as those of the evolutionary distances used to infer the phylogenetic tree. The evolutionary distances were computed using the Maximum Composite Likelihood method and are in the units of the number of base substitutions per site. This analysis involved 13 nucleotide sequences. Codon positions included were 1st+2nd+3rd+Noncoding. All ambiguous positions were removed for each sequence pair (pairwise deletion option). There were a total of 1548 positions in the final dataset. Evolutionary analyses were conducted in MEGA X.



Figure 15: Evolutionary analysis by UPGMA (SSR_B-3_19)

The evolutionary history was inferred using the UPGMA method. The optimal tree with the sum of branch length = 24.01963082 is shown. The tree is drawn to scale, with branch lengths in the same units as those of the evolutionary distances used to infer the phylogenetic tree. The evolutionary distances were computed using the Maximum Composite Likelihood method and are in the units of the number of base substitutions per site. This analysis involved 13 nucleotide sequences. Codon positions included were 1st+2nd+3rd+Noncoding. All ambiguous positions were removed for each sequence pair (pairwise deletion option). There were a total of 1548 positions in the final dataset. Evolutionary analyses were conducted in MEGA X.



2.4 1.6 0.8 0.0

Figure 16: Evolutionary analysis by maximum evolution (SSR_S-4_19)

The evolutionary history was inferred using the Minimum Evolution method. The optimal tree with the sum of branch length = 24.16192832 is shown. The tree is drawn to scale, with branch lengths in the same units as those of the evolutionary distances used to infer the phylogenetic tree. The evolutionary distances were computed using the Maximum Composite Likelihood method and are in the units of the number of base substitutions per site. The ME tree was searched using the Close-Neighbor-Interchange (CNI) algorithm at a search level of 1. The Neighbor-joining algorithm was used to generate the initial tree. This analysis involved 12 nucleotide sequences. Codon positions included were 1st+2nd+3rd+Noncoding. All ambiguous positions were removed for each sequence pair (pairwise deletion option). There were a total of 1519 positions in the final dataset. Evolutionary analyses were conducted in MEGA X.



86420

Figure 17: Evolutionary analysis by maximum likelihood method (SSR_S-4_19)

The evolutionary history was inferred by using the Maximum Likelihood method and Tamura-Nei model. The tree with the highest log likelihood (-23815.65) is shown. Initial tree(s) for the heuristic search were obtained automatically by applying Neighbor-Join and BioNJ algorithms to a matrix of pairwise distances estimated using the Maximum Composite Likelihood (MCL) approach, and then selecting the topology with superior log likelihood value. The tree is drawn to scale, with branch lengths measured in the number of substitutions per site. This analysis involved 12 nucleotide sequences. Codon positions included were 1st+2nd+3rd+Noncoding. There were a total of 1519 positions in the final dataset. Evolutionary analyses were conducted in MEGA X.



Figure 18: Evolutionary analysis by Neighbour-joining (SSR_S-4_19)

The evolutionary history was inferred using the Neighbor-Joining method. The optimal tree with the sum of branch length = 24.23292750 is shown. The tree is drawn to scale, with branch lengths in the same units as those of the evolutionary distances used to infer the phylogenetic tree. The evolutionary distances were computed using the Maximum Composite Likelihood method and are in the units of the number of base substitutions per site. This analysis involved 12 nucleotide sequences. Codon positions included were 1st+2nd+3rd+Noncoding. All ambiguous positions were removed for each sequence pair (pairwise deletion option). There were a total of 1519 positions in the final dataset. Evolutionary analyses were conducted in MEGA X.



1.8 0.9 0.0

Figure 19: Evolutionary analysis by UPGMA (SSR_S-4_19)

The evolutionary history was inferred using the UPGMA method. The optimal tree with the sum of branch length = 24.33800035 is shown. The tree is drawn to scale, with branch lengths in the same units as those of the evolutionary distances used to infer the phylogenetic tree. The evolutionary distances were computed using the Maximum Composite Likelihood method and are in the units of the number of base substitutions per site. This analysis involved 12 nucleotide sequences. Codon positions included were 1st+2nd+3rd+Noncoding. All ambiguous positions were removed for each sequence pair (pairwise deletion option). There were a total of 1519 positions in the final dataset. Evolutionary analyses were conducted in MEGA X.



Figure 20: Evolutionary analysis by maximum evolution (SSR_S-5_19)

The evolutionary history was inferred using the Minimum Evolution method. The optimal tree with the sum of branch length = 15.79531876 is shown. The tree is drawn to scale, with branch lengths in the same units as those of the evolutionary distances used to infer the phylogenetic tree. The evolutionary distances were computed using the Maximum Composite Likelihood method and are in the units of the number of base substitutions per site. The ME tree was searched using the Close-Neighbor-Interchange (CNI) algorithm at a search level of 1. The Neighbor-joining algorithm was used to generate the initial tree. This analysis involved 13 nucleotide sequences. Codon positions included were 1st+2nd+3rd+Noncoding. All ambiguous positions were removed for each sequence pair (pairwise deletion option). There were a total of 1498 positions in the final dataset. Evolutionary analyses were conducted in MEGA X.



Figure 21: Evolutionary analysis by maximum likelihood method (SSR_S-5_19)

The evolutionary history was inferred by using the Maximum Likelihood method and Tamura-Nei model. The tree with the highest log likelihood (-21326.24) is shown. Initial tree(s) for the heuristic search were obtained automatically by applying Neighbor-Join and BioNJ algorithms to a matrix of pairwise distances estimated using the Maximum Composite Likelihood (MCL) approach, and then selecting the topology with superior log likelihood value. The tree is drawn to scale, with branch lengths measured in the number of substitutions per site. This analysis involved 13 nucleotide sequences. Codon positions included were 1st+2nd+3rd+Noncoding. There were a total of 1498 positions in the final dataset. Evolutionary analyses were conducted in MEGA X.



Figure 22: Evolutionary analysis by Neighbour-joining (SSR_S-5_19)

The evolutionary history was inferred using the Neighbor-Joining method. The optimal tree with the sum of branch length = 15.69878689 is shown. The tree is drawn to scale, with branch lengths in the same units as those of the evolutionary distances used to infer the phylogenetic tree. The evolutionary distances were computed using the Maximum Composite Likelihood method and are in the units of the number of base substitutions per site. This analysis involved 13 nucleotide sequences. Codon positions included were 1st+2nd+3rd+Noncoding. All ambiguous positions were removed for each sequence pair (pairwise deletion option). There were a total of 1498 positions in the final dataset. Evolutionary analyses were conducted in MEGA X.



Figure 23: Evolutionary analysis by UPGMA (SSR_S-5_19)

The evolutionary history was inferred using the UPGMA method. The optimal tree with the sum of branch length = 15.79676201 is shown. The tree is drawn to scale, with branch lengths in the same units as those of the evolutionary distances used to infer the phylogenetic tree. The evolutionary distances were computed using the Maximum Composite Likelihood method and are in the units of the number of base substitutions per site. This analysis involved 13 nucleotide sequences. Codon positions included were 1st+2nd+3rd+Noncoding. All ambiguous positions were removed for each sequence pair (pairwise deletion option). There were a total of 1498 positions in the final dataset. Evolutionary analyses were conducted in MEGA X.

Chapter 4

Conclusion

On the whole, isolates found in this study showed efficiency in decolorizing the textile dye. According to phylogenetic tree analysis, isolates SSR_B-3_19, SSR_S-4_19 and SSR_S-5_19 was suspected to be *Bacillus salidurans, Bacillus* sp. Marseille and *Pseudomonas* sp. respectively. Among the isolates, SSR_S-4_19 was effective in decolorizing both dyes used in this project. In comparison with the decolorization rate, SSR_S-4_19 was more efficient in decolorizing Setazol Blue BB than SSR_S-5_19 whereas SSR_B-3_19 was more competent in decolorizing Black B than SSR_S-4_19. Considering the decolorization rates, all the three isolates were efficient in decolorizing the dyes. Since, the isolates were efficient decolorizer, it could be promising for future use in the decolorization or treatment of textile wastewater containing various dye.

References

Bibliography

- Karthikeyan, N. A. (2013). Isolation, Identification and Characterization of Dye-Adapted Bacteria from Textile Effluents Mixed with Sewage Released into The River Amaravathy, Karur, Tamilnadu, India. *IOSR Journal of Environmental Science*, *Toxicology and Food Technology*, 7(2), 51–57. https://doi.org/10.9790/2402-0725157
- Ashfaq Ahmad, A. K. (2014). Waste Management of Textiles: A Solution to The Environmental Pollution. *International Journal of Current Microbiology and Applied Sciences*, 3(7), 780–787. https://www.ijcmas.com/vol-3-7/Ahmad Ashfaq and Amna Khatoon2.pdf
- 3. Barathi Selvaraj, Chinnannan Karthik, Nadanasabapathi S, I. A. P. (2020). Biodegradation of textile dye Reactive Blue 160 by Bacillus firmus (Bacillaceae: Bacillales) and non-target toxicity screening of their degraded products. *Toxicology Reports*, 7(December 2019), 16–22. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.toxrep.2019.11.017
- Bella Devassy Tony, Dinesh Goyal, S. K. (2009). Decolorization of textile azo dyes by aerobic bacterial consortium. *International Biodeterioration & Biodegradation*, 63(4), 462–469. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ibiod.2009.01.003
- 5. Ota-Tsuzuki, A.T.P. Brunheira, M. P. A. M. (2008). 16S rRNA region based PCR protocol for identification and subtyping of Parvimonas micra. *Brazilian Journal of Microbiology*, 39(4), 605–607. https://doi.org/10.1590/S1517-83822008000400001
- Cai Feng, Chen Fang-yan, T. Y. (2014). Isolation, Identification of a Halotolerant Acid Red B Degrading Strain and its Decolorization Performance. *APCBEE Procedia*, 9(Icbee 2013), 131–139. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apcbee.2014.01.024
- Carolina Heyse Niebisch, Alexandre Knoll Malinowski, Ruth Schadeck, David A. Mitchell, Vanessa Kava-Cordeiro, J. P. (2010). Decolorization and biodegradation of

reactive blue 220 textile dye by Lentinus crinitus extracellular extract. *Journal of Hazardous Materials*, 180(1–3), 316–322. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhazmat.2010.04.033

- Chandrakant R. Holkara, Harshit Arora, Dibyadeep Halder, D. V. P. (2018). Biodegradation of reactive blue 19 with simultaneous electricity generation by the newly isolated electrogenic Klebsiella sp. C NCIM 5546 bacterium in a microbial fuel cell. *International Biodeterioration and Biodegradation*, 133(July), 194–201. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ibiod.2018.07.011
- 9. Dipankar Chandra Roy, Sudhangshu Kumar Biswas, Ananda Kumar Saha, Biswanath Sikdar, Mizanur Rahman, Apurba Kumar Roy, Zakaria Hossain Prodhan, S.-S. T. (2018). Biodegradation of Crystal Violet dye by bacteria isolated from textile industry effluents. *PeerJ*, 2018(6), 1–15. https://doi.org/10.7717/peerj.5015
- 10. Farhana Hussain, Md. Reazul Karim, Fahmida Hossain, F. H. (2018). Isolation, identification and characterization of dye degrading bacteria from dyeing industry effluent and degradation process optimization against Novacron Red SB. 6(4), 461–471. https://www.asianjab.com/wp-content/uploads/2019/01/5-AJAB-2018-07-220_Isolation-identification-and-characterization-of-dye-degrading-bacteria-from-

dyeing-industry-effluent-and-degradation-process1.pdf

- 11. Franciscon Elisangela, Zille Andrea, Dias Guimaro Fabio, Ragagnin de Menezes Cristiano, Durrant Lucia Regina, C.-P. A. (2009). Biodegradation of textile azo dyes by a facultative Staphylococcus arlettae strain VN-11 using a sequential microaerophilic/aerobic process. *International Biodeterioration and Biodegradation*, 63(3), 280–288. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ibiod.2008.10.003
- 12. Guang Guo, Xiaohua Li, Fang Tian, Tingfeng Liu, Feng Yang, Keqiang Ding, Chong Liu, Jiasheng Chen, C. W. (2020). Azo dye decolorization by a halotolerant consortium

under microaerophilic conditions. *Chemosphere*, 244. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemosphere.2019.125510

- 13. Heekyung Chung, Myoungsu Park, Munusamy Madhaiyan, Sundaram Seshadri, Jaekyeong Song, Hyunsuk Cho, T. S. (2005). Isolation and characterization of phosphate solubilizing bacteria from the rhizosphere of crop plants of Korea. *Soil Biology and Biochemistry*, 37(10), 1970–1974. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.soilbio.2005.02.025
- 14. Jing-Long Han, I-Son Ng, Yanni Wang, Xuesong Zheng, Wen-Ming Chen, Chung-Chuan Hsueh, Shi-Qi Liu, B.-Y. C. (2012). Exploring new strains of dye-decolorizing bacteria. *Journal of Bioscience and Bioengineering*, 113(4), 508–514. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbiosc.2011.11.014
- 15. K.R. Mahbub, A. Mohammad, M.M. Ahmed, S. B. (2012). Decolorization of Synthetic Dyes Using Bacteria Isolated from Textile Industry Effluent. Asian Journal of Biotechnology, 4(3), 129–136. https://doi.org/10.3923/ajbkr.2012.129.136
- 16. Ken Meerbergen, Kris A. Willems, Raf Dewil, Jan Van Impe, Lise Appels, B. L. (2018). Isolation and screening of bacterial isolates from wastewater treatment plants to decolorize azo dyes. *Journal of Bioscience and Bioengineering*, 125(4), 448–456. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbiosc.2017.11.008
- **17.** Lehman, D. (2013). *Triple Sugar Iron Agar Protocols Author Information. July*, 22–24.
- 18. M. Dexilin, V. Elavarasi, and K. T. (2013). Biological Decolorization of Sulfonated Azo Dye C.I. Acid Blue 193 by Bacillus cereus KTSMD-03 and Its Azoreductase Characterization. *Microbiological Research in Agroecosystem Management*, 85–102. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-81-322-1087-0
- 19. M. Ponraj, K. Gokila, V. Z. (2011). Bacterial Decolorization of Textile Dye- Orange

3R. International Journal of Advanced Biotechnology and Research, 2(1), 168–177. https://bipublication.com/files/ijabarv2I120117.pdf

- 20. Manjinder Singh Khehra, Harvinder Singh Saini, Deepak Kumar Sharma, Bhupinder Singh Chadha, S. S. C. (2005). Decolorization of various azo dyes by bacterial consortium. *Dyes and Pigments*, 67(1), 55–61. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.dyepig.2004.10.008
- 21. Maulin P Shah, Kavita A Patel, Sunu S Nair, A. M. D., & Industrial. (2013). Isolation, Identification and Screening of Dye Decolorizing Bacteria. *American Journal of Microbiological Research*, 1(4), 62–70. https://doi.org/10.12691/ajmr-1-4-1
- 22. Md. Ekramul Karim, Kartik Dhar, M. T. H. (2018). Decolorization of Textile Reactive Dyes by Bacterial Monoculture and Consortium Screened from Textile Dyeing Effluent. *Journal of Genetic Engineering and Biotechnology*, 16(2), 375–380. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jgeb.2018.02.005
- 23. Md. Zobaidul Hossen, Md. Eleus Hussain, Al Hakim, Kamrul Islam, Md. Nizam Uddin, A. K. A. (2019). Biodegradation of reactive textile dye Novacron Super Black G by free cells of newly isolated Alcaligenes faecalis AZ26 and Bacillus spp obtained from textile effluents. *Heliyon*, 5(7), e02068. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.heliyon.2019.e02068
- 24. Mohamed El Bouraie, W. S. E. D. (2016). Biodegradation of Reactive Black 5 by Aeromonas hydrophila strain isolated from dye-contaminated textile wastewater. *Sustainable Environment Research*, 26(5), 209–216. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.serj.2016.04.014
- 25. N. Sriram, D. R. (2015). Isolation and characterization of dye degrading bacteria from textile dye effluents. *Central European Journal of Experimental Biology*, 4(2), 5–10. https://www.scholarsresearchlibrary.com/articles/isolation-and-characterization-of-dye-degrading-bacteria-from-textile-dye-effluents.pdf

- 26. Naeimeh Enayatizamir, Fatemeh Tabandeh, Susana Rodríguez-Couto, Bagher Yakhchali, Hossein A. Alikhani, L. M. (2011). Biodegradation pathway and detoxification of the diazo dye Reactive Black 5 by Phanerochaete chrysosporium. *Bioresource Technology*, 102(22), 10359–10362. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biortech.2011.08.130
- 27. R.G. Saratale, G.D. Saratale, J.S. Chang, S. P. G. (2011). Bacterial decolorization and degradation of azo dyes: A review. *Journal of the Taiwan Institute of Chemical Engineers*, 42(1), 138–157. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jtice.2010.06.006
- 28. Ram Chandra, Anuradha Ghosh, Rakesh Kumar Jain, S. S. (2006). Isolation and characterization of two potential pentachlorophenol degrading aerobic bacteria from pulp paper effluent sludge. *Journal of General and Applied Microbiology*, 52(2), 125–130. https://doi.org/10.2323/jgam.52.125
- 29. Rodrigues de Almeida, E. J., Christofoletti Mazzeo, D. E., Deroldo Sommaggio, L. R., Marin-Morales, M. A., Rodrigues de Andrade, A., & Corso, C. R. (2019). Azo dyes degradation and mutagenicity evaluation with a combination of microbiological and oxidative discoloration treatments. *Ecotoxicology and Environmental Safety*, 183. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecoenv.2019.109484
- **30.** S. Menaka and S. Rana. (2016). Decolourization Studies of a Novel Textile Dye Degrading Bacterium. In *Trends in Asian Water Environmental Science and Technology*. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-39259-2_5
- 31. S.Barathi, P. I. A. (2015). Isolation and characterization of textile dye degrading native bacterial strains from textile effluent contaminated sites. *International Journal of Multidisciplinary Research and Development*, 2(4), 155–160. http://www.allsubjectjournal.com/vol2/issue4/PartE/pdf/247.1.pdf
- 32. Safia Moosvi, Xama Kher, D. M. (2007). Isolation, characterization and decolorization

of textile dyes by a mixed bacterial consortium JW-2. *Dyes and Pigments*, 74(3), 723–729. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.dyepig.2006.05.005

- 33. Sandle, T. (2004). Gram 's Stain: History and Explanation of the Fundamental Technique of Determinative Bacteriology. *IST Science and Technology Journal*, 54(March 2004), 3–4. https://www.researchgate.net/publication/270105561_Gram's_Stain_History_and_Ex
- 34. Sanmuga Priya Ekambaram, Senthamil Selvan Perumal, U. A. (2016). Decolorization and biodegradation of remazol reactive dyes by Clostridium species. *3 Biotech*, 6(1), 1–8. https://doi.org/10.1007/s13205-015-0335-0

planation_of_the_Fundamental_Technique_of_Determinative_Bacteriology

- **35.** T Gotoh, H Noda, S. I. (2007). Cardinium symbionts cause cytoplasmic incompatibility in spider mites. *Heredity*, *98*(1), 13–20. https://doi.org/10.1038/sj.hdy.6800881
- 36. Walaa A. Al-Shareef, Salwa S.I. Afifi, Mohamed A. Ramadan, N. R. S. (2018). Decolorization of reactive black 5 by Micrococcus luteus and Candida albicans in wastewaters. *International Journal of Current Microbiology and Applied Sciences*, 7(5), 1690–1707. https://doi.org/10.5829/idosi.wasj.2014.32.02.14512
- 37. Wycliffe C.Wanyonyi, John M.Onyari, Paul M.Shiundu, F. J. M. (2019). Effective biotransformation of reactive black 5 dye using crude protease from Bacillus cereus Strain KM201428. *Energy Procedia*, 157, 815–824. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.egypro.2018.11.247
- 38. Yuanyuan Qu, Shengnan Shi, Fang Ma, B. Y. (2010). Decolorization of Reactive Dark Blue K-R by the synergism of fungus and bacterium using response surface methodology. *Bioresource Technology*, 101(21), 8016–8023. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biortech.2010.05.025