Information Asymmetry, ESG Disclosure Practices and Performance of Asian Islamic Banks: Evolution, Convergence, and Governance Moderators

By

Rabeya Basri
23175016

A thesis Submitted to Department of Economics and Social Sciences

in partial fulfillment of the Requirements for the Degree of

Master of Science in Applied Economics

Department of Economics and Social Sciences

BRAC University, Bangladesh

August 2025

DECLARATION

It is hereby declared that

1. The thesis submitted is my own original work while completing degree at BRAC University.
2. The thesis does not contain material previously published or written by a third party, except where this is appropriately cited through full and accurate referencing.
3. The thesis does not contain material which has been accepted, or submitted, for any other degree or diploma at a university or other institution.
4. I have acknowledged all main sources of help.

Student's Full Name & Signature:

____

Rabeya Basri

23175016

APPROVAL

The thesis titled "Information Asymmetry, ESG Disclosure Practices and Performance of Asian Islamic Banks: Evolution, Convergence, and Governance Moderators," submitted by Rabeya Basri (23175016) of Summer 2025, has been accepted as satisfactory in partial fulfillment of the requirement for the degree of Master of Science in Applied Economics on 12 August 2025.

Examining Committee:

Supervisor:
(Member)

____

Dr. Syed A. Mamun, FCMA
Professor of Finance
Department of Economics and Social Science (ESS)
BRAC University

Program Coordinator:
(Member)

____

Dr. Wasiqur Rahman Khan
Professor & Chairperson
Department of Economics and Social Sciences
BRAC University

External Expert Examiner:
(Member)

____

Dr. Mohammad Moniruzzaman FCA, ACMA, AFHEA
Associate Professor
Dept. of Accounting & Information Systems
University of Dhaka

Departmental Head:
(Chair)

____

Dr. Wasiqur Rahman Khan
Professor & Chairperson
Department of Economics and Social Sciences
BRAC University

PERMISSION TO USE

By submitting this thesis to fulfill the requirements for the Master of Science in Applied Economics at BRAC University, Bangladesh, I grant the University Library the right to keep it available for public inspection. I also permit my supervisor, Prof. Dr. Syed A. Mamun, or, in his absence, the Chairman of the Department of Economics and Social Science, to authorize the copying of this thesis, either in full or in part, for academic purposes. It is understood that any reproduction or publication of this thesis for commercial gain will not be permitted, either for me or for BRAC University. Any use of the material in this thesis for scholarly purposes will be permitted, provided proper attribution is given.

Requests for permission to copy or use any portion of this thesis for purposes other than academic research should be directed to:

Chairman of the Department of Economics and Social Science
BRAC University, Dhaka, Bangladesh

ABSTRACT

Environmental, Social, and Governance (ESG) disclosure has become a strategic imperative for banks worldwide, yet little is known about how Islamic banks, rooted in Shariah principles of ethical governance and social justice, navigate this landscape. This study examines 30 independent fully fledged Islamic, publicly listed banks across Asian countries for the years 2018 and 2023 to (1) trace the evolution and convergence of ESG disclosure and (2) assess its impact on financial performance, market performance and risk, under varying governance structures. The study develops an Environmental, Social, and Governance disclosure index (ESGDI) of 44 items for each sample Islamic bank to assess its level of ESG practices from their annual reports and deployed paired t-tests, ANOVA/Kruskal-Wallis, coefficient of variation analysis, and cross-sectional OLS regressions with robust standard errors and interaction terms.

Our findings reveal a marked increase in overall ESGDI from 0.46 in 2018 to 0.67 in 2023, with environmental scores rising most sharply (Δ=0.31) and governance scores remaining highest (0.57$\rightarrow$0.73). Convergence metrics indicate a significant reduction in score dispersion post-COVID. Regression results show that higher ESG disclosure is positively associated with profitability (ROA, ROE) and market valuation (Tobin's Q), though its link to capital adequacy (CAR) is weaker. Crucially, board independence and institutional ownership strengthen the ESG-performance relationship, while gender diversity on boards amplifies the effect on return on equity.

This study contributes to ESG and Islamic finance scholarship by disaggregating disclosure dimensions, documenting convergence trends, and elucidating governance contingencies. Practically, it offers regulators and bank executives actionable insights for developing Shariah-aligned ESG frameworks and governance policies that maximize the value of sustainability reporting.

Keywords: ESG disclosure, Information asymmetry, Agency theory, Islamic banks, Bank performance, Corporate governance measures, Risk resilience.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

In the name of Allah, the Most Gracious, the Most Compassionate, and the Most Merciful. May peace and blessings be upon our beloved Prophet Muhammad ﷺ, who, by Allah's will, led humanity from the darkness of ignorance into the enlightenment of Islam. All praise and thanks are due to Allah, the Most Merciful, for bestowing upon me the patience and strength required to complete this thesis. Alhamdulillah, I am profoundly grateful to many individuals whose support and assistance have been crucial to the success of this project.

First and foremost, I am deeply thankful to my supervisor, Prof. Dr. Syed A. Mamun, for his prompt and insightful guidance, encouragement, and patience throughout this research. Without his consistent support and careful feedback, this thesis would not have come to fruition.

I would like to thank Dr. Wasiqur Rahman Khan and Dr. Mohammad Moniruzzaman for their constructive feedback during my thesis defense. Their valuable suggestions and guidance greatly helped me improve and refine my work.

I also wish to express my heartfelt gratitude to the faculty and staff of the Department of Economics and Social Sciences at BRAC University, Bangladesh, for their ongoing encouragement, assistance, and mentorship throughout my academic journey. Their expertise and kindness have significantly enhanced my academic growth.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Table	LIST OF TABLES	Page
Table 2.1	Summary of theories used in prior research of ESG	4
Table 3.1	Sample banks and their size	12
Table 3.2	Variables and their definition	15
Table 4.1	Results of One-Sample Kolmogorov-Smirnov Test	17
Table 4.2	Descriptive Statistics of ESG Indices of Islamic Banks	17
Table 4.3	ESG disclosure by different items of ESG	18
Table 4.4	Frequency distribution of ESG disclosure	21
Table 4.5	Results of Friedman test by ESG disclosure categories	21
Table 4.6	Wilcoxon test results by different combinations of ESG disclosure categories	22
Table 4.7	Paired sample t-test of ESG disclosure	22
Table 4.8	Results of t-test analysis for IB in gulf countries and non-gulf countries	23
Table 4.9	Results of Convergence analysis	24
Table 4.10	Descriptive Statistics	24
Table 4.11	Pearson Correlation Matrix & VIF (2018, N = 30)	25
Table 4.12	Pearson Correlation Matrix & VIF (2023, N = 30)	25
Table 4.13	Baseline OLS Regression of ROA on ESG Disclosure and Controls (2018, 2023 N = 30)	26
Table 4.14	Baseline OLS Regression of ROE on ESG Disclosure and Controls (2018, 2023, N = 30)	27
Table 4.15	Baseline OLS Regression of TQ on ESG Disclosure and Controls (2018, 2023, N = 30)	27
Table 4.16	Baseline OLS Regression of CAR on ESG Disclosure and Controls (2018, 2023, N = 30)	28
Table 4.17	Moderation of Independent Directors, Female Board Members and Institutional Ownership on the ESGD-ROA Relationship (2018, 2023, N = 30)	29
Table 4.18	Moderation of Independent Directors, Female Board Members and Institutional Ownership on the ESGD-ROE Relationship (2018, 2023, N = 30)	30
Table 4.19	Moderation of Independent Directors, Female Board Members and Institutional Ownership on the ESGD–TQ Relationship (2018, 2023, N = 30)	31
Table 4.20	Moderation of Independent Directors, Female Board Member and Institutional Ownership on the ESGD–CAR Relationship (2018, 2023, N = 30)	32

CHAPTER ONE

INTRODUCTION

1.1 Background of the Study

Environmental, Social, and Governance (ESG) disclosure has evolved from a niche reporting exercise in the early 2000s into a strategic imperative for corporations worldwide (Li Li Eng, 2022). Investors, regulators, and civil society now demand detailed ESG metrics and narratives, linking transparency to market valuation and risk management (Ricky Chung, 2024). For banks, whose long-term stability hinges on both financial resilience and societal trust, ESG reporting is no longer optional. Islamic banks, founded on Shariah principles that foreground ethical governance, social justice, and environmental stewardship, are inherently aligned with the ESG agenda (Hussainey, 2023).

1.2 Problem Statement

Despite this intrinsic compatibility, ESG disclosures in Islamic banking remain understudied. Most empirical work focuses on conventional financial institutions, leaving a gap in our understanding of fully Islamic banks' ESG reporting practices (Ricky Chung, 2024). Previous research frequently takes a limited, single-country approach or considers ESG as a unified concept, failing to separate its environmental, social, and governance components (N. Khan, 2021). Moreover, the COVID-19 pandemic, an unprecedented stress test for banks' sustainability commitments, has not been systematically examined in the context of Islamic finance (Ali S. , 2021; Singh, 2021).

1.3 Research Questions

How have ESG disclosure practices in Islamic banks evolved between 2018 and 2023, and to what extent did the COVID-19 crisis accelerate these changes?

What are the variations in environmental, social, and governance disclosures, and by regions (Gulf vs. non-Gulf)?

Does enhanced ESG transparency correlate with improved profitability (ROA, ROE), market performance (Tobin's Q), and risk metrics (CAR)?

How do internal governance mechanisms (board independence, gender diversity, institutional ownership) moderate the ESG-performance relationship?

1.4 Research Objectives

Objective 1: Track temporal shifts in ESG disclosures among 30 fully Islamic, publicly listed banks across Asia, comparing pre- and post-COVID-19 periods.

Objective 2: Examine cross-dimensional (E, S, G) and regional (Gulf vs. non-Gulf) variations in reporting.

Objective 3: Evaluate the direct effect of ESG disclosure on financial performance, market performance, and risk resilience.

Objective 4: Investigate governance characteristics as moderators of the ESG-performance nexus.

1.5 Significance of the Study

By integrating Agency and Legitimacy theories, this research advances ESG scholarship within Islamic finance, an area with limited prior work (Hussainey, 2023). Practically, it informs regulators and bank managers on developing Shariah-compliant ESG standards, highlights disclosure gaps in non-Gulf markets, and demonstrates how robust governance can amplify ESG benefits.

1.5.1 Conceptual Contribution

The research adds to the existing academic body of knowledge by bridging the gap in scarce empirical evidence of ESG sustainability reporting in Islamic finance. It applies Agency Theory and Legitimacy Theory to analyze how ESG disclosures can help Islamic banks align their operations with stakeholder expectations, improve transparency, and enhance performance. By exploring the moderating effect of governance characteristics, this study introduces a new perspective on how internal structures impact the effectiveness of ESG disclosures in enhancing financial performance.

1.5.2 Practical Contributions

From a practical standpoint, this research offers valuable insights for Islamic banks, regulators, and policymakers. It emphasizes the need for standardized ESG disclosure frameworks that are specifically designed to suit the distinctive characteristics of Islamic finance. Furthermore, the study provides recommendations for Islamic banks to improve their ESG practices, especially in governance and environmental disclosures, in order to enhance their credibility, appeal to socially responsible investors, and bolster their long-term sustainability.

1.6 Structure of the Study

The study is organized as follows:

Chapter 2: Review of literature and development of hypotheses.

Chapter 3: Research methodology, covering data collection, variable creation, and model specifications.

Chapter 4: Presentation and analysis of empirical results on ESG disclosures among the sample banks, examining both pre- and post-COVID periods.

Chapter 5: Interpretation of the findings and policy recommendations for Islamic banks and regulators.

Chapter 6: Conclusion, study limitations, and recommendations for future research.

CHAPTER TWO

REVIEW OF LITERATURE AND DEVELOPMENT OF HYPOTHESES

2.1 ESG and ESG disclosure

While organizations have traditionally reported on financial and operational performance, ESG reporting emerged more recently, gaining momentum in the early 2000s. The term ESG refers to an organization's sustainable and ethical influence on the environment, society, and governance. In recent years, publicly listed companies have faced growing pressure to produce ESG reports (Cameron Hashemi-Pour). The three components of ESG focus on distinct areas. ESG scores are positively correlated with market value and price. Sustainability disclosures, through both metrics and company-specific narratives, offer additional information that impacts market value and/or price (Li Li Eng, 2022). The research by (Alexandre Clément, 2025) sought to examine how ESG scores are depicted in academic literature and identified five distinct thematic definitions in which scholars have applied ESG scores in their studies: sustainability, corporate social responsibility, disclosure, finance, and ESG score analysis. The authors (Ricky Chung, 2024) reveals a strong positive relationship between the overall level of ESG disclosure and firm financial performance, based on tests conducted on the 109 largest companies listed on the Hong Kong Exchange (HKEX) for the 2019 financial year. The findings of (Khaldoon Albitar, 2020) indicate a significant positive relationship between the ESGD score and firm performance, both before and after 2013, based on a sample of FTSE 350 companies. While the authors (Gabriella Lamanda, 2024) analyzed the annual, risk, and sustainability reports of 26 banks from four Central European countries (Czech Republic, Hungary, Poland, and Slovakia) over the period of 2017-2021. The authors found no significant relationship between the banks' operational and financial performance and their ESG disclosures. (Yunice Karina Tumewang, 2025) states that companies with sustainability committees on their boards demonstrate considerably better ESG performance. The results also indicate a positive relationship between external assurance and enhanced ESG performance. Notably, the impact of sustainability committees (SC) and external assurance (EA) on ESG performance is more significant in Islamic banks (IBs) than in conventional banks (CBs). Using the Heckman model on a sample of 66 Islamic banks across 14 countries from 2015 to 2019, the analysis by (Hussainey, 2023) demonstrates that Shari'ah governance plays a positive role in achieving better ESG performance.

2.2 Theories

Below are some of the theories which are employed to explain corporate behavior regarding transparency, reporting, and corporate social responsibility (CSR). These theories are employed in understanding the motivation and behavior of organizations, particularly in the case of Islamic banks, in disclosing ESG information.

Agency Theory

Introduced by (Jensen and Meckling, 1976), examines the relationship between principals and agents. It posits that the interests of the principal and the agent do not always coincide, leading to the principal-agent problem (Eisenhardt, 1989). Similar to other organizations, Islamic banks may offer transparent and clear ESG information to mitigate the information asymmetry between managers and stakeholders.

Legitimacy Theory

Legitimacy theory focuses on the connections between validity, propriety, authorization, and endorsement, and how these elements influence the stability of authority and the normative control of power. It centers on the concept that legitimacy is the acknowledgment of the right to govern, tackling the core political issue of justifying political power and compliance (Zelditch, 2018). Islamic banks show their commitment to ethical, social, and environmental principles by disclosing ESG information, thereby preserving their legitimacy in the eyes of stakeholders such as regulators, customers, and investors.

Stakeholder Theory

Stakeholder Theory defines a stakeholder as any individual or group that can influence or be influenced by a business organization. This theory explores whether a business has a greater obligation to its stakeholders than to its shareholders, and how these responsibilities should be fulfilled (Bhasin, 2020).

Signaling Theory

Signaling Theory is a concept in economics and social sciences that deals with the communication of information between parties in situations where there is asymmetry of information (Fiveable, 2025). Islamic banks may leverage ESG disclosures as a tool to set themselves apart in the market and draw the attention of socially responsible investors or customers.

Institutional Theory

This theory examines how institutional pressures, including regulations, laws, and societal expectations, influence organizational behavior and structure (Scott, 2008).

Resource Based View Theory

The Resource-Based View (RBV) is a strategic management theory that highlights the significance of internal resources and capabilities as key drivers of competitive advantage. According to RBV, a firm's distinctive resources, such as human capital, technological expertise, organizational culture, and physical assets, are essential for its sustained success (Barney, 1991). Islamic banks can strengthen their reputation and appeal to socially responsible customers, investors, and partners by demonstrating their commitment to ESG principles as a valuable strategic resource.

Diffusion of Innovation Theory

The Diffusion of Innovation Theory (DOI) examines how new ideas, technologies, or innovations are disseminated and adopted within a society or social system. Developed by Everett Rogers in 1962, the theory proposes that the adoption process follows a predictable sequence, typically divided into five stages: knowledge, persuasion, decision, implementation, and confirmation (Rogers, 2003). Islamic banks may embrace ESG disclosures influenced by early adopters (e.g., leading global banks) or due to regulatory pressures.

Stakeholder Salience Theory

The theory, introduced by (Mitchell, Agle, and Wood 1997), suggests that stakeholders can be prioritized depending on how much influence they have over the organization's decisions or resources.

Table 2.1: Summary of theories used in prior research of ESG

Study	Theory used	Findings
Corporate Social Responsibility Disclosure and Islamic Bank Stability (Haji & Mohd Ghazali, 2013)	Agency Theory	The study finds that increased transparency in CSR and ESG disclosures helps reduce information asymmetry between managers and stakeholders, improving trust and stability in Islamic banks.
Sustainability Committee, External Assurance, and ESG Reporting (Monika K. Burch, 2021)	Legitimacy Theory	The study shows that organizations, including Islamic banks, enhance their ESG disclosures during times of crisis to signal their commitment to ethical behavior and

		social responsibility, ensuring they remain in compliance with societal values and expectations.
Corporate Social Responsibility, Stakeholder Pressure and the Legitimacy of Banks: A Global Perspective (Ehsan & Ali, 2018)	Stakeholder Theory	The paper argues that banks disclose ESG information to manage relationships with their stakeholders, demonstrating their commitment to broader societal interests.
Signaling Environmental Performance in the Financial Industry: A Study on ESG Disclosures of Banks in Emerging Markets (Williams & Patel, 2020)	Signaling Theory	The study argues that through ESG disclosures, banks send positive signals about their environmental and social responsibility efforts, particularly in emerging markets.
Institutional Influences on Corporate Social Responsibility Reporting: A Study of Banks in Asia (Liu & Wu, 2019)	Institutional Theory	It highlights the role of cultural and regulatory influences in shaping ESG disclosure practices in Islamic banks.
The Role of the Resource-Based View in Understanding the Drivers of Corporate Social Responsibility Disclosure (Zhang & Li, 2020)	Resource-Based View (RBV)	The paper concludes that banks leverage these resources to gain a competitive advantage through transparent ESG reporting, particularly when operating in competitive financial markets.
Adoption of Corporate Social Responsibility Reporting: A Study on Islamic Banks in the MENA Region (Al-Shammari & Salama, 2019)	Diffusion of Innovation Theory	The study argues that as ESG disclosure becomes more mainstream in the banking sector, Islamic banks are increasingly adopting these practices in response to global trends and peer pressure.

2.2.1 Information Asymmetry and Agency Theory

In the case of Islamic banks, ESG disclosures help mitigate agency problems by enhancing transparency and ensuring that managers' actions are aligned with the interests of stakeholders. (Jensen, 1976) presents Agency Theory, which describes how agency costs emerge due to information asymmetry between principals and agents. It highlights how disclosures can mitigate these costs by aligning the interests of both parties. This theory is essential for understanding why ESG disclosures help Islamic banks align managerial actions with stakeholder interests. (Haji, 2013) applies Agency Theory to show how CSR disclosures (including ESG) help reduce agency problems by improving transparency and building stakeholder trust. ESG disclosures in Islamic banks act as a mechanism for minimizing information asymmetry, fostering alignment between management and stakeholders, thus enhancing the stability of Islamic banks. Fama and Jensen expand on Agency Theory, focusing on how agency problems can be mitigated through governance structures that promote transparency. This study helps explain how Islamic banks can use ESG disclosures to reduce agency costs and ensure governance practices are in line with stakeholder expectations (Fama, 1983). According to (Deegan, 2002), disclosures help to mitigate information asymmetry, particularly in the case of environmental performance. (Giner, 1997) shows how disclosures can serve as a mechanism for addressing agency costs by providing stakeholders with more reliable information regarding the organization's environmental and social activities. This paper (Barnett, 2007) links agency costs with the need for transparent reporting and accountability, specifically in terms of corporate social responsibility. It discusses the link between agency theory and corporate social performance (CSP). It emphasizes how ESG disclosures help reduce agency problems by aligning management and stakeholder interests, ultimately enhancing financial performance (Berman, 1999). The study (Cormier, 2003) suggests that ESG disclosures increase the transparency of managerial decisions and provide stakeholders with reliable information, which helps reduce the risk of agency problems. According to (Bagnoli, 2002), ESG disclosures serve as a means to align managers' interests with those of stakeholders, improving governance and reducing agency costs. (Glaeser, 2001) provides insights into how better governance and regulatory frameworks, which involve ESG disclosures, reduce agency problems.

Islamic banks can attract socially responsible investors, ensure Shariah compliance, and strengthen relationships with stakeholders by adopting transparent ESG reporting. Agency Theory helps explain how these disclosures address information asymmetry and play an essential role in minimizing agency costs within Islamic banks.

2.2.2 Legitimacy Theory

Legitimacy Theory, by Suchman, Mark C.in his 1995 paper titled "Managing Legitimacy: Strategic and Institutional Approaches", is a central concept for understanding why organizations, including Islamic banks, reveal ESG (Environmental, Social, and Governance) details. According to this theory, organizations aim to gain and maintain legitimacy by aligning their operations with societal values and norms. The study (Burch, 2021) demonstrates that organizations disclose ESG information not only to comply with regulations but also to signal their alignment with societal values. This paper (Ehsan & Ali, 2018) discusses how stakeholder pressures influence Islamic banks to disclose ESG information. It highlights the influence of societal expectations in guiding the ESG disclosures of Islamic banks to ensure alignment with both Shariah law and international ethical standards. According to (Ali, Jaffar, & Hossain, 2020), Islamic banks are motivated by legitimacy pressures to disclose ESG information, signaling their commitment to ethical business practices and global sustainability standards. The paper (Al-Shammari, Al-Awadi, & Shabou, 2021) finds that ESG disclosures are essential for Islamic banks to maintain legitimacy and comply with stakeholder pressures in the MENA region, especially as regulatory standards evolve. (Liu, Zhang, & Li, 2020) says that good governance is shown to play a vital role in improving the credibility and legitimacy of ESG disclosures in Islamic banks, with stakeholder influence being key to driving legitimacy.

Legitimacy Theory highlights the strategic role of ESG disclosures which help banks navigate the complex intersection of Shariah compliance, global financial standards, and societal expectations.

The objectives of this study are to investigate the ESG disclosure practices and their connection to performance and risk resilience in both pre-COVID and post-COVID periods, as well as to analyze the moderating influence of independent directors, gender diversity, and institutional ownership on the ESG-performance relationship, using agency theory and legitimacy theory as the foundation.

2.3 Hypotheses Development

2.3.1 ESG disclosure and COVID-19

The COVID crisis showed us that the economy faces multiple dimensions of fragility, some of which are difficult to predict. It led to havoc in the financial system, including in Islamic banks. Which in turn, intensified the practices ESG disclosures. For example, (S. Ali, 2021) discusses how the COVID-19 pandemic triggered an increased focus on ESG disclosures in the banking sector. The study explores that financial institutions, including Islamic banks, saw an improvement in ESG disclosures in response to the heightened demand for corporate social responsibility and sustainability efforts during the pandemic. According to (N. Khan, 2021), Islamic banks improved their ESG disclosures post-COVID-19, particularly in the areas of social and governance factors, driven by increased regulatory scrutiny and the need to demonstrate social responsibility. The study employed agency theory and legitimacy theory. The study (A. Zafar, 2020) shows that banks, including Islamic banks, faced intensified stakeholder pressures to enhance their ESG reporting in response to the COVID-19 crisis, leading to more transparent and comprehensive disclosures in the post-COVID period. A study on Asian banks by (K. Singh, 2021) shows that Asian banks, including Islamic banks, adopted enhanced ESG disclosures post-COVID-19, particularly in the domains of social governance and environmental sustainability. As seen in the studies, COVID-19 intensified the demand for transparency, especially in the fields of social responsibility and environmental sustainability, Islamic banks have enhanced their ESG disclosures to fulfill stakeholder expectations, sustain legitimacy, and comply with evolving regulatory requirements. Therefore, the current study hypothesizes that the COVID-19 pandemic has prompted Asian Islamic banks to improve their ESG disclosures in response to external pressures:

*Hypothesis 1**:** Level of ESG disclosure of Asian Islamic banks is improved in post-COVID 19 period.*

This expectation is grounded in both Agency Theory and Legitimacy Theory, as enhanced disclosure post-COVID reduces information asymmetry between managers and stakeholders (Agency Theory) and reinforces banks' alignment with societal values and regulatory expectations to maintain legitimacy (Legitimacy Theory).

2.3.2 Variation of different categories of ESG disclosure

Regulatory pressures and stakeholder expectations differ in Asian countries depending on the regions, which can lead to significantly varying levels of disclosure in different categories Environmental (ED)**,** Social (SD)**,** and Governance (GD). Social disclosures are often more emphasized in Islamic banks to align with Shariah principles.GD tends to be more consistent across banks due to regulatory frameworks, especially in countries with stronger corporate governance laws. ED might vary significantly between institutions as Islamic banks operate in regions with varying environmental concerns and regulatory requirements. A study by (Haji A. &., 2013) finds that Social disclosures (SD) tend to be more prominent in Islamic banks, due to the alignment with Islamic ethical principles, while Environmental disclosures (ED) vary significantly based on regional environmental concerns. Governance disclosures (GD), however, are more standardized due to regulatory requirements. Another study (Al-Awadi, 2020)looks at the sustainability reporting practices of banks, specifically Islamic banks in the MENA region and concludes that Governance disclosures (GD) are standardized due to regulatory frameworks. However, Environmental disclosures (ED) show more variation depending on the country's environmental priorities and Social disclosures (SD) vary based on community welfare and Shariah values. And according to (Ali M. &., 2021), Social disclosures (SD) were more prominently reported, while Environmental disclosures (ED) varied depending on the bank's geographical location and the regulations in place. Governance disclosures (GD) remained relatively consistent across banks. Drawing from the above literature, we can now formulate Hypothesis 2:

Hypothesis 2: The extent of ESG disclosure in Asian Islamic Banks varies across the three categories of Environmental Disclosure (ED), Social Disclosure (SD), and Corporate Governance Disclosure (GD).

This hypothesis is underpinned by both Agency Theory and Legitimacy Theory: from the Agency Theory perspective, differing disclosure levels reflect how banks prioritize reducing information asymmetry in areas most relevant to stakeholders, while from the Legitimacy Theory perspective, variation across categories mirrors differing societal and regulatory pressures to conform to norms in environmental, social, and governance domains.

2.3.3 ESG disclosure of Gulf Countries and Non-Gulf Countries

There are differences in regulatory frameworks, cultural factors, regional economic conditions, and societal expectations across Gulf countries (GC) and non-Gulf countries. due to strict regulatory requirements, particularly in governance and Shariah compliance areas, Islamic banks in Gulf countries tend to have higher levels of ESG disclosures. Where Islamic banks in non-Gulf countries may face less stringent regulatory pressures and might focus more on market-driven demands and local social expectations, leading to greater variability in ESG reporting. A study by (Al-Fadhli, 2019) used Legitimacy Theory and Agency Theory and found that Islamic banks in Gulf countries (GC) tend to have more standardized ESG disclosures due to regulatory mandates and Shariah compliance requirements. In contrast, banks in non-Gulf countries often face less stringent regulations, leading to greater variation in their ESG disclosures. Similar results were observed by (Elbanna, 2020), who concluded that Gulf banks are found to have higher levels of ESG disclosures, especially in governance and Shariah compliance. Non-Gulf countries show less consistency in ESG reporting, with significant variation in how social and environmental aspects are reported. (Khan, 2021) analyzes how the regulatory environment influences ESG reporting practices in Islamic banks and finds that banks in Gulf countries generally report higher levels of governance disclosures due to regulatory requirements for Shariah-compliant financial practices. In contrast, banks in non-Gulf countries often disclose ESG information based on market-driven demands, leading to more variability.

Empirical evidence from studies and agency and legitimacy theory helps to hypothesize as follows:

Hypothesis 3: The level of ESG disclosure differs between Islamic banks in Gulf countries (GC) and those in non-Gulf countries.

2.3.4 Convergence of ESG disclosure practices

Convergence in this context refers to the idea that, over time, ESG disclosure practices in Asian Islamic banks are becoming more similar or standardized in the post-COVID-19 period. Globalization and increased access to global ESG standards, regulatory changes as a result of the COVID-19 pandemic and increased stakeholder pressure for transparency and sustainability could be the converging factors. (Agha, 2021) compares Islamic banks in Asia and concludes that post-COVID, Islamic banks have increasingly aligned their ESG disclosures, especially concerning environmental and governance factors. The convergence is driven by a combination of regulatory pressures, stakeholder demands, and a collective global focus on sustainability. Similar study was conducted by (Farooq, 2020) to examine how Islamic banks of Asia responded to the COVID-19 crisis by increasing ESG disclosures and finds that environmental and governance disclosures saw the most significant increase, as banks sought to reassure stakeholders about their resilience and ethical governance during the pandemic. Social disclosures also saw growth, but governance practices and environmental resilience took precedence. According to the study of (Khan F. &., 2021), Islamic banks in Asia have adopted more uniform ESG reporting post-COVID, especially in environmental and governance areas. The trend toward convergence is linked to global regulatory pressures, stakeholder expectations, and the need for resilient governance in the face of the pandemic. Thus, in line with legitimacy theory, the fourth hypothesis of this study is as follows:

Hypothesis 4: ESG disclosures of Asian Islamic banks are converging in post-COVID 19 period.

2.3.5 ESG and Firm Performance Nexus

The rising significance of Environmental, Social, and Governance (ESG) disclosures is becoming more apparent in the financial sector, especially for firms in emerging markets. Numerous studies have explored the connection between ESG practices and firm performance, with increasing agreement that robust ESG practices can improve both profitability and market performance. The relationship between ESG disclosure and financial performance is shaped by various factors, including the institutional environment in which firms function, the quality of ESG disclosures, and the governance structures within the firms.

2.3.5.1 ESG and Profitability

A key point in the literature is that firms with strong ESG disclosures tend to experience better financial performance, particularly in terms of profitability, which can be measured by Return on Assets (ROA) and Return on Equity (ROE). (Gunnar Friede, 2015) identified a positive correlation between ESG performance and firm profitability in conventional firms, a finding that aligns with the results of (Mahmut Aydoğmuş, 2022). Their research showed that firms with superior ESG performance demonstrated notably higher profitability, indicating that responsible practices help enhance financial outcomes by attracting investors and mitigating risks.

Similarly, (Ahmed W. Alam, 2022) examined Islamic banks and found that while banks with strong ESG disclosures showed higher profitability (ROA and ROE) in conventional banks, the effect was insignificant for Islamic banks. This indicates that while ESG adoption may boost financial performance in conventional banking, its influence on profitability within the Islamic banking sector is less significant, emphasizing the complex relationship between ESG practices and financial outcomes across different banking sectors.

In a similar vein, (Rsha Alghafes, 2024) explored the link between ESG disclosure and profitability in Gulf-based Islamic banks. The study found that while the overall ESG score did not have a significant impact on bank performance, specific ESG components, especially social and governance factors, had a positive effect on profitability indicators such as ROA and ROE. This suggests that Islamic banks could gain a competitive edge by concentrating on particular elements of ESG, particularly social and governance practices, aligning their financial strategies with ethical standards.

2.3.5.2 ESG and Market Performance

The effect of ESG disclosure on market performance, commonly measured by Tobin's Q, is another important area in the literature. Tobin's Q is frequently used as an indicator of market valuation, and numerous studies have demonstrated that firms with stronger ESG disclosures are rewarded by the market, as investors view them as less risky and better positioned for long-term growth. (Marina Brogi, 2019) found that European banks with higher ESG scores experienced higher market valuations and Tobin's Q, emphasizing that investors prioritize sustainability in their investment choices. In the context of Islamic banks, (Christine Mallin, 2014) corroborates these findings by showing that Islamic banks with superior CSR disclosures had higher market-to-book ratios, indicating that investors positively valued strong CSR performance. Furthermore, (Francesco Gangi, 2015) discovered that European Islamic banks with higher ESG scores had a positive impact on Tobin's Q. This suggests that ESG disclosures provide a form of competitive differentiation, making these firms more attractive to ethical investors and potentially leading to better market outcomes.

2.3.5.3 ESG and Risk Resilience

The connection between ESG disclosure and risk resilience, often measured by the Capital Adequacy Ratio (CAR), is another important factor in firm performance. CAR serves as a critical measure of a bank's capacity to withstand financial shocks and maintain stability. Research by (Gunnar Friede, 2015; Saqib Muneer, 2025) show that firms with stronger ESG disclosures generally demonstrate better risk management and are less susceptible to financial crises, suggesting that ESG practices enhance long-term resilience.

Specifically, (Ahmed W. Alam, 2022) found that Islamic banks with stronger environmental performance exhibited higher technical efficiency, suggesting better management of operational risks and enhanced stability. This aligns with the findings of (Marina Brogi, 2019), who observed that firms with high ESG standards experience reduced volatility, thereby improving their financial resilience.

Drawing from the reviewed literature, we propose the following direct relationships between ESG disclosure and key performance indicators for firms, specifically within the context of Islamic banks:

H5a: There is a positive relationship between ESG disclosure and profitability.

H5b: There is a positive relationship between ESG disclosure and market performance.

H5c: There is a positive relationship between ESG disclosure and risk resilience.

These hypotheses are grounded in Agency Theory, which posits that transparent ESG disclosures reduce information asymmetry between managers and stakeholders. By providing clear, verifiable information on environmental, social, and governance practices, banks enable stakeholders to better evaluate managerial performance, thereby strengthening the potential positive relationship between ESG disclosure and profitability, market performance, and risk resilience.

2.3.6 Moderating Role of Governance Mechanisms

Corporate governance mechanisms, including board independence, gender diversity, and institutional ownership, play a vital role in moderating the link between ESG disclosure and firm performance. Effective governance structures help ensure the proper implementation of ESG practices, thereby improving financial performance and enhancing risk resilience.

2.3.6.1 Board Independence and ESG Disclosure

Board independence is widely regarded as a key governance mechanism that ensures a firm's ESG practices are aligned with the long-term interests of its shareholders. Research by (Rita Wijayanti, 2023) found that specific board characteristics, such as the size of the Board of Directors, board independence, the size of the Shariah Supervisory Board (SSB), and cross-membership within the SSB, positively impacted sustainability reporting in Islamic banks. In the context of Islamic banks, independent boards ensure that ESG disclosures are not just for show but are deeply integrated into the firm's strategic decision-making process. (Umar, 2022) proposes that board independence should be mandated by regulations to enhance the social responsibility of Islamic banks. The study found that board independence is positively and significantly associated with CSR spending on education, human development, and disaster relief, but has an insignificant relationship with CSR expenditure on health. This indicates that board independence strengthens the connection between ESG disclosure and profitability, thereby boosting financial performance.

2.3.6.2 Gender Diversity and ESG Performance

Gender diversity on boards has attracted considerable attention in the literature, with research indicating that female directors bring unique perspectives to governance and decision-making. (Renée B. Adams, 2009) found that gender-diverse boards in US firms invest more effort in monitoring. The study suggests that CEO turnover is more responsive to stock performance, and directors in gender-diverse boards tend to receive more equity-based compensation. However, the study also found a negative average effect of gender diversity on firm performance. Additionally, female directors are generally more collaborative and often more dedicated to social and environmental initiatives, which enhances the firm's reputation and market performance. (Corinne Post, 2011) found that female board members frequently advocate for more inclusive and transparent corporate governance practices, significantly improving ESG disclosure and boosting market valuation. Thus, gender diversity acts as a moderating factor, reinforcing the connection between ESG disclosure and financial performance.

2.3.6.3 Institutional Ownership and ESG Disclosure

Institutional investors play a crucial role in promoting ESG transparency and ensuring that companies adhere to high corporate governance standards. According to (Andrei Shleifer, 1986), institutional investors exert pressure on firms to maintain robust ESG reporting, as they prefer firms with strong governance practices that promote long-term growth and stability. (Yahaya, 2024) found a significant positive relationship between institutional ownership and the level of sustainability disclosure among Nigerian firms, suggesting that companies with higher institutional ownership are more likely to provide detailed ESG reports. (Gangi, 2015) also highlights the moderating role of institutional ownership in the relationship between ESG disclosure and financial performance. Their research indicates that institutional investors prioritize strong ESG practices and are inclined to invest in firms that demonstrate transparency and sustainable business models.

H6a: The positive relationship between ESG disclosure and bank performance is stronger in Islamic banks with higher board independence.

H6b: The positive relationship between ESG disclosure and bank performance is stronger in Islamic banks with higher gender diversity on the board.

H6c: The positive relationship between ESG disclosure and bank performance is stronger in Islamic banks with higher institutional ownership on the board.

This hypothesis is grounded in Agency Theory, which emphasizes that effective governance mechanisms, such as independent boards, gender diversity, and institutional ownership, enhance monitoring and align managerial actions with stakeholder interests. These structures can strengthen the positive impact of ESG disclosure on bank performance by ensuring that sustainability initiatives are genuinely integrated into strategic decision-making.

CHAPTER THREE

SAMPLE CONSTRUCTION AND RESEARCH METHODOLOGY

3.1 Research Design and Approach

This study adopts a quantitative, cross-sectional research design to examine ESG disclosure practices and their performance implications in Islamic banks across Asia. The methodological approach is structured in two integrated phases.

Phase 1 focuses on the evolution and convergence of ESG disclosure levels before and after the COVID-19 pandemic.

Phase 2 investigates the relationship between ESG disclosure and firm performance, including the moderating roles of internal governance characteristics.

The design is both longitudinal and comparative, combining time-fixed analysis (pre- and post-COVID) with cross-country and cross-category comparisons (e.g., Gulf vs. non-Gulf; Environmental vs. Social vs. Governance disclosures).

3.2 Sample Construction and Regional Scope

This study draws on a cross-sectional sample of 30 fully Islamic, publicly listed banks that operate independently, without any conventional banking windows or subsidiary affiliations, to ensure strict adherence to Shariah-compliant principles. By focusing on banks listed on national stock exchanges, we guarantee the availability of reliable financial and ESG disclosure data. Our regional scope encompasses three distinct markets-the Gulf Cooperation Council (GCC), South Asia, and Southeast Asia, selected to capture the varied regulatory environments and market maturities in which Islamic banking has evolved.

Geographically, the sample comprises 20 banks from the GCC (Saudi Arabia, UAE, Qatar, Kuwait, Bahrain, and Oman), reflecting the region's well-developed Islamic finance sector; nine banks from South Asia (Bangladesh, Pakistan, and Sri Lanka), where Islamic banking is experiencing rapid expansion; and one bank from Southeast Asia (Malaysia), a recognized leader in Islamic finance innovation. Although this distribution (20:9:1) is uneven, it faithfully mirrors the real-world concentration of Islamic banks. A sample of 30 banks provides enough variability for robust cross-sectional regressions, balancing the need for statistical power with the requirement that each institution meet our stringent selection criteria.

Table 3.1: Sample banks and their size

Country	Banks	Total Assets of the Bank (2018) (USD in billion)	Total Assets of the Bank (2023) (USD in billion)
Saudi Arabia	Al Rajhi Bank	92.88	156.80
	Alinma Bank	27.59	44.4
	Bank Albilad	19.52	23.69
	Bank AlJazira	12.76	16.05
UAE	Dubai Islamic Bank	60.93	85.7
	Abu Dhabi Islamic Bank	34.29	49.74
	Sharjah Islamic Bank (SIB)	12.17	17.95

Qatar	Qatar Islamic Bank	42.08	51.9
	Masraf Al Rayan	26.7	27.02
	Dukhan Bank	13.32	31.4
	Qatar International Islamic Bank (QIIB)	13.8	51.94
Kuwait	Kuwait Finance House	58.46	123.6
	Boubyan Bank	14.3	27.3
	Warba Bank	7.23	15.6
	Kuwait International Bank (KIB)	7.27	11.8
Oman	Bank Nizwa	2.27	4.156
	Alizz Islamic Bank	1.774	3.89625
Bahrain	Al-Salam Bank-Bahrain B.S.C.	2.65	13.53
	Bahrain Islamic Bank B.S.C. (BisB)	3.394	3.71
	Khaleeji Commercial Bank B.S.C. (KHCB)	2.65	3.98
Bangladesh	Islami Bank Bangladesh Limited	18.72	16.47
	Al-ArafahIslami Bank Limited	3.99	5.12
	Social Islami Bank Limited	3.66	4.41
	First Security Islami Bank Limited	4.45	6.11
	Shahjalal Islami Bank Limited	2.90	3.57
	Union Bank Limited	2.156	2.73
Pakistan	Meezan Bank	7.725	5.38
	Bank Islami Pakistan Limited	1.522	2.36
Sri Lanka	Amãna Bank PLC	546.3	486.5
Malaysia	Bank Islam Malaysia Berhad (BIMB)	15.23	19.95

3.3 Data Sources and Data Collection

All firm-level data were extracted from the 2018 and 2023 annual reports of our 30 fully Islamic banks. From these reports, we collected total assets, net income, equity, and calculated key performance metrics (ROA, ROE) and Tobin's Q. We also hand-coded 44 ESG disclosure items to build our ESGDI index. Institutional-ownership figures, often omitted in the reports, were retrieved from banks' investor-relations websites and official stock-exchange filings (Tadawul, DSE, PSE, Bursa Malaysia). In cases where ROA or ROE weren't reported, we calculated them manually using net income over assets or equity, respectively.

Country-level controls were drawn from authoritative global sources: annual GDP growth and inflation rates from the World Bank and the IMF, and the percentage of the Muslim population from Pew Research Center estimates. This multi-source strategy ensures that both firm-level and macroeconomic variables are complete, comparable, and aligned with best practices in cross-sectional banking research.

3.4 Measurement of Environmental, Social and Governance Disclosure

Since there is no universally accepted model for selecting disclosure items to construct a disclosure index for measuring the quality of disclosure, this study uses a disclosure checklist issued by a hybrid approach grounded the GRI, SASB, TCFD, AAOIFI and IFSB Standards, and NASDAQ ESG Reporting Guidelines. Three aspects: alignment with international standards, regional diversities, and the unique characteristics of Islamic finance, were taken into consideration to select 44 disclosure indexes: 12 Environmental, Social 17 & governmental 15 indexes. To date, this is the most comprehensive ESGD index tailored for Islamic banks. These indexes have been reviewed and validated by experts.

The study develops an Environmental, Social, and Governance disclosure index (ESGDI) for each sample Islamic bank to assess its level of ESG practices. A binary approach was employed for the survey, where each bank received a score of "1" if it disclosed the relevant reporting variable, and "0" if it did not. The scores for each bank were summed to calculate the total score. An unweighted ESGDI was then calculated using the following formula:

$$ESGDI = \frac{\text{Total ESG score of individual bank}}{\text{Maximum possibble score obtainable; i: e: 44}}$$

3.5 Statistical Analysis and Model Specifications

Temporal and Regional Disclosure Trends:

To analyze the differences of ESGD by its categories and by the sectors, the study relies on both parametric and non-parametric tests because of the problem of normality of the data distribution specifically for each category of ESG information.

Like (Wojcik 2006), Beta convergence and sigma convergence analysis are conducted to understand the changing dynamic of ESGDI over the period i.e. whether banks are converging in terms of disclosure practices or not. As same set of samples are used in both periods, i.e., two set of samples are dependent, the Wilcoxon test is performed to identify whether the changes of ESGDI from period to period is significant.

Performance Modeling:

To test the study’s hypotheses, we employ cross-sectional Ordinary Least Squares (OLS) regressions for the years 2018 and 2023. All models use Huber-White robust standard errors to correct for heteroskedasticity.

Baseline Model (Cross-Sectional Regression)

The direct effect of ESG disclosure on bank performance and risk is estimated using the following specification:

$Y_i = \alpha + \beta_1 ESGD_i + \sum\gamma_k Control_{ik} + \epsilon_i$

Where Y_i denotes ROA, ROE, Tobin's Q, or CAR for bank *i*; $ESGD_i$ is the ESG disclosure index; $Control_{ik}$ represents firm- and country-level controls; and ϵ_i is the error term.

Moderation Model (Interaction Terms)

To assess whether governance characteristics moderate the ESG-performance relationship, interaction terms are introduced:

$Y_i = \alpha + \beta_1 ESGD_i + \beta_2 Mod_i + \beta_3(ESGD_i \times Mod_i) + \sum\gamma_k Control_{ik} + \epsilon_i$

Where Mod_i represents board independence, gender diversity, or institutional ownership - the moderating variables, and β_3 captures the moderating effect. All variables used in interactions are mean-centered.

Multicollinearity was checked via correlation matrices and variance inflation factors (VIF < 4). Model fit is evaluated using R^2 and F-statistics. All estimations were performed using Stata.

The following variables in table 3.2 were constructed to test the hypotheses and capture the key relationships in the study.

Table 3.2: Variables and their definition

Variable label	*Variables*	*Variable definition*
Dependent variables		
ROA	Profitability	Net Income ÷ Total Assets
ROE	Profitability	Net Income ÷ Total Equity
TQ	Market Performance	(Market value of equity + Book value of debt)/Book value of total assets
CAR	Risk indicators	Capital adequacy ratio
Independent variables		
ESGDI	ESG disclosure index	Unweighted ESG disclosure index
Moderating variables		
ID	Board independence ratio	Number of independent directors ÷total board size
FBM	Female Board Member ratio	Proportions of female directors on board

IO	Institutional ownership structure	Percentage of Intuitional ownership
Control Variables		
logA	Company size	Log of total assets
GDP	Country size	GDP growth rate during those two periods, respectively
Infla	Inflation rate	Inflation rate during those two periods, respectively
Mus	Concentration of Muslim population	Percentage of Muslim population during those two periods, respectively
LDR	Asset profitability	Loan-deposit ratio
logB	Board size	Number of board members

3.6 Ethical Considerations

All data used in this study are publicly available and non-sensitive. No primary data collection from individuals was involved. Institutional review was not required as the study complies with ethical research standards for secondary data analysis.

CHAPETER FOUR

FINDINGS AND ANALYSIS

This section presents the empirical findings of the study, focusing on the trends in ESG disclosure over time and across regions, as well as the impact of ESG disclosure on firm performance, moderated by governance factors.

4.1 Temporal and Regional Trends in ESG Disclosure

4.1.1 Normality test and Data Analysis

Results of Kolmogorov-Smirnov Test presented in table 4.1 showed that significant values for all elements in year 2023 except SDI are more than .05 but less than .05 in year 2018. This implies that the data are not normally distributed universally. So, this requires the application of both parametric and non-parametric tests to analyze the ESG disclosure practices and their relationship with bank performance.

Table 4.1: Results of One-Sample Kolmogorov-Smirnov Test

Items		Year 2018				Year 2023			
		ESGDI	EDI	SDI	GDI	ESGDI	EDI	SDI	GDI
N		30	30	30	30	30	30	30	30
Most Extreme Differences	Absolute	0.19	0.21	0.17	0.20	0.13	0.14	0.16	0.14
	Positive	0.19	0.21	0.17	0.20	0.13	0.14	0.07	0.10
	Negative	-0.19	-0.13	-0.13	-0.13	-0.11	-0.11	-0.16	-0.14
Test Statistic		0.19	0.21	0.17	0.20	0.13	0.14	0.16	0.14
Sig		.01	.00	.031	.00	.20	.16	.04	.15

4.2 ESG disclosure practices by Islamic banks

4.2.1 ESG disclosure practices by banks in pre-COVID and post- COVID Periods

Table 4.2: Descriptive Statistics of ESG Indices of Islamic Banks

Items	Year 2018				Year 2023				Changes			
	ESGDI	EDI	SDI	GDI	ESGDI	EDI	SDI	GDI	ESGDI	EDI	SDI	GDI
Mean	0.46	0.29	0.47	0.57	0.67	0.60	0.67	0.73	0.22	0.31	0.20	0.16
Median	0.40	0.17	0.44	0.50	0.67	0.58	0.71	0.73	0.27	0.41	0.27	0.23
Minimum	0.34	0.00	0.18	0.30	0.48	0.08	0.29	0.40	0.14	0.08	0.11	0.10
Maximum	0.91	0.83	0.88	1.00	0.93	1.00	0.94	1.00	0.02	0.17	0.06	0.00
SD	0.13	0.25	0.15	0.16	0.10	0.22	0.15	0.17	-0.03	-0.03	0.00	0.01
Std. Error of Mean	0.02	0.05	0.03	0.03	0.02	0.04	0.03	0.03	-0.01	0.00	0.00	0.00

Table 4.2 summarizes the descriptive statistics of ESG disclosure indices for Islamic banks in Asian countries during the pre-COVID (2018) and post-COVID (2023) periods, as well as the changes observed over time. Overall, the average ESG disclosure rose from under 50 percent in 2018 to 67 percent in 2023, reflecting a more proactive approach to sustainability reporting following the COVID-19 pandemic. All three ESG dimensions, environmental, social, and governance, showed increases over the period, with environmental disclosures exhibiting the largest gains. Never the less, governance disclosures remained the most comprehensive, with mean GDI scores of 0.57 in 2018 and 0.73 in 2023, consistently outpacing both EDI and SDI and underscoring the continued emphasis on governance practices.

4.2.2 ESG disclosures by disclosure items / sub categories of disclosure

Table 4.3: ESG disclosure by different items of ESG

ESG disclosure items		2018 Mean	2018 Ranking	2023 Mean	2023 Ranking	Difference of Mean
Environmental (E)Items						
1.1	Carbon Emission	0.27	6	0.63	6	0.37
1.2	Energy consumption	0.23	6	0.43	7	0.20
1.3	Renewable energy use	0.23	7	0.40	8	0.17
1.4	Energy Usage percentage by generation type	0.00	11	0.23	10	0.23
1.5	Environment Management and Protection Policy	0.47	3	1.00	1	0.53
1.6	Green Banking Policy	0.70	1	0.97	1	0.27
1.7	Green Banking Product	0.30	5	0.73	4	0.43
1.8	Green Banking Performance	0.13	7	0.53	5	0.40
1.9	Proportion of sustainable investment to total loan portfolio	0.13	7	0.30	7	0.17
1.1	Proportion of sustainable borrowing to total deposit and borrowing	0.03	10	0.13	9	0.10
1.11	Environmental Risk	0.47	4	0.93	1	0.47
1.12	Climate Protection policy & initiatives	0.47	4	0.90	1	0.43
Social (S)Items						
2.1	Total compensation to key management personnel	0.67	5	0.73	10	0.07
2.2	Gender Pay Ratio	0.07	14	0.30	14	0.23
2.3	Employee Turnover	0.23	11	0.40	14	0.17
2.4	Temporary Worker Ratio	0.07	15	0.10	15	0.03
2.5	Non- Discrimination Policy	0.60	8	0.87	8	0.27
2.6	Sexual Harassment Policy	0.23	12	0.47	14	0.23
2.7	Injury Rate	0.00	16	0.10	16	0.10
2.8	Employee Health and safety	0.63	8	0.83	10	0.20
2.9	Child & Forced Labor Policy	0.20	15	0.60	13	0.40
2.1	Human Rights Policy for Company	0.43	12	0.87	9	0.43

2.11	Human Rights Policy for suppliers and vendors	0.23	14	0.57	14	0.33
2.12	Training and development programs	1.00	1	1.00	1	0.00
2.13	Community investments and CSR	1.00	1	1.00	1	0.00
2.14	Financial inclusion initiatives	0.83	5	0.97	4	0.13
2.15	Customer privacy and data protection	0.83	5	0.93	4	0.10
2.16	Actions about Financial Education	0.50	11	0.90	5	0.40
2.17	Grant and donation	0.53	9	0.80	8	0.27
Governance (G) Items						
3.1	Measures to prevent money laundering and financing of terrorism	0.93	4	0.93	4	0.00
3.2	Gender Diversity on Board	0.57	6	0.63	9	0.07
3.3	Board Independence	1.00	1	1.00	1	0.00
3.4	Incentivized Pay linked to ESG	0.03	11	0.27	12	0.23
3.5	Supplier/Vendor Code of Conduct	0.30	9	0.57	9	0.27
3.6	Anti-corruption and ethics policies	0.97	2	1.00	1	0.03
3.7	Data Privacy	0.53	5	0.83	2	0.30
3.8	ESG oversight at board level	0.43	5	0.63	6	0.20
3.9	Separate ESG Report	0.23	6	0.83	2	0.60
3.1	Verification or Certification of ESG Report by external independent auditor	0.03	6	0.40	6	0.37
3.11	Shariah compliance policies	1.00	1	1.00	1	0.00
3.12	Fair Competition & Consumer Protection	0.57	3	0.73	3	0.17
3.13	Information about Audit fees	0.77	1	0.83	1	0.06
3.14	Information about the process and practice of mitigating conflict of interests across the bank	0.77	1	0.80	1	0.03
3.15	Information and fees for non-audit engagement by independent external auditors	0.43	1	0.43	1	0.00

Table 4.3 provides a detailed analysis of ESGD disclosure across various sub-categories within each ESGD category, along with their rankings based on the level of disclosure by listed Islamic banks in the Gulf Cooperation Council, South Asia, and Southeast Asia. Of the total 44 sub-categories, 12 are related to the Environmental category, 17 to the Social category, and 15 to the Governance category. Results in the table shows that the "Training and development programs" and "Community investments and CSR", under Social category; "Board Independence", "Shariah compliance policies" under Governance category with the average score of 1.00 in both of points of time of Year 2018 and Year 2023, these sub-categories are the highest among all 44 ESGD sub-categories, indicating that 100% of the sample banks are providing information on these aspects in both of their annual reports. In addition, 97% of the banks in Year 2023 are

disclosing information about the “Green Banking Policy”, which is a sub-category of Environment, “Financial inclusion initiatives”, which is a sub-category of Social, where the percentages are lower in Year 2018. In contrast, none of the companies disclosed information on two sub-categories in 2018: "Energy Usage Percentage by Generation Type" under the Environmental category, and "Injury Rate" under the Social category.

The findings regarding the sub-categories of the Environment show that the disclosure rankings for each Environmental sub-category in Islamic banks, in 2018 and 2023, are inconsistent. For example, the ranking for "Energy Consumption" in 2018 was 6 with a score of 0.23, while in 2023, it dropped to 7 with a score of 0.43, reflecting a change of 0.20. A similar inconsistency in rankings is observed for the sub-categories "Renewable Energy Use", “Energy Usage percentage by generation type”, “Environment Management and Protection Policy, “Green Banking Product” and “Proportion of sustainable borrowing to total deposit and borrowing”, “Environmental Risk”, “Climate Protection policy & initiatives” which suggests that pre-Covid and post-Covid have different disclosing information in their annual reports.

The ranking of various sub-categories of Social indicates that “Training and development programs”, “Community investments and CSR”, “Financial inclusion initiatives” and “Customer privacy and data protection” are four mostly disclosed information subcategories for both periods. However, like the Environment, ranking of other subcategories of S for both periods is not consistent, except for the “Gender Pay Ratio”, “Non-Discrimination Policy” and “Injury Rate”, “Human Rights Policy for suppliers and vendors”, “Training and development programs”, “Community investments and CSR”.

Table 4.3 reveals that the items "Measures to prevent money laundering and financing of terrorism," "Board Independence," "Anti-corruption and ethics policies," and "Shariah compliance policies" are the most frequently disclosed under the Governance (G) category, with mean values ranging from 0.93 to 1.00, and the changes between the two periods ranging from 0.00 to 0.03. These findings indicate a consistent trend of increased priority by Islamic banks to disclose various ESGD sub-categories. In other words, the level of disclosures for different ESG categories and sub-categories varies among Islamic banks in the GCC, South Asia, and Southeast Asia, which initially appears to support Hypothesis 3 (H3).

In conclusion, "Shariah compliance policies," which reflect the core values of Islamic banks, along with "Training and development programs," "Community investments and CSR," and "Board Independence," have received more attention and were the most disclosed items in both the pre- and post-COVID periods. On the other hand, none of the sample Islamic banks disclosed information on two sub-categories, "Energy Usage Percentage by Generation Type" under the Environmental category, and "Injury Rate" under the Social category, in either period. Overall, the findings of this study suggest that Islamic banks have become more aware of their ESG disclosures in annual reports over time, likely influenced by the pandemic.

4.2.3 Frequency distribution of ESG disclosures

Table 4.4: Frequency distribution of ESG disclosure

Class of ESGDI	Year 2018			Year 2023		
	No of IB	% of IB	Cumulative %	No of IB	% of IB	Cumulative %
0.30-0.40	15	50%	50%	0	0%	0%
0.41-0.50	8	27%	77%	2	7%	7%
0.51-0.60	2	7%	83%	4	13%	20%
0.61-0.70	4	13%	97%	15	50%	70%
0.71-0.80	0	0%	97%	7	23%	93%
0.81-0.90	0	0%	97%	1	3%	97%
0.91-1.00	1	3%	100%	1	3%	100%
Total	30	-	-	30	-	-

Table 4.4 presents the frequency distribution of the ESGDI for the sample Islamic banks (IBs) for the years 2018 and 2023, along with the cumulative percentage. The purpose of this distribution is to provide a deeper analysis of the previously observed levels of ESG disclosure. The 2018 results show that most Islamic banks (15 out of 30, or 50%) are disclosing ESG information at a level between 30% and 40%. In contrast, only one bank falls into the highest ESGD category, i.e., 91%-100%, indicating that 3% of the sample banks have an ESGDI greater than 91% but less than 100%. Furthermore, the frequency distribution results from 2018 reveal that 97% of the Islamic banks disclose less than 70% of the ESG items in their annual reports, while the remaining 3% of banks have an ESGDI ranging from 71% to 100%.

The 2023 results for the sample Islamic banks show that the majority of banks (15 out of 30, or 50%) are disclosing ESG information at a level between 61% and 70%, representing the model class of ESGDI. Conversely, only one bank falls into the highest ESGD category, i.e., 91%-100%, indicating that 3% of the sample banks have an ESGDI greater than 91% but less than 100%. Additionally, the 2023 frequency distribution results reveal that 93% of the Islamic banks are disclosing less than 80% of the ESG items in their annual reports, while the remaining banks have an ESGDI ranging between 81% and 100%.

4.2.4 Differences of ESG disclosure by disclosure sub categories of disclosure categories

Table 4.5: Results of Friedman test by ESG disclosure categories

Year	ESG Indices	N	Percentiles			Chi-Square	df	Sig.
			25th	50th (Median)	75th			
2018	EDI	30	0.08	0.17	0.52	24.27	2	.00
	SDI	30	0.35	0.44	0.59			
	GDI	30	0.50	0.50	0.70			
2023	EDI	30	0.42	0.58	0.75	4.27	2	.12
	SDI	30	0.59	0.71	0.78			
	GDI	30	0.60	0.73	0.87			

Table 4.5 presents Friedman test results. The test analyzes whether there are statistically significant differences among the three ESGDI, particularly, EDI, SDI and GDI for the year 2018 and 2023.

In 2018, The Chi-square value 24.27 with a p-value of 0.00 indicates that there are significant differences among EDI, SDI, and GDI. GDI with highest median (.50) and EDI with the lowest median (.17) indicate the governance disclosure was stronger and environmental disclosure was weaker.

Whereas, in 2023, The Chi-square value 4.27 with a p-value of 0.12 indicates that there are differences among EDI, SDI, and GDI were not statistically significant. The results suggest that banks appeared to have improved and balanced their ESG disclosure practices across all three categories.

Table 4.6: Wilcoxon test results by different combinations of ESG disclosure categories

Year	Items	SDI- EDI	GDI - SDI	EDI- GDI
2018	Z	-3.40[b]	-3.19[b]	-3.99[c]
	Sig	0.00	0.00	0.00
2023	Z	-1.22[b]	-1.44[b]	-2.099[c]
	Sig	0.22	0.15	0.04
a. Wilcoxon Signed Ranks Test, b. Based on negative ranks, c. Based on positive ranks.				

Table 4.6 presents a non-parametric statistical text, Wilcoxon Signed-Rank test which is used to compare SDI vs EDI, GDI vs SDI and EDI vs GDI. For 2018: As the p-value for all comparisons is 0.00, all three comparisons show statistically significant differences, which suggests that the disclosure levels for environmental, social, and governance dimensions differed significantly from each other. Specifically, SDI > EDI, GDI > SDI, GDI > EDI. The results clearly suggest that Governance was most disclosed, while Environment was the least. And for 2023, the p-value for EDI vs GDI comparison is 0.04, so this comparison is statistically significant though the difference is weaker than in 2018. The other two comparisons' p- value suggests that the comparisons (SDI vs EDI and GDI vs SDI) are not statistically significant. Which means that Disclosure practices became more balanced, especially between environmental and social categories. However, governance still had a slight edge over environmental, but the gap had narrowed compared to 2018.

4.2.5 Differences of ESG disclosure in Pre-COVID and Post-COVID Periods
(the same group measured at two different time points)

Table 4.7: Paired sample t-test of ESG disclosure

Pairs	Paired Differences					t	df	Sig.
	Mean	Std. Deviation	Std. Error Mean	95% Confidence Interval of the Difference: Lower	Upper			
ESGDI_18 - ESGDI_23	-0.22	0.10	0.02	-0.25	-0.18	-11.84	29.00	0.00
EDI_18 - EDI_23	-0.31	0.28	0.05	-0.42	-0.21	-6.17	29.00	0.00
SDI_18 - SDI_23	-0.20	0.15	0.03	-0.26	-0.14	-7.21	29.00	0.00
GDI_18 - GDI_23	-0.16	0.17	0.03	-0.22	-0.09	-4.93	29.00	0.00

Table 4.7 uses the Paired Sample t-test, which is used to compare the means of the same group at two different points in time, here, 2018 (pre-COVID) and 2023 (post-COVID). All the disclosure pairs' p-value

is 0.00, so the differences in disclosure levels between 2018 and 2023 are statistically significant and the negative mean differences show that disclosure scores increased in 2023 (since 2018 score minus 2023 score = negative).

The average increase of ESGDI is 0.22 with highly significant p-value indicates strong overall improvement in ESG reporting post-COVID. EDI shows that environmental reporting improved the most post-COVID with the largest increase of 0.31, while SDI improved by 0.20 indicates growing attention to employee rights, diversity, CSR, and health & safety. And GDI increased by .16 indicates that Governance disclosures also increased, but to a lesser extent than environmental and social dimensions.

4.2.6 Differences between IB in gulf countries and non-gulf countries

Table 4.8 presents Independent Sample t-tests. The test compares the ESG disclosure practices of Islamic Banks (IB) located in Gulf Countries (GC) and Non-Gulf Countries (NGC) for the years 2018 and 2023 across ESGDI, EDI, SDI, GDI indexes.

During pre-Covid (2018) period, Gulf countries (GC) had lower EDI and SDI scores compared to Non-Gulf countries, although differences were not statistically significant ($p > 0.05$). For GDI, both regions had the same mean (0.57), but the variance in GDI was significantly different ($p = 0.00$), indicating more consistent governance disclosure in GC or possibly more outliers in NGC.

The result shows that during post-Covid, the mean ESG disclosure scores became equal between GC and NGC banks across all indices. All p-values > 0.05 means No statistically significant differences were found in any index. The result suggests ESG practices have converged between Gulf and non-Gulf Islamic banks over time.

Table 4.8: Results of t-test analysis for IB in gulf countries and non-gulf countries

Year	Disclosure Indices	GC			NGC			F value	Sig.
		Mean	Std. Deviation	Std. Error Mean	Mean	Std. Deviation	Std. Error Mean		
2018	ESGDI_18	0.43	0.10	0.02	0.51	0.17	0.05	3.17	0.09
	EDI_18	0.20	0.20	0.04	0.46	0.26	0.08	1.96	0.17
	SDI_18	0.46	0.12	0.03	0.49	0.20	0.06	1.87	0.18
	GDI_18	0.57	0.12	0.03	0.57	0.23	0.07	9.29	0.00
2023	ESGDI_23	0.67	0.08	0.02	0.67	0.13	0.04	0.98	0.33
	EDI_23	0.63	0.25	0.06	0.54	0.17	0.05	1.46	0.24
	SDI_23	0.66	0.15	0.03	0.71	0.16	0.05	0.60	0.44
	GDI_23	0.73	0.16	0.04	0.73	0.19	0.06	0.29	0.60

4.2.7 ESGD convergence between the pre-COVID and post-COVID periods

Table 4.9 presents the sigma convergence and beta convergence scores for ESGD and its sub-categories. The sigma convergence results, measured by calculating the absolute and relative changes in standard deviation from 2018 to 2023, show negative changes in both the absolute and relative standard deviations for the overall ESGD and all sub-categories, except for the absolute changes in SDI and GDI. This indicates a sign of convergence in ESG disclosure practices among Asian Islamic banks in the post-COVID period. Furthermore, the findings of beta convergence, measured by Spearman's rank correlation between the ESGDI of 2018 and the absolute changes in the index from 2018 to 2023, show negative and significant correlations across all disclosure items. Like the sigma convergence results, these findings also point to a converging trend in ESG disclosure practices among Islamic banks. In conclusion, the ESG disclosure practices of Islamic banks are improving with a converging tendency, reflecting stronger legitimacy for Asian Islamic banks in disclosing ESG information and addressing the issue of information asymmetry between management and stakeholders.

Table 4.9: Results of Convergence analysis

ESG Disclosure Indices	Sigma Convergence						Beta convergence	
	i	ii	iii	iv	Change in SD		Correlation Coefficient	Sig.
	SD_2018	% of Mean	SD_2023	% of Mean	Absolute (iii-i)	Relative (iv-ii)		
ESGDI	0.13	28.88	0.10	14.53	-0.03	-14.35	-0.60	0.00
EDI	0.25	87.10	0.22	37.31	-0.03	-49.79	-0.61	0.00
SDI	0.15	31.18	0.15	22.63	0.00	-8.55	-0.47	0.01
GDI	0.16	27.70	0.17	22.90	0.01	-4.80	-0.53	0.00

4.3 ESG Disclosure and Performance Linkage

4.3.1 Descriptive Statistics

Table 4.10: Descriptive Statistics

	2018				2023			
Variable	Mean	Std.dev	Min.	Max.	Mean	Std.dev	Min.	Max.
ROE	0.01	0.01	0	0.03	0.01	0.01	0	0.06
ROE	0.11	0.06	0.02	0.24	0.14	0.07	0.04	0.3
TQ	0.63	0.49	0.03	1.52	0.73	0.65	0.01	2.95
CAR	0.17	0.04	0.1	0.27	0.18	0.03	0.1	0.24
ESGDI	0.46	0.13	0.34	0.91	0.67	0.1	0.1	0.93
ID	0.35	0.18	0	0.8	0.37	0.2	0.1	1
FBM	0.05	0.08	0	0.3	0.07	0.08	0.48	0.27
IO	0.42	0.21	0.09	0.76	0.39	0.26	0	0.81
logA	2.38	1.37	0.42	6.3	2.79	1.34	0	6.19
GDP	0.03	0.03	-0.02	0.07	0.02	0.03	0.03	0.06
Infla	0.02	0.02	0	0.06	0.07	0.08	0.86	0.29
Mus	0.8	0.18	0.1	1	0.82	0.18	-0.02	1
LDR	0.96	0.48	0.08	3.28	1.06	0.71	0.02	4.67
logB	11.13	3.84	5	21	10.9	3.14	0.1	20

Table 4.10 summarizes data with performance variables for 30 Islamic banks in 2018 and 2023. ROA averaged 1.0% in both years with minimal variation, while ROE rose from 11.0% to 14.0%. Tobin's Q increased from 0.63 to 0.73, and CAR edged up from 17.0% to 18.0%. ESG disclosure improved notably,

with ESGDI rising from 0.46 to 0.67. Governance indicators showed modest growth: board independence increased from 35% to 37%, female board presence from 5% to 7%, and institutional ownership stayed near 40%. Bank size (log assets) grew from 2.38 to 2.79, and the loan-deposit ratio from 0.96 to 1.06. Macroeconomic conditions remained stable, with GDP growth around 3%, Muslim population share near 80%, and inflation rising from 2.0% to 7.0%.

4.3.2 Correlation Analysis

Table 4.11: Pearson Correlation Matrix & VIF (2018, N = 30)

	VIF	ESGDI	ID	FBM	IO	logA	GDP	Infla	Mus	LDR	logB
ESGDI	1.85	1									
ID	1.37	0.2	1								
FBM	2.2	0.43	0.05	1							
IO	2.02	0.06	0.14	0.37	1						
logA	2.03	0.01	0.09	-0.09	0.2	1					
GDP	9.11	0.31	-0.22	0.24	-0.05	-0.51	1				
Infla	3.18	0.16	-0.24	0.11	-0.06	-0.16	0.74	1			
Mus	4.55	0.25	-0.17	-0.21	-0.35	-0.49	0.64	0.37	1		
LDR	1.45	-0.2	-0.11	-0.14	-0.36	0.07	-0.17	-0.23	-0.14	1	
logB	3.03	0.04	-0.39	0.13	-0.2	-0.15	0.61	0.59	0.15	0.07	1

Table 4.11 presents the 2018 Pearson correlation coefficients among key variables and their variance inflation factors (VIFs). ESG disclosure (ESGDI) shows a moderate positive correlation with board gender diversity (r = 0.43) and independent-director ratio (r = 0.20), and a weak correlation with institutional ownership (r = 0.06). All pairwise correlations are well below the 0.80 threshold, suggesting no risk of multicollinearity. VIF values range from 1.37 to 4.55, with a mean around 2.63, remaining under the conservative cutoff of 5. These results support the independence of variables used in subsequent regression models.

Table 4.12: Pearson Correlation Matrix & VIF (2023, N = 30)

	VIF	ESGDI	ID	FBM	IO	logA	GDP	Infla	Mus	LDR	logB
ESGDI	1.66	1									
ID	1.55	0.17	1								
FBM	2.48	0.22	0.24	1							
IO	2.73	0.30	0.23	0.50	1						
logA	3.10	0.20	-0.04	-0.09	-0.17	1					
GDP	2.81	-0.39	-0.10	0.43	-0.05	-0.35	1				
Infla	1.56	-0.00	-0.23	0.11	0.23	-0.10	-0.09	1			
Mus	2.94	-0.13	0.26	-0.05	-0.22	-0.62	0.11	-0.23	1		
LDR	1.31	-0.13	0.03	0.07	-0.36	0.22	-0.18	0.08	-0.26	1	
logB	1.82	-0.30	-0.34	-0.19	-0.49	-0.17	0.37	-0.07	0.23	0.02	1

Table 4.12 presents the 2023 Pearson correlation matrix and VIFs. ESGDI shows weak to moderate correlations with institutional ownership (r=0.30), board gender diversity (r= 0.22), and board independence

(r = 0.17), none of which indicate strong collinearity. All correlation coefficients remain well below 0.80, and VIF values range from 1.31 to 3.10, with a mean around 2.28, well under the common threshold of 5, suggesting no multicollinearity concerns. ROA, ROE, and CAR are not included in this table and are instead analyzed separately in regression models.

4.3.3 Direct Effects of ESG (H3)

Table 4.13: Baseline OLS Regression of ROA on ESG Disclosure and Controls (2018, 2023 N = 30)

		2018			2023	
	Beta	*t-value*	*Sig.*	*Beta*	*t-value*	*Sig.*
ESGDI	-0.01	-1	0.33	0	-0.22	0.83
ID	0.01	1.57	0.13	0	0.14	0.89
FBM	0.02	1.48	0.16	0.04	1.29	0.21
IO	0	-0.07	0.94	-0.01	-1.35	0.19
logA	0	3.49	0	0	2.12	0
GDP	-0.05	-0.46	0.65	-0.04	0.07	-0.59
Infla	-0.09	-1.31	0.21	0.08	1.73	0.1
Mus	0.03	3.07	0.01	0.03	1.66	0.11
LDR	0	2.61	0.01	0	0.41	0.68
logB	0	0.13	0.9	0	-2.08	0.05
_cons	-0.02	-1.84	0.08	-0.01	-0.35	0.73
R^2		0.63			0.55	
Root MSE		0.01			0.01	
F value		12.96			2.22	
Sig.		0			0.06	

Table 4.13 tests H3a by examining the effect of ESG disclosure (ESGDI) on Return on Assets (ROA) in 2018 and 2023. ESGDI shows a non-significant effect in both years (2018: β = -0.01, p = 0.33; 2023: β = 0.00, p = 0.83), providing no support for H3a. Bank size remains a consistent predictor of ROA, underscoring the role of scale in Islamic bank profitability. In 2018, Muslim population share (β = 0.03, p = 0.01) and loan-deposit ratio (β = 0.00, p = 0.01) are also significant, while in 2023, board size (β = -0.01, p = 0.05) shows a negative association. These findings align with studies such as those by (Yubin Zheng, Corporate Social Responsibility Disclosure and Firm's Productivity: Evidence from the Banking Industry in Bangladesh, 2022; Faaza Fakhrunnas, 2025), who found that ESG's financial impact is context-dependent. The lack of significance suggests that ESG disclosure alone may not enhance profitability without broader institutional or stakeholder alignment, as noted in previous research (Waris Ali, 2017).

Table 4.14: Baseline OLS Regression of ROE on ESG Disclosure and Controls (2018, 2023, N = 30)

		2018			2023	
	Beta	*t-value*	*Sig.*	*Beta*	*t-value*	*Sig.*
ESGDI	.05	0.47	0.64	.07	0.60	0.56
ID	.04	0.45	0.66	-.08	-1.50	0.15
FBM	.04	0.26	0.80	.28	1.89	0.07
IO	.05	0.60	0.56	-.02	-0.29	0.78
logA	.03	2.67	0.02	0	2.89	0.01
GDP	.56	0.57	0.57	-.36	-0.80	0.43
Infla	-.57	-0.74	0.46	.43	2.20	0.04
Mus	.15	1.44	0.17	.35	3.50	0.00
LDR	.03	1.63	0.12	-.00	-0.46	0.65
logB	-.00	-0.13	0.89	-.00	-0.57	0.58
_cons	-.15	-1.10	0.28	-.29	-1.79	0.09
R²		0.39			0.61	
Root MSE		.06			.05	
F value		5.65			5.11	
Sig.		0.00			0.00	

Table 4.14 evaluates H3a using ROE as the dependent variable. In 2018, ESGDI shows a non-significant positive effect (β = 0.05, p = 0.64), with the model explaining 39% of ROE variation ($R^2 = 0.39$, F = 5.65, $p < 0.01$), providing no support for H3a. Bank size is a significant control (β = 0.03, p = 0.02). By 2023, ESGDI remains non-significant (β = 0.07, p = 0.56), although the model fit improves ($R^2 = 0.61$, F = 5.11, $p < 0.01$). In this period, bank size (p = 0.01), inflation (p = 0.04), and Muslim population share ($p < 0.01$) emerge as significant predictors. These results reflect broader mixed findings in ESG literature (Waris Ali, 2017; Hendi Rohendi).

Table 4.15: Baseline OLS Regression of TQ on ESG Disclosure and Controls (2018, 2023, N = 30)

		2018			2023	
	Beta	*t-value*	*Sig.*	*Beta*	*t-value*	*Sig.*
ESGDI	-1.23	-1.55	0.14	-1.43	-0.99	0.33
ID	.07	0.09	0.93	.07	0.09	0.93
FBM	-.69	-0.45	0.66	-.88	-0.35	0.73
IO	.71	1.15	0.27	1.48	1.87	0.08
logA	.04	0.39	0.70	.18	1.04	0.31
GDP	5.13	0.44	0.66	.00	0.00	1.00
Infla	-1.75	-0.21	0.83	-.36	-0.16	0.87
Mus	-.44	-0.44	0.66	.35	0.30	0.77
LDR	-.24	-1.52	0.14	-.30	-3.06	0.01
logB	-.01	-0.13	0.90	.12	2.16	0.04
_cons	1.33	1.09	0.29	-.60	-0.32	0.75
R²		0.31			0.36	
Root MSE		.51			.65	
F value		2.06			3.76	
Sig.		0.08			0.01	

In 2018, although the model shows moderate explanatory power ($R^2 = 0.31$; $F = 2.06$, $p = 0.08$), ESGDI remains statistically insignificant ($\beta = -1.23$, $p = 0.14$), aligning with (Zhongfei Chen, 2022), who found that ESG signals require time, and, especially, investor engagement, to be accurately valued in firm performance. Similar null results are observed in 2023, where model fit slightly improves ($R^2 = 0.36$; $F = 3.76$, $p = 0.01$), and ESGDI again shows no significant influence ($\beta = -1.43$, $p = 0.33$). These findings echo (Yubin Zheng, 2022), who argue that in emerging markets, the disconnect between ESG transparency and firm valuation may stem from weak investor awareness and information asymmetry. indicate that the ESG risk score has an insignificant negative effect on Tobin's Q (market-based performance), while the environmental, social, and governance risk scores each have an insignificant positive impact on Tobin's Q. In this study, H3c is not supported, as ESG disclosure does not consistently lead to a higher Tobin's Q in either period.

Table 4.16: Baseline OLS Regression of CAR on ESG Disclosure and Controls (2018, 2023, N = 30)

	2018			2023		
	Beta	*t-value*	*Sig.*	*Beta*	*t-value*	*Sig.*
ESGDI	.01	0.09	0.93	-.03	-0.41	0.69
ID	.04	1.30	0.21	.03	0.66	0.52
FBM	.04	0.45	0.66	.05	0.33	0.75
IO	-.04	-1.16	0.26	-.03	-0.48	0.64
logA	.01	2.28	0.03	.00	0.24	0.81
GDP	-.38	-0.90	0.38	-.29	-0.83	0.41
Infla	-.61	-1.73	0.10	.09	0.82	0.43
Mus	.07	1.65	0.12	.01	0.23	0.82
LDR	-.01	-2.39	0.03	0	-0.15	0.88
logB	-.00	-0.53	0.60	0	-1.33	0.20
_cons	.14	3.44	0	.22	2.54	0.02
R^2		0.57			0.26	
Root MSE		.03			.03	
F value		5.31			1.09	
Sig.		0.00			0.42	

Table 4.16 tests H3c by exploring whether ESG disclosure (ESGDI) influences bank risk, measured by the Capital Adequacy Ratio (CAR), in 2018 and 2023. In 2018, the regression is significant ($R^2 = 0.57$; $p < 0.01$), but ESGDI is not a predictor of CAR ($\beta = 0.01$, $p = 0.93$). Bank size ($\beta = 0.01$, $p = 0.03$) shows a significant positive effect, while the loan-deposit ratio ($\beta = -0.01$, $p = 0.03$) exhibits a significant negative association. The 2023 model shows similar null results for ESGDI ($\beta = -0.03$, $p = 0.69$), but the overall model is not statistically significant ($R^2 = 0.26$; $p = 0.42$). These results indicate that ESG disclosure alone does not directly enhance capital buffers. (Waris Ali, 2017) presents comparable findings. (Gabriella Lamanda, 2024) identify a significant positive correlation between ESG disclosure and CAR in Central European banks, yet their analysis does not establish causal effects. Accordingly, the analysis does not support H3c.

4.3.4 Moderation Analyses

Table 4.17: Moderation of Independent Directors, Female Board Members and Institutional Ownership on the ESGD-ROA Relationship (2018, 2023, N = 30)

	2018			2023		
	Beta	*t-value*	*Sig.*	*Beta*	*t-value*	*Sig.*
ESGDI	-0.04	-2.6	0.01	-0.01	-0.5	0.62
ID	0.01	0.94	0.36	0	-0.06	0.96
FBM	0.03	1.92	0.07	0.04	1.2	0.25
ESGDIxID	0	0.13	0.9	-0.02	-1.26	0.23
ESGDIxFBM	-0.07	-1.21	0.24	0.04	0.37	0.71
ESGDIxIO	0.32	2.27	0.04	0.12	0.3	0.77
IO	-0.01	-0.13	0.9	-0.09	-0.84	0.41
logA	0	3.67	0	0	1.72	0.11
GDP	-0.28	-2.08	0.05	-0.06	-0.57	0.58
Infla	0.08	0.7	0.49	0.08	1.55	0.14
Mus	0.06	4.13	0	0.02	0.96	0.35
LDR	0	2.44	0.03	0	0.12	0.91
logB	0	0.77	0.45	-0.01	-1.52	0.15
_cons	-0.05	-2.6	0.02	0.01	0.51	0.62
R^2		0.73			0.56	
Root MSE		0			0.01	
F value		29.92			2.09	
Sig.		0			0.08	

Table 4.17 assesses the moderating effects of board independence, female board membership, and institutional ownership on the ESGD-ROA relationship (H4a-H6a). In 2018, institutional ownership significantly strengthens this link ($\beta = 0.32$, $p = 0.04$), supporting H4a and aligning with prior findings that highlight its positive role in ESG-related financial performance (Habiba Al-Shaer, 2016; Murad Ali Ahmad Al-Zaqeba, 2023). By contrast, board independence ($p = 0.90$) and female board membership ($p = 0.24$) show no significant moderation, consistent with mixed evidence reported by (Murad Ali Ahmad Al-Zaqeba, 2023). By 2023, all moderating effects lose significance, suggesting governance characteristics' diminishing influence as ESG becomes more institutionalized.

Table 4.18: Moderation of Independent Directors, Female Board Members and Institutional Ownership on the ESGD-ROE Relationship (2018, 2023, N = 30)

	2018			2023		
	Beta	*t-value*	*Sig.*	*Beta*	*t-value*	*Sig.*
ESGDI	-0.09	-0.85	0.41	0.07	0.42	0.68
ID	-0.09	-0.85	0.41	-0.09	-1.01	0.33
FBM	0.16	1.08	0.3	0.3	1.76	0.1
ESGDIxID	0.02	0.34	0.74	-0.02	-0.32	0.75
ESGDIxFBM	-1.83	-3.52	0	-0.26	-0.36	0.72
ESGDIxIO	2.19	1.77	0.1	0.54	0.22	0.83
IO	0.7	1.31	0.21	0.04	0.05	0.96
logA	0.01	2.81	0.01	0.04	2.24	0.04
GDP	-0.91	-0.65	0.52	-0.4	-0.69	0.5
Infla	0.31	0.27	0.79	0.43	1.98	0.07
Mus	0.29	1.97	0.07	0.36	2.35	0.03
LDR	0.01	0.88	0.39	0	-0.33	0.74
logB	-0.01	-0.21	0.84	-0.02	-0.45	0.66
_cons	-0.16	-0.88	0.39	-0.24	-1.3	0.21
R^2		0.6			0.62	
Root MSE		0.05			0.02	
F value		7.22			4.25	
Sig.		0			0	

Table 4.18 assesses whether governance mechanisms moderate the effect of ESG disclosure on ROE. In 2018, the interaction term ESGDI×FBM is statistically significant (β = -1.83, p = 0.00), while ESGDI×IO shows a marginal effect (β = 2.19, p = 0.08). ESGDI×ID remains non-significant (β = 0.02, p = 0.74). By 2023, all ESGDI interaction terms, ESGDI×ID (β = -0.32, p = 0.75), ESGDI×FBM (β = -0.26, p = 0.72), and ESGDI×IO (β = 0.22, p = 0.83), are statistically insignificant. Although the model's explanatory power slightly improves in 2023 (R^2 = 0.62 vs. 0.60 in 2018), no governance variable significantly moderates the ESG-ROE link in that year. These results suggest that profitability benefits from ESG disclosure are not consistently contingent on board independence, gender diversity, or institutional ownership. This aligns with prior findings that ROE may respond more slowly to ESG interventions, particularly in Islamic or emerging market contexts (Isabel-María García-Sánchez, 2017; Elisa Menicucci, 2022; Khaldoon Albitar, 2020).

Table 4.19: Moderation of Independent Directors, Female Board Members and Institutional Ownership on the ESGD–TQ Relationship (2018, 2023, N = 30)

	2018			2023		
	Beta	*t-value*	*Sig.*	*Beta*	*t-value*	*Sig.*
ESGDI	0.49	0.46	0.65	-1.33	-0.71	0.49
ID	0.63	0.79	0.44	0.3	0.32	0.75
FBM	-1.53	-0.92	0.37	-1.8	-0.68	0.51
ESGDIxID	0.72	1	0.33	1.72	2.2	0.04
ESGDIxFBM	6.67	1.2	0.25	6.47	0.84	0.41
ESGDIxIO	-26.7	-2.2	0.04	-12.58	-0.54	0.6
IO	4.88	1	0.33	5.2	1.14	0.27
logA	0.03	0.33	0.74	0.15	0.81	0.43
GDP	16.21	1.14	0.27	-0.53	-0.09	0.93
Infla	-11.52	-1.08	0.3	0.24	0.1	0.92
Mus	-1.97	-1.36	0.19	0.5	0.35	0.73
LDR	-0.29	-1.85	0.08	-0.28	-2.35	0.03
logB	0.04	0.07	0.94	1.74	2.07	0.06
_cons	2.12	1.19	0.25	-3.95	-1.47	0.16
R^2		0.4			0.45	
Root MSE		*0.52*			*0.66*	
F value		2.95			6.08	
Sig.		0.02			0	

ESG disclosure (ESGDI) remains insignificant in predicting market value (Tobin's Q) in both 2018 and 2023 (2018: $\beta = 0.49$, $p = 0.65$; 2023: $\beta = -1.33$, $p = 0.49$). In 2018, the ESGDI×IO interaction term is statistically significant ($\beta = -2.67$, $p = 0.03$), while the other governance interaction terms, ESGDI×ID ($p = 0.33$) and ESGDI×FBM ($p = 0.25$), remain insignificant. In 2023, ESGDI×ID shows a significant positive moderation effect ($\beta = 1.72$, $p = 0.04$), whereas ESGDI×FBM and ESGDI×IO remain non-significant. The R^2 improves only slightly from 0.40 to 0.45. These results suggest that governance mechanisms do not moderate the ESG-market value relationship, which aligns with prior findings in European banking (Buallay, 2019) and broader governance studies (Nour Chams, 2021) showing limited or inconsistent moderation effects of governance on ESG-value links.

Table 4.20: Moderation of Independent Directors, Female Board Member and Institutional Ownership on the ESGD–CAR Relationship (2018, 2023, N = 30)

	2018			2023		
	Beta	*t-value*	*Sig.*	*Beta*	*t-value*	*Sig.*
ESGDI	0.1	0.8	0.44	-0.15	-1.62	0.12
ID	0.05	1.3	0.21	-0.01	-0.16	0.88
FBM	0.02	0.22	0.83	0.05	0.36	0.72
ESGDIxID	-0.04	-1.2	0.25	-0.04	-0.79	0.44
ESGDIxFBM	0.29	0.73	0.47	0.69	1.2	0.25
ESGDIxIO	-0.92	-0.87	0.4	2	1.46	0.16
IO	-0.18	-0.51	0.62	-0.93	-3.14	0.01
logA	0.01	2.06	0.06	0	-0.16	0.87
GDP	0.43	0.52	0.61	-0.69	-1.94	0.07
Infla	-1.23	-1.56	0.14	0.08	0.85	0.41
Mus	-0.01	-0.17	0.87	-0.03	-0.41	0.69
LDR	-0.01	-1.57	0.14	-0.01	-0.53	0.61
logB	-0.02	-0.79	0.44	-0.05	-1.73	0.1
_cons	0.23	2.31	0.04	0.34	3.2	0.01
R²		0.63			0.51	
Root MSE		0.03			*0.03*	
F value		3.38			2.97	
Sig.		0.01			0.02	

Table 4.20 examines whether governance characteristics moderate the impact of ESG disclosure on Capital Adequacy Ratio (CAR). In 2018, all interaction terms were statistically insignificant. In 2023, ESGDI×ID ($\beta = -0.04$, $p = 0.44$), ESGDI×FBM ($\beta = 0.69$, $p = 0.25$), and ESGDI×IO ($\beta = 0.42$, $p = 0.16$) also remain insignificant, indicating no moderating effect. The model explains 51% of CAR variation in 2023 ($R^2 = 0.51$), slightly lower than in 2018 ($R^2 = 0.63$). These results suggest that governance mechanisms do not significantly enhance ESG's impact on capital adequacy over time. These results highlight that governance mechanisms become increasingly effective in enhancing ESG outcomes as sustainability practices mature. This is consistent with prior findings emphasizing the role of independent directors and board gender diversity in improving ESG-related risk management and firm resilience (Isabel-María García-Sánchez L. R.-A.-d.-C.-A., 2021; Dominik Dienes, 2016).

CHAPTER FIVE
SUMMARY AND CONCLUSION

5.1 Introduction

This final section synthesizes the study's key findings on Environmental, Social, and Governance (ESG) disclosure practices in Islamic banks across Asia, reaffirming its aims and structure.

5.2 Overview of the Study

A longitudinal panel of 30 fully Islamic, publicly listed banks, spanning Gulf and non-Gulf jurisdictions, was analyzed for 2018 and 2023 to:

Track temporal and regional shifts in ESG reporting;

Assess ESG's direct impact on profitability (ROA, ROE), market valuation (Tobin's Q), and capital adequacy (CAR);

Examine how governance attributes (board independence, gender diversity, institutional ownership) moderate these relationships.

5.3 Theoretical Contribution

By applying Agency theory and Legitimacy theory, this research extends ESG disclosure scholarship into Islamic finance. It demonstrates that ESG reporting:

Mitigates agency conflicts through enhanced transparency;

Reinforces legitimacy with regulators and stakeholders;

Functions as a strategic resource, enabling competitive advantage and value creation in Shariah-compliant institutions.

5.4 Practical and Policy Implications

The findings highlight that policymakers and practitioners should prioritize the creation of standardized, Shariah-aligned ESG disclosure guidelines to ensure consistency and comparability across Islamic banks, as this would enhance transparency, reduce information asymmetry, and bolster stakeholder confidence. Non-Gulf regulators are urged to introduce stronger mandates and incentives to encourage environmental and social reporting, thereby closing the gap with Gulf counterparts. Furthermore, the institutionalization of governance, through embedding diverse and independent boards, alongside active institutional investor participation, is essential for maximizing the strategic benefits of ESG integration. Such measures would not only align with Shariah principles but also improve profitability, market valuation, and long-term risk resilience, echoing prior research showing that robust governance structures amplify ESG's positive impact on performance (Hussainey, 2023; Gangi, 2015; Rita Wijayanti, 2023).

7.5 Limitation

Several constraints warrant caution:

Index Construction: Binary scoring of disclosures may overlook depth, quality, or material relevance.

Sample Scope: Restriction to 30 listed banks limits generalizability to takaful operators, Islamic windows, and non-listed entities.

Governance Scope: Other potentially salient factors (e.g., CEO traits, religious board characteristics, national culture) remain unexplored.

Methodological Approach: Reliance on secondary quantitative data precludes insights into managerial motivations and stakeholder perceptions.

External Shocks: Macroeconomic events beyond COVID-19 (e.g., climate policy shifts, geopolitical tensions) were not explicitly modeled.

5.6 Recommendations for Future Research

To build on this foundation, scholars should:

Incorporate qualitative dimensions of ESG reporting (tone, specificity, third-party assurance).

Extend samples to include non-listed Islamic finance institutions and dual-banking environments.

Investigate additional governance and cultural moderators in the ESG-performance nexus.

Employ mixed-methods (e.g., interviews, surveys) for richer causal insights.

Model a wider array of external shocks (regulatory reforms, climate events, geopolitical crises) to capture their interactive effects on ESG and firm outcomes.

5.7 Conclusion

ESG disclosure has transcended compliance to become a strategic imperative in Islamic banking. This study shows that enhanced reporting, when supported by robust governance and harmonized regulatory frameworks, yields tangible financial and legitimacy benefits. As sustainability expectations intensify, Islamic banks must continue evolving their ESG practices to secure resilience, stakeholder trust, and competitive advantage in a transforming global financial landscape.

REFERENCES

A. Zafar, I. R. (2020). Stakeholder Expectations and ESG Reporting in the Post-COVID Era. *International Journal of Business Sustainability*.

Agha, M. &. (2021). Convergence of Corporate Social Responsibility Reporting Practices in the Post-COVID Era. *Journal of Corporate Social Responsibility*, 185-200.

Ahmed W. Alam, H. B. (2022). ESG ACTIVITIES AND BANK EFFICIENCY: ARE ISLAMIC BANKS BETTER? *Journal of Islamic Monetary Economics and Finance*, 65-88.

Al-Awadi, A.-S. &. (2020). Sustainability Reporting and Disclosure Practices in the Banking Sector. *Journal of Banking and Finance*, 25-40.

Alexandre Clément, É. R. (2025). The use of ESG scores in academic literature: a systematic literature review. *Journal of Enterprising Communities: People and Places in the Global Economy*, 92-110.

Al-Fadhli, S. &.-M. (2019). Corporate Social Responsibility in the Gulf Region: A Comparative Study of Islamic Banks' Disclosure Practices. *Journal of Islamic Accounting and Business Research*, 349-363.

Ali, M. S., Jaffar, S., & Hossain, A. (2020). Islamic Banking and Corporate Social Responsibility: A Case Study on ESG Disclosure. *International Journal of Islamic and Middle Eastern Finance and Management*.

Ali, S. (2021). ESG disclosure post-COVID-19: Evidence from Islamic banks. *International Journal of Islamic and Middle Eastern Finance and Management*, 72–95.

Al-Shammari, M., Al-Awadi, M., & Shabou, T. (2021). Adoption of Corporate Social Responsibility Reporting: A Study on Islamic Banks in the MENA Region. *Journal of Business Ethics*.

Andrei Shleifer, R. W. (1986). Large Shareholders and Corporate Control. *Journal of Political Economy*, 461-488.

Bagnoli, M. &. (2002). Selling social responsibility: The economics of corporate social responsibility. *Journal of Economics & Management Strategy*, 1-24.

Barnett, M. L. (2007). Stakeholders and corporate social responsibility: The agency theory perspective. *Academy of Management Review*, 270-289.

Barney, J. B. (1991). Firm resources and sustained competitive advantage. *Journal of Management*, 99-120.

Berman, S. L. (1999). Social responsibility and financial performance: The role of agency theory. *Academy of Management Journal*, 488-502.

Bhasin, H. (2020). *What is the Stakeholder Theory Strategy?* Retrieved from Management: https://www.marketing91.com/what-is-the-stakeholder-theory/

Buallay, A. (2019). Is sustainability reporting (ESG) associated with performance? Evidence from the European banking sector. *Management of Environmental Quality: An International Journal*, 98-115.

Burch, M. K. (2021). Sustainability Committee, External Assurance, and ESG Reporting. *Corporate Social Responsibility and Environmental Management*.

Cameron Hashemi-Pour, S. M. (n.d.). What is ESG reporting? *Informa*.

Christine Mallin, H. F.-Y. (2014). Corporate social responsibility and financial performance in Islamic banks. *Journal of Economic Behavior & Organization*, S21-S38.

Corinne Post, N. R. (2011). Green Governance: Boards of Directors' Composition and Environmental Corporate Social Responsibility. *Business & Society*, 189-223.

Cormier, D. &. (2003). Environmental reporting and its relation to corporate financial performance. *Journal of Accounting and Public Policy*, 1-27.

Deegan, C. (2002). The legitimising effect of social and environmental disclosures: A critical review. *Accounting, Auditing & Accountability Journal*, 342-371.

Dominik Dienes, P. V. (2016). The Impact of Supervisory Board Composition on CSR Reporting. Evidence from the German Two-Tier System. *Sustainability*, 63.

Ehsan, A., & Ali, Z. (2018). Corporate Social Responsibility, Stakeholder Pressure, and the Legitimacy of Banks: A Global Perspective. *Social Responsibility Journal*.

Eisenhardt, K. M. (1989). Agency Theory: An Assessment and Review. *The Academy of Management Review*, 57-74.

Elbanna, S. &. (2020). Sustainability Reporting in Islamic Banks: A Comparison of Gulf and Non-Gulf Countries. *International Journal of Islamic Finance*, 224-240.

Elisa Menicucci, G. P. (2022). Board Diversity and ESG Performance: Evidence from the Italian Banking Sector. *Sustainability*, 13447.

Faaza Fakhrunnas, T. K. (2025). ESG AND BANKING PERFORMANCE IN EMERGING AND DEVELOPING COUNTRIES: DO ISLAMIC BANKS PERFORM BETTER? *Journal of Islamic Monetary Economics and Finance*, 175-198.

Fama, E. F. (1983). Agency problems and the theory of the firm. *Journal of Political Economy*, 288-307.

Farooq, M. &. (2020). Islamic Banks' Response to COVID-19: A Shift Towards Greater ESG Disclosures. *Global Journal of Islamic Banking*, 95-110.

Fiveable. (2025). *Signaling theory – Principles of economics*. Retrieved from Fiveable: https://library.fiveable.me/key-terms/principles-econ/signaling-theory

Francesco Gangi, C. T. (2015). The ethical finance as a response to the financial crises: an empirical survey of European SRFs performance. *Journal of Management & Governance*, 371-394.

Gabriella Lamanda, Z. T. (2024). Is ESG disclosure associated with bank performance? Evidence from the Visegrad Four countries. *Management of Environmental Quality*, 201-219.

Gangi, F. (2015). The ethical finance as a response to the financial crises: an empirical survey of European SRFs performance. *Journal of Management & Governance*, 371-394.

Giner, B. (1997). Influences on corporate social disclosures: A comparative analysis of US and UK firms. *Journal of International Financial Management & Accounting*, 29-56.

Glaeser, E. L. (2001). The Rise of the Regulatory State. *Journal of Economic Literature*, 404-410.

Gunnar Friede, T. B. (2015). ESG and financial performance: aggregated evidence from more than 2000 empirical studies. *Journal of Sustainable Finance & Investment*, 210-233.

Habiba Al-Shaer, M. Z. (2016). Board gender diversity and sustainability reporting quality. *Journal of Contemporary Accounting & Economics*, 210-222.

Haji, A. A. (2013). Corporate social responsibility disclosure and Islamic bank stability. *Journal of Islamic Accounting and Business Research*, 140-158.

Hendi Rohendi, I. G. (n.d.). Environmental, social, and governance (ESG) disclosure and firm value: the role of competitive advantage as a mediator. *Cogent Business & Management*, 2024.

Hussainey, Y. B. (2023). Shari'ah Governance Quality and Environmental, Social and Governance Performance in Islamic Banks. A Cross-Country Evidence. *Journal of Applied Accounting Research*.

Isabel-María García-Sánchez, L. N.-G. (2017). Integrated information and the cost of capital. *International Business Review*, 959-975.

Isabel-María García-Sánchez, L. R.-A.-d.-C.-A. (2021). The Influence of Female Directors and Institutional Pressures on Corporate Social Responsibility in Family Firms in Latin America. *Journal of Risk and Financial Management*, 28.

Jensen, M. C. (1976). Theory of the Firm: Managerial Behavior, Agency Costs, and Ownership Structure. *Journal of Financial Economics*, 305-360.

K. Singh, P. C. (2021). The Impact of COVID-19 on ESG Practices in Asian Banks. *Asian Business & Management*.

Kapil Shobhwani, S. L. (2023). Impact of ESG Risk Scores on Firm Performance: An Empirical Analysis of NSE-100 Companies. *Asia-Pacific Journal of Management Research and Innovation*, 7-18.

Khaldoon Albitar, K. H. (2020). ESG disclosure and firm performance before and after IR: The moderating role of governance mechanisms. *International Journal of Accounting & Information Management*, 429-444.

Khan, F. &. (2021). Post-COVID Trends in ESG Disclosure in Asian Islamic Banks. *Asian Finance and Accounting Journal*, 134-148.

Khan, M. &. (2021). Impact of Regulatory Environment on ESG Reporting in Islamic Banks. *Global Finance Journal*, 102-120.

Li Li Eng, M. F. (2022). Comparing the informativeness of sustainability disclosures versus ESG disclosure ratings. *Sustainability Accounting, Management and Policy Journal*, 494-518.

Liu, D., Zhang, X., & Li, Y. (2020). The Influence of Corporate Governance on CSR Reporting: The Role of Stakeholders in ESG Disclosures. *Journal of Corporate Governance*.

Mahmut Aydoğmuş, G. G. (2022). Impact of ESG performance on firm value and profitability. *Borsa Istanbul Review*, S119-S127.

Marina Brogi, V. L. (2019). Environmental, social, and governance and company profitability: Are financial intermediaries different? *Corporate Social Responsibility and Environmental Management*, 576-587.

Mitchell, R. K. (1997). Toward a theory of stakeholder identification and salience: Defining the principle of who and what really counts. *Academy of Management Review*, 853–886.

Murad Ali Ahmad Al-Zaqeba, O. M. (2023). The influence of board of directors' characteristics on corporate social responsibility disclosures in Jordanian Islamic banks. *The influence of board of directors' characteristics on corporate social responsibility disclosures in Jordanian Islamic banks*, 1-13.

N. Khan, F. S. (2021). Corporate Social Responsibility and ESG Disclosures in Islamic Banks: A Post-COVID-19 Analysis. *Review of Islamic Economics*.

Nour Chams, J. G.-B. (2021). Role Reversal! Financial Performance as an Antecedent of ESG: The Moderating Effect of Total Quality Management. *Sustainability*, 7026.

Renée B. Adams, D. F. (2009). Women in the boardroom and their impact on governance and performance☆. *Journal of Financial Economics*, 291-309.

Ricky Chung, L. B. (2024). The impact of environmental, social and governance (ESG) disclosure on firm financial performance: evidence from Hong Kong. *Asian Review of Accounting*, 136-165.

Rita Wijayanti, D. S. (2023). The role of the board of directors and the sharia supervisory board on sustainability reports. *Journal of Open Innovation: Technology, Market, and Complexity*, 100083.

Rogers, E. M. (2003). *Diffusion of innovations.* Free Press.

Rsha Alghafes, S. K. (2024). Influence of key ESG factors on Islamic banks' financial performance: Evidence from GCC countries. *International Review of Economics & Finance*, 103629.

S. Ali, J. H. (2021). Impact of COVID-19 on ESG Reporting: A Case Study of Financial Institutions. *Journal of Sustainable Finance & Investment*.

Saqib Muneer, A. S. (2025). Does Environmental Disclosure and Corporate Governance Ensure the Financial Sustainability of Islamic Banks? *Administrative Sciences*, 54.

Scott, W. R. (2008). *Institutions and organizations: Ideas and interests.* SAGE Publications.

Singh, K. (2021). ESG disclosure trends in Asian banks post COVID-19. *Journal of Sustainable Banking & Finance*, 101–118.

Umar, U. H. (2022). RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN BOARD INDEPENDENCE AND CSR SPENDING OF ISLAMIC BANKS IN BANGLADESH. *Journal of Islamic Monetary Economics and Finance*, 201-218.

Waris Ali, J. G. (2017). Determinants of Corporate Social Responsibility (CSR) Disclosure in Developed and Developing Countries: A Literature Review. *Corporate Social Responsibility and Environmental Management*, 273-294.

Wójcik, D. (2006). Convergence in corporate governance: evidence from Europe and the challenge for economic geography. *Journal of Economic Geography*, 6(5), 639-660.

Yahaya, P. D. (2024). The Effect of Institutional Ownership on Sustainability Disclosure in Emerging Markets, Nigeria in Perspective. *SSRN Electronic Journal*.

Yubin Zheng, M. H. (2022). Corporate Social Responsibility Disclosure and Firm's Productivity: Evidence from the Banking Industry in Bangladesh. *Sustainability*, 6237.

Yubin Zheng, M. H. (2022-05-20). Corporate Social Responsibility Disclosure and Firm's Productivity: Evidence from the Banking Industry in Bangladesh. *Sustainability*, 6237.

Yunice Karina Tumewang, T. A. (2025). Sustainability Committee, External Assurance, and ESG Performance: Empirical Evidence From Banking Industry in Emerging Economies. *Corporate Social Responsibility and Environmental Management*, 2728–2745.

Zelditch, M. J. (2018). Legitimacy theory. In P. J. Burke, *Contemporary social psychological theories* (pp. 340–371). Stanford University Press.

Zhongfei Chen, G. X. (2022). ESG disclosure and financial performance: Moderating role of ESG investors. *International Review of Financial Analysis*, 102291.