Report On

Comprehensive Bridge Asset Management in LGED

By

Farukh Hossain
Student ID: 23382004

An internship report submitted to the BIGD in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the degree of
Masters in Procurement and Supply Management

BRAC Institute of Government and Development (BIGD)
Brac University
April 2025

Declaration

It is hereby declared that

1. The internship report submitted is my/our own original work while completing degree at Brac University.
2. The report does not contain material previously published or written by a third party, except where this is appropriately cited through full and accurate referencing.
3. The report does not contain material which has been accepted, or submitted, for any other degree or diploma at a university or other institution.
4. I/We have acknowledged all main sources of help.

Student's Full Name & Signature:

Farukh Hossain
Student ID: 23382004

Supervisor's Full Name & Signature:

Mir Mehbubur Rahman, MCIPS
Senior Trainer, BIGD,
BRAC University

Letter of Transmittal

Mir Mehbubur Rahman
MCIPS, FIEB
Senior Trainer, BIGD
BRAC University
66 Mohakhali, Dhaka-1212

Subject: Submission of Report titled “Report on Comprehensive Bridge Asset Management in LGED.”

Dear Sir,

I am obliged to submit my report on Comprehensive Bridge Asset Management in LGED as a partial requirement to achieve the degree of Masters in Procurement and Supply Management.

I am grateful to have the privilege of working under your dynamic supervision and guidance.

I have made numerous attempts to ensure that the report is completed concisely and comprehensively, including all necessary data and recommendations.

I believe that the report is going to meet the requirements.

Sincerely yours,

Farukh Hossain
Student ID: 23382004
BRAC University
Date: April, 2025

Non-Disclosure Agreement

This agreement is made and entered into by and between the Local Government Engineering Department and the undersigned student **Farukh Hossain** at BRAC University. As I am currently working at the organization, I have access to the Organization's information that is confidential. I agree that I will keep all the information strictly confidential and will not share it with anyone outside of the organization.

Student's Full Name & Signature:

Farukh Hossain
Student ID: 23382004

Workplace Supervisor's Full Name & Signature:

Emdad Haque
Bridge Management Specialist (International)
Program for Supporting Rural Bridges (SupRB), LGED

Acknowledgement

First and foremost, the author would like to express his profound gratitude to the academic supervisor, Mir Mehbubur Rahman, Senior Trainer, BIGD, BRAC University, for his exceptional support and compassionate guidance during the writing of this report.

The writer further acknowledges the unwavering assistance of Emdad Haque, Bridge Management Specialist (International), Program for Supporting Rural Bridges (SupRB), LGED, the workplace supervisor, in completing the study.

The writer also acknowledges the BRAC Institute of Governance and Development at BRAC University for its resources and guidance.

The author sincerely appreciates the Local Government Engineering Department (LGED) officials for their assistance and support in gathering the necessary data and supporting materials for this study.

Finally, and certainly not least, the author would like to express her profound thanks and appreciation to her family and other well-wishers for their continuous encouragement and support.

Executive Summary

The Local Government Engineering Department (LGED) is committed to enhancing the quality of life in rural Bangladesh by developing and maintaining critical infrastructure. As one of the country's largest public-sector engineering organizations, LGED oversees an extensive network of approximately 335,000 bridges spanning 1,700,000 meters, ensuring improved access to essential services, markets, and economic opportunities. To optimize financial planning, maintenance, and long-term sustainability, LGED has introduced a Bridge Asset Management System (BAMS).

The Bridge Asset Management Plan (BAMP) provides a structured framework for managing LGED's bridge assets throughout their lifecycle, covering planning, acquisition, operation, maintenance, rehabilitation, and decommissioning. The plan aims to enhance rural-urban connectivity, support economic growth, create employment, and reduce poverty by ensuring a well-maintained and efficient bridge network.

Since its inception in the 1960s under the Rural Works Program, LGED has progressively expanded its infrastructure initiatives. By the 1990s, it began systematically managing bridge assets, yet funding limitations led to a growing maintenance backlog. To address this, LGED launched a targeted maintenance program in 2018, with support from the World Bank and the Government of Bangladesh, ensuring strategic rehabilitation and upkeep of bridges based on actual maintenance needs.

A data-driven, systematic approach underpins LGED's bridge asset management strategy. High-quality asset data enables precise monitoring, lifespan assessment, service level development, and targeted maintenance planning. By integrating modern inspection methods and trend analysis, LGED optimizes resource allocation, mitigates risks, and enhances infrastructure resilience.

Through BAMS and BAMP, LGED is strengthening Bangladesh's transportation network, fostering socio-economic development, and aligning national growth objectives with community needs. This initiative ensures that rural and urban populations benefit from a safe, reliable, and sustainable bridge infrastructure, supporting long-term national progress.

Table of Contents

List of Tables

List of Acronyms

LGED	Local Government Engineering Department
LOS	Level of Service
BAMS	Bridge Asset Management System
BAMP	Bridge Asset Management Plan
RuBIMS	Rural Bridge Information Management System
BMS	Bridge Management System
RWP	Rural Works Program
WB	World Bank
GDP	Gross Domestic Product
GoB	Government of Bangladesh
ICT	Information and Communication Technology
O&M	Operation and Maintenance

Chapter 1

Introduction

1.1 Background

The Local Government Engineering Department (LGED) plays a pivotal role in enhancing rural and urban connectivity in Bangladesh through the development and maintenance of critical infrastructure, including roads, bridges, and culverts. With approximately 335,000 bridges spanning 17,000,000 meters, LGED's bridge assets are vital for socio-economic development, enabling access to markets, healthcare, education, and employment. However, aging infrastructure, funding constraints, and evolving climate challenges necessitate a robust Bridge Asset Management System (BAMS). This research evaluates LGED's current practices, focusing on the integration of sustainable and data-driven strategies to optimize maintenance, extend asset lifespans, and align with national development goals.

1.2 Objectives

The primary objective of this study is to assess the effectiveness of LGED's Bridge Asset Management System (BAMS) and propose strategies for improvement. Sub-objectives include:

1. Evaluate LGED's current bridge inspection, maintenance, and rehabilitation practices.
2. Identify institutional, financial, and technical barriers hindering effective asset management.
3. Analyse the integration of advanced technologies (e.g., GIS, IoT, drones) into BAMS.
4. Recommend policy and operational reforms to enhance climate resilience and resource efficiency.

1.3 Research Questions

1. How does LGED’s existing Bridge Asset Management Plan (BAMP) address lifecycle management, risk mitigation, and sustainability?
2. What are the key institutional, financial, and technical challenges in implementing a comprehensive BAMS?
3. How can emerging technologies improve data collection, monitoring, and predictive maintenance for bridges?
4. What policy frameworks and stakeholder collaborations are needed to ensure long-term asset sustainability?

1.4 Scope

This study focuses on LGED’s bridge assets, including culverts, girder bridges, and large-span structures, across rural and urban Bangladesh. It examines:

- **Operational Practices:** Inspection protocols, maintenance scheduling, and rehabilitation strategies.
- **Technological Integration:** Use of GIS, IoT, drones, and data analytics.
- **Policy Frameworks:** Compliance with national regulations and climate resilience standards.
- **Stakeholder Roles:** Coordination between LGED, local governments, and international partners.

The research excludes non-bridge infrastructure (e.g., roads, drainage systems) and private-sector bridge projects.

1.5 Limitations

1. **Data Constraints:** Limited access to historical maintenance records and inconsistent data quality across LGED's districts.
2. **Geographic Coverage:** Focus on select high-priority regions due to time and resource limitations.
3. **Technological Gaps:** Challenges in adopting advanced tools (e.g., IoT sensors) in remote areas with limited connectivity.
4. **Institutional Barriers:** Political and bureaucratic delays in policy implementation.

1.6 Methodology

Data Sources:

- LGED reports, BAMP documents, and audit records.
- Academic journals, World Bank publications, and climate resilience guidelines.

Study Approach:

Thematic analysis of institutional challenges, policy gaps, and stakeholder perceptions.

1.7 Area of Study

The study centres on LGED's operations in Bangladesh, with a focus on rural bridges in Upazilla and Union roads under the Program for Supporting Rural Bridges (SupRB).

1.8 Chapter-wise Summary

This report evaluates the Local Government Engineering Department (LGED) of Bangladesh's Bridge Asset Management System (BAMS), emphasizing its critical role in rural-urban connectivity.

- **Chapter 1** introduces objectives to enhance BAMS through lifecycle strategies, technological integration, and policy reforms, outlining a mixed-methods approach despite data and institutional limitations.
- **Chapter 2** reviews global & national best practices, highlighting principles like risk-based prioritization, benefits (cost efficiency, resilience), and challenges (funding gaps), supported by case studies.
- **Chapter 3** details LGED's operational framework, including inventory, inspection, and maintenance modules, alongside bridge classification and GIS-driven monitoring.
- **Chapter 4** explores technology integration—GIS, IoT, drones, AI—to enable real-time data, predictive maintenance, and streamlined inspections.
- **Chapter 5** analyses challenges (financial constraints, climate risks) and solutions like policy reforms, PPPs, and capacity-building.
- **Chapter 6** concludes that LGED's BAMS advancements foster socio-economic growth, advocating sustained technological adoption, funding mobilization, and climate-resilient designs to ensure equitable, durable infrastructure. The study underscores the link between robust asset management and national development.

Chapter 2

Literature Review

2.1 The Concept of Bridge Asset Management

Bridge Asset Management (BAM) is a systematic, lifecycle-oriented approach to managing bridge infrastructure to optimize performance, safety, and cost-effectiveness. It integrates engineering principles, data analytics, and strategic planning to ensure bridges meet current and future service demands while addressing environmental, economic, and social sustainability. Rooted in frameworks like ISO 55000 (Asset Management Standards), BAM emphasizes proactive maintenance, risk mitigation, and resource optimization. Key components include inventory management, condition assessment, lifecycle costing, and stakeholder collaboration. By prioritizing data-driven decision-making, BAM aims to extend asset lifespans, reduce lifecycle costs, and enhance public safety.

2.2 Principles of Bridge Asset Management

The principles of BAM revolve around four core pillars:

1. **Lifecycle Perspective:** Planning for all stages of a bridge's lifecycle—design, construction, operation, maintenance, and decommissioning.
2. **Risk-Based Prioritization:** Allocating resources based on the criticality of bridges, their condition, and potential socio-economic impacts of failure.
3. **Performance Monitoring:** Using quantitative metrics (e.g., condition ratings, load capacity) to track structural health and maintenance effectiveness.
4. **Stakeholder Engagement:** Collaborating with governments, communities, and contractors to align priorities and ensure transparency.

These principles ensure bridges remain functional, safe, and economically viable while adapting to evolving climate and traffic demands.

2.3 The Potential Benefits of Bridge Asset Management

Adopting BAM offers multifaceted benefits:

- **Cost Efficiency:** Preventive maintenance reduces long-term repair costs by 30–50% compared to reactive approaches.
- **Enhanced Safety:** Regular inspections and timely interventions minimize structural failures and accidents.
- **Sustainability:** Optimized material use and reduced carbon footprint through lifecycle planning.
- **Resilience:** Climate-adaptive designs and disaster preparedness improve infrastructure durability.
- **Data-Driven Governance:** Centralized databases enable evidence-based policy-making and budget allocation.

2.4 Potential Drivers for Bridge Asset Management

Key drivers motivating BAM adoption include:

1. **Aging Infrastructure:** Over 40% of rural bridges in Bangladesh are over 30 years old, necessitating systematic maintenance.
2. **Climate Change:** Increased flooding, erosion, and temperature extremes demand resilient infrastructure.
3. **Regulatory Compliance:** Mandates like the EU's Structural Integrity Directive and AASHTO enforce strict maintenance standards.

4. **Technological Advancements:** Tools like IoT sensors, drones, and real-time monitoring.
5. **Economic Growth:** Efficient bridges support trade, mobility, and regional development.

2.5 Challenges in Bridge Asset Management

Despite its advantages, BAM faces significant barriers:

- **Funding Gaps:** Limited budgets often prioritize new construction over maintenance.
- **Data Fragmentation:** Inconsistent data collection and legacy systems hinder integrated analysis.
- **Skill Shortages:** Lack of trained personnel in advanced inspection technologies (e.g., LiDAR, NDT).
- **Policy Inertia:** Bureaucratic delays in adopting modern standards and technologies.
- **Environmental Risks:** Scouring, corrosion, and extreme weather accelerate deterioration.

2.6 Key Performance Indicators for Bridge Asset Management

KPIs for BAM include:

1. **Condition Index (CI):** Scores bridges on a scale of 1–9 based on defects (e.g., cracks, corrosion).
2. **Maintenance Backlog:** Percentage of bridges requiring urgent repairs.
3. **Cost per Life-Year Extended:** Measures cost-effectiveness of interventions.
4. **Risk Exposure:** Combines likelihood and consequence of bridge failure.
5. **Stakeholder Satisfaction:** Surveys assessing public trust and service reliability. These KPIs enable agencies to benchmark performance and justify investments.

2.7 Risk Matrix for Bridge Asset Management

A risk matrix categorizes threats by likelihood and impact to prioritize actions:

Table 1 Risk Matrix Categorizes Threats by Likelihood and Impact to Prioritize Actions

Risk ID	Risk Description	Likelihood	Impact	Mitigation Strategy
R1	Structural collapse due to corrosion	High	Critical	Annual ultrasonic testing; cathodic protection
R2	Budget overruns	Medium	High	Adopt lifecycle costing; secure multi-year funding
R3	Flood-induced scouring	High	Severe	Install riprap; real-time hydraulic monitoring
R4	Data inaccuracies	Low	Moderate	Implement block chain for audit trails

This matrix aids LGED in allocating resources to high-priority risks while ensuring compliance with safety standards.

Chapter 3

System for Bridge Asset Management in LGED

3.1 General

The Bridge Management System (BMS) in LGED is a digital system used for managing rural bridge infrastructure. It includes various modules that help in data collection, analysis, and decision-making for the effective management of rural bridges. The system closely integrates several software components, which are essential for its functioning. These are as follows:

3.2 Inventory Module

To maintain a comprehensive inventory of all bridge assets under LGED’s jurisdiction. This ensures that every bridge is documented with detailed information necessary for effective management. Category/Variety of Data Collected:

General Information

This includes the Bridge ID, location (latitude/longitude), year of construction, and administrative details, ensuring each bridge is uniquely identifiable.

Structural Details

Information such as the type of bridge (culvert, girder bridge, slab bridge, etc.), span length, width, deck type, foundation type, and materials used are documented.

Load Capacity

The maximum weight capacity each bridge can safely support, determined by its design and materials.

Ownership & Maintenance Responsibility

Details on who owns the bridge (LGED, local government, etc.) and the maintenance teams responsible.

3.3 Functions of Inventory Module

The leading functions of inventory module are but not limited to:

- Provides a centralized database of all bridges, aiding in easy access and management of data.
- Helps prioritize bridges for inspection and maintenance.
- Supports GIS-based visualization of bridge locations.
- Assists in budgeting and planning for new bridges and rehabilitation projects.

3.4 Classification of Bridges

Bridges are essential structures that facilitate transportation and connectivity. Their design, functionality, and material composition vary based on the specific needs and conditions of their locations. The classification of bridges can be based on several criteria, including their size, structural type, and materials used. Following table 2 illustrates the details:

Table 2 Classification of Bridges

Classification	**Type**	**Description**	**Span Length (Meter)**	**Materials**
By Size	Culverts	Small span structures.	1 to 6	Concrete, Steel
	Small Bridges	Bridges with moderate spans.	6 to 30	Concrete, Steel
	Medium Bridges	Bridges with significant spans.	30 to 100	Concrete, Steel
	Large Bridges	Bridges with extensive spans.	Above 100	Concrete, Steel
By Structural Type	Reinforced Concrete Slab Bridge	Suitable for small spans, commonly used in rural roads.	Varies based on design	Reinforced Cement Concrete (RCC)

	Girder Bridge (RCC/Steel)	Common for medium spans, uses reinforced or pre-stressed concrete beams.	Varies based on design	Reinforced Cement Concrete (RCC) or Steel
	Arch Bridge	Used in hilly areas or for aesthetic design.	Varies based on design	Concrete, Stone
	Bailey Bridge	Prefabricated steel bridge, used temporarily or in emergencies.	Varies based on design	Steel
	Truss Bridge	Suitable for longer spans, allowing high load capacity.	Varies based on design	Steel, Timber
	Suspension Bridge	Used in remote or riverine areas with deep water channels.	Varies based on design	Steel, Concrete, Composite
	Wooden Bridge	Traditional bridges made of wood, suitable for shorter spans and lighter loads.	Varies based on design	Timber
By Materials Used	Concrete Bridges	Reinforced Cement Concrete (RCC) or Pre-Stressed Concrete (PSC)	Varies based on design	RCC, PSC
	Steel Bridges	Used for modular, temporary, or long-span bridges.	Varies based on design	Steel
	Composite Bridges	Combination of steel and concrete for enhanced durability.	Varies based on design	Steel, Concrete
	Wooden Bridges	Made from timber, often used in rural or historical contexts.	Varies based on design	Timber

3.5 Inspection Module

The purposes of Inspection Module are to:

- Systematically inspect and assess the condition of bridge assets through regular inspections and evaluations;
- Ensure that potential issues are identified and addressed promptly, maintaining bridge safety and functionality.

Types of Inspections

There are different types of inspections for rural bridges. The leading types of inspections are:

- Routine Inspection: Conducted periodically to check for visible damage and ensure general upkeep.
- Detailed Inspection: In-depth structural evaluation using advanced techniques like ultrasonic testing and load testing, providing a thorough assessment of the bridge's integrity.
- Emergency Inspection: Conducted after natural disasters or accidents to quickly assess and address any immediate damage.

Pattern of Data Collected Through Inspection

Data of rural bridges collected through inspection bears different status and/or genre. The leading status/genre are:

- Physical Condition: Observations on cracks, corrosion, erosion, joint displacement, and bearing condition.
- Structural Integrity: Assessments of deck condition, girder deflection, and settlement of abutments and piers.
- Safety Evaluation: Checks on guardrails, pedestrian walkways, lighting, and traffic signs.
- Hydraulic & Environmental Impact: Examination of scouring, water level fluctuations, and vegetation growth.

Applicable Functionalities of Data Collected

Applicable functionalities of bridge data collected are but not limited to:

- Store historical inspection reports for each bridge, providing a comprehensive record.
- Identify bridges in critical condition requiring urgent repairs.
- Generate condition ratings based on inspection results, helping prioritize maintenance.
- Use photographic documentation to track deterioration over time.

3.6 Maintenance (Planning) Module

The Maintenance Planning Module is critical for ensuring that bridge infrastructures under LGED's jurisdiction remain safe, functional, and durable. This module leverages data from inspections and inventories to plan, prioritize, and execute maintenance activities systematically. Effective maintenance planning helps prevent deterioration, addresses existing issues promptly, and optimizes the overall performance of bridges. The module includes various types of maintenance activities such as routine, preventive, and emergency repairs, and ensures that resources are allocated efficiently.

Types of Maintenance

Generally, maintenance of rural bridges belongs to:

Preventative Maintenance:

- Systematic servicing of bridge components on a scheduled basis.
- Activities include cleaning drainage systems, applying protective coatings, and reinforcing weak components.

Reactive Maintenance:

- Addressing issues that have already emerged to prevent further deterioration.

- Examples include fixing potholes, sealing cracks, and repairing minor structural damage.

Emergency Maintenance:

- Immediate repairs required due to natural disasters or accidents.
- Ensures bridge safety and functionality by conducting quick assessments and installing temporary supports if needed.

Rehabilitation & Reconstruction:

- Major overhauls or replacements for bridges beyond repair.
- Involves activities such as replacing damaged components, strengthening the structure, and upgrading designs to meet increased traffic demands.

Functions of Maintenance (Planning) Module

The leading functions of Maintenance (Planning) module are but not limited to:

Generating Maintenance Schedules:

- Maintenance schedules are created based on inspection data, ensuring timely interventions.
- Includes routine checks and preventive measures to keep bridges in optimal condition.

Prioritizing Bridges for Maintenance:

- Uses risk assessment to determine which bridges require immediate attention.
- Focuses resources on the most critical needs to ensure safety and efficiency.

Tracking Maintenance History:

- Maintains detailed records of all maintenance activities for each bridge.

- Helps identify recurring issues and trends that may need addressing.

Estimating Repair Costs and Budget Allocation:

- Provides cost estimates for repair and maintenance activities.
- Assists in budget planning and allocation to ensure efficient use of funds.

Providing Alerts for Urgent Repair Needs:

- Generates alerts for urgent repair requirements based on inspection results.
- Enables prompt responses to critical issues, preventing further damage and ensuring public safety.

3.7 Benefits of the Bridge Management System (BMS) in LGED

Major benefits of Bridge Management System in LGED are but not limited to:

- **Efficient Bridge Monitoring:** Helps authorities track bridge conditions in real-time, facilitating proactive management.
- **Data-Driven Decision Making**: Enables better planning for inspections and repairs based on reliable data.
- **Cost-Effective Maintenanc**e: Reduces costs by identifying and addressing issues early, preventing expensive repairs later.
- **Enhanced Safety:** Ensures timely repairs, minimizing the risk of accidents and structural failures.
- **Improved Resource Allocation:** Helps in budgeting for new construction and rehabilitation, ensuring optimal use of resources.

Chapter 4

Integration of Technologies with Bridge Management System in LGED

4.1 General

In the modern era of technological advancements, integrating sophisticated technologies especially into the Bridge Management System (BMS) is pivotal for enhancing the efficiency, safety, and sustainability of rural bridge infrastructure. The Local Government Engineering Department (LGED) in Bangladesh is at the forefront of this integration, aiming to revolutionize the management and maintenance of rural bridges. This chapter delves into the application of various state-of-the-art technologies within LGED's BMS.

4.2 Geographic Information System (GIS) for Bridge Management

Geographic Information Systems (GIS) are transformative tools in bridge management, offering unmatched spatial analysis and visualization capabilities. This section explores the profound benefits of GIS integration in LGED's BMS and presents the implementation strategy to maximize its potential.

Benefits of Integration of GIS in Bridge Management:

Integrating GIS into the BMS provides numerous advantages that enhance the efficiency and effectiveness of bridge management.

- Comprehensive Mapping and Inventory Management: GIS enables the creation of detailed maps of bridge locations, incorporating essential structural data, geographical coordinates, and traffic patterns. This comprehensive mapping aids in efficient monitoring and record-keeping.
- Efficient monitoring and record-keeping: With GIS, LGED can maintain a centralized repository of bridge-related information, ensuring easy access and up-to-date records.

- Enhanced risk assessment for environmental threats: GIS facilitates the analysis of potential risks such as flood threats, soil erosion, and other environmental factors that could affect bridge safety.
- Data-driven decision support system: GIS provides a robust platform for visualizing the current condition of bridges, assessing maintenance needs, and planning for future expansion, thereby supporting strategic decision-making.

Implementation of Integration

To optimize the bridge management process, LGED should establish a centralized GIS database integrated with the BMS. This will facilitate seamless data sharing and ensure accurate, up-to-date information on bridge conditions and maintenance history. Utilizing satellite imagery and GPS data will refine bridge location mapping and condition assessments. Training LGED officials in GIS tools is crucial to empower them with the skills needed for effective data analysis and decision-making, enabling them to identify critical maintenance needs and allocate resources efficiently.

4.3 Internet of Things (IoT) for Bridge Monitoring

The Internet of Things (IoT) is revolutionizing bridge monitoring by enabling real-time data collection and analysis through interconnected sensors and smart devices. This section discusses the transformative impact of IoT on bridge monitoring within LGED and outlines the implementation process.

Benefits of Integration of IoT for Bridge Monitoring

Leveraging IoT for bridge monitoring brings several significant benefits that enhance real-time data collection and maintenance strategies:

- Continuous real-time data collection: IoT sensors continuously monitor various parameters such as structural vibrations, strain, temperature variations, and corrosion levels, providing a constant stream of data.
- Remote monitoring capabilities: IoT allows for the remote monitoring of bridge conditions, minimizing the need for frequent on-site inspections and improving overall safety.
- Early warning systems for structural weaknesses: IoT systems can detect early signs of structural deterioration and send automated alerts, allowing for timely intervention.
- Enhanced predictive maintenance through AI and machine learning: By combining IoT data with AI and machine learning, predictive maintenance strategies can be implemented to identify potential failures before they occur.

Implementation of Integration

LGED should deploy smart sensors on critical bridges to measure load, stress, and environmental conditions continuously. Integrating these sensor readings with the BMS will provide real-time data updates, allowing for prompt detection of structural anomalies. Establishing protocols for automated alerts and maintenance scheduling based on sensor data will enhance predictive maintenance, improving overall bridge safety and extending the infrastructure's lifespan.

4.4 Data Analytics (DA) and Predictive Maintenance (PM)

Data analytics plays a pivotal role in predictive maintenance, significantly reducing the risk of sudden bridge failures. This section highlights the advantages of data analytics in bridge management and details the implementation of predictive maintenance strategies within LGED.

Benefits of DA and PM

Employing data analytics for bridge management offers substantial benefits that ensure proactive maintenance and resource optimization:

- AI-driven insights into structural performance: Data analytics can reveal patterns of wear and tear, helping engineers understand long-term structural performance.
- Predictive maintenance to prevent unexpected failures: By analyzing historical and real-time data, predictive maintenance can forecast when maintenance is needed, reducing the likelihood of sudden failures.
- Efficient resource optimization: Data-driven insights enable the efficient allocation of resources, ensuring that budget and manpower are used effectively to maintain bridge infrastructure.

Implementation of Integration

Implementing AI-based data analytics platforms will enable LGED to assess bridge deterioration trends and gain valuable insights into long-term structural performance. By combining historical data with real-time sensor data, LGED can accurately predict maintenance schedules, ensuring timely interventions. Developing interactive dashboards will allow officials to monitor data trends effectively, facilitating proactive management and data-driven decision-making.

4.5 Mobile Applications for On-Site Inspections

Mobile applications have revolutionized the process of on-site bridge inspections, enabling quick and efficient data collection and reporting. This section discusses the benefits of using mobile apps for bridge inspections and provides implementation guidelines.

- Benefits of Mobile Applications for On-Site Inspection: The use of mobile applications in bridge inspections provides numerous advantages, making the process more streamlined and reliable
- Digital reporting with real-time data submission: Mobile apps allow engineers to submit inspection reports instantly, incorporating images and GPS data for accurate documentation.
- Standardized inspection protocols for consistency: Mobile applications can ensure uniform data collection across different bridges, improving the reliability of assessments.
- Offline capability for remote areas: Engineers can collect data in remote areas without network coverage, with the ability to sync the information once connectivity is restored.

Implementation of Integration

Creating a tailored mobile application for LGED will streamline the bridge inspection process. The app should feature voice-to-text and photo integration for efficient, accurate on-site reporting. Integrating the collected data with the central BMS will ensure real-time updates and improve the speed and accuracy of bridge assessments. This will enhance overall management and maintenance efforts, leading to better infrastructure sustainability.

4.6 Drones for Bridge Inspection

Drones offer a revolutionary approach to bridge inspections, providing high-resolution imagery and access to hard-to-reach areas. This section explores the benefits of drone technology in bridge inspections and details the implementation process within LGED.

Benefits of Using Drones for Bridge Inspection

Incorporating drones into bridge inspections offers multiple advantages, enhancing both safety and efficiency:

- Enhanced safety by reducing the need for risky manual inspections: Drones can access hazardous or difficult-to-reach areas, minimizing the risk to human inspectors.
- Time and cost efficiency in inspections: Drones can quickly cover large areas, significantly reducing the time and cost associated with traditional inspection methods.
- High-resolution imaging for precise assessments: Equipped with high-resolution cameras and thermal imaging, drones can capture detailed visual data, identifying issues such as cracks, rust, and other structural weaknesses.

Implementation of Integration

LGED should procure drones equipped with high-resolution cameras and thermal imaging capabilities to enhance bridge inspections. These drones will capture detailed images of hard-to-reach areas, identifying issues such as cracks, corrosion, and structural defects. Training personnel in drone operation and image analysis is essential for effective utilization. Establishing guidelines for periodic drone inspections will ensure consistent data collection, and integrating this data with the BMS will provide real-time updates and comprehensive monitoring.

4.7 Integration of Technologies with Bridge Management System in LGED: At a Glance

The integration of advanced technologies into LGED's Bridge Management System (BMS) marks a transformative step towards the future of bridge maintenance and monitoring. By leveraging Geographic Information Systems (GIS), the Internet of Things (IoT), data analytics, mobile applications, and drones, LGED can achieve significant improvements in safety, efficiency, and cost-effectiveness.

Geographic Information Systems (GIS) offer comprehensive mapping and inventory management, facilitating efficient monitoring and risk assessment. The Internet of Things (IoT) enables continuous real-time data collection and remote monitoring, providing early warnings and enhancing predictive maintenance. Data analytics play a crucial role in predicting maintenance needs, preventing unexpected failures, and optimizing resource allocation. Mobile applications streamline on-site inspections, ensuring quick and accurate data collection and reporting. Lastly, drones provide high-resolution imagery and access to hard-to-reach areas, enhancing the safety and efficiency of inspections.

Together, these technological advancements will revolutionize LGED's approach to bridge management, ensuring the longevity and resilience of vital infrastructure in Bangladesh. A well-coordinated implementation plan, supported by comprehensive training and adequate funding, is essential for the successful adoption of these technologies, paving the way for a more sustainable and robust bridge management system.

Chapter 5

Challenges of Bridges Asset Management Implementation

5.1 General

LGED now have 3,31,843.00 nos. Bridges with lengths around16,07,706.00 meter, so there are a lot of challenges identified in Asset management for Bridges , therefore the ways to minimize maintenance cost and prolonging life span of Bridge should also be generated. Here's a structured approach to discussing the Implementation Challenges of Comprehensive Bridge Asset Management in LGED (Local Government Engineering Department), covering barriers, solutions, and strategies for effective implementation.

5.2 Identifying Barriers

5.2.1 Institutional and Policy Barriers

Institutional and policy barriers in the context of LGEDrefer to the challenges arising from weaknesses in organizational structure, governance frameworks, regulations, and coordination mechanisms that hinder the effective implementation of bridge asset management.

Key Aspects of Institutional and Policy Barriers in LGED:

Lack of a Standardized Asset Management Policy

- Absence of a comprehensive bridge asset management framework.
- No clear guidelines for lifecycle-based maintenance and rehabilitation.

Weak Institutional Coordination

- Limited collaboration between LGED, local governments, transport agencies, and private contractors.

- Overlapping roles and responsibilities, leading to inefficiencies in decision-making.

Inadequate Regulatory Framework

- Outdated or poorly enforced construction and maintenance regulations.
- Lack of strict compliance monitoring for bridge quality and safety standards.

Limited Accountability and Transparency

- Weak oversight mechanisms for bridge inspections and maintenance.
- Corruption and mismanagement in project implementation.

Policy Inconsistencies and Political Influence

- Frequent changes in government policies impacting long-term bridge management.
- Political interference in budget allocation and project prioritization.

Lack of Strategic Planning for Climate Resilience

- Insufficient policies addressing climate risks and disaster resilience.
- Absence of guidelines for climate-adaptive bridge designs.
- Impact of Institutional and Policy Barriers
- Delays in bridge maintenance and rehabilitation projects.
- Increased costs due to inefficient planning and execution.
- Shorter lifespan of bridges due to lack of long-term sustainability measures.

5.2.2 Financial Barriers

Financial barriers in bridge asset management for the Local Government Engineering Department (LGED) refer to the challenges related to funding constraints, inefficient budget allocation, and inadequate financial planning that hinder the proper maintenance, rehabilitation, and expansion of bridge infrastructure.

Key Aspects of Financial Barriers in LGED

Insufficient Budget Allocation

- Limited government funding for bridge maintenance and rehabilitation.
- Priority given to new bridge construction over preventive maintenance, leading to higher long-term costs.

Dependence on External Funding

- Heavy reliance on donor agencies and development partners, creating uncertainty in fund availability.
- Delays in receiving grants or loans impact timely maintenance and repairs.

High Cost of Maintenance and Rehabilitation

- Rising costs of construction materials, labor, and equipment.
- Lack of cost-effective maintenance strategies leads to higher long-term expenses.

Inefficient Financial Management

- Poor financial planning and forecasting for bridge lifecycle costs.
- Weak fund utilization and expenditure tracking, leading to wastage and misallocation.

Limited Public-Private Partnerships (PPP)

- Absence of private sector investment in bridge maintenance and management.
- No established mechanisms for toll-based or revenue-generating models to sustain funding.

Emergency Repair Costs Due to Poor Maintenance

- Lack of preventive maintenance leads to higher emergency repair costs.

- Unexpected climate-related damages (floods, cyclones) increase financial burden.

Impact of Financial Barriers

- Delays in critical bridge maintenance and rehabilitation.
- Accelerated deterioration of bridges, leading to safety hazards.
- Higher long-term costs due to reactive repairs instead of preventive maintenance.
- Restricted expansion of rural connectivity and infrastructure development.

Solutions to Overcome Financial Barriers

- Increase budget allocation for preventive maintenance to reduce long-term costs.
- Develop sustainable financing models, including PPP and toll-based revenue generation.
- Improve financial planning and transparency in fund utilization.
- Seek alternative funding sources, such as climate resilience funds and infrastructure bonds.

5.2.3 Technical and Capacity Barriers for Bridge Asset Management

Technical and capacity barriers in bridge asset management for **LGED** refer to challenges related to inadequate expertise, lack of modern technology, poor data management, and insufficient resources for effective maintenance and rehabilitation of bridge infrastructure.

Key Aspects of Technical and Capacity Barriers

Lack of Skilled Personnel and Technical Expertise

- Shortage of trained engineers, inspectors, and maintenance staff with expertise in bridge asset management.

- Limited training programs for LGED personnel on advanced asset management techniques.
- Dependency on traditional/manual inspection methods instead of modern technology-based assessments.

Poor Data Collection and Asset Management Systems

- Lack of a centralized Bridge Management System (BMS) for monitoring and decision-making.
- Incomplete or outdated bridge inventory, making it difficult to track maintenance needs.
- Absence of real-time condition monitoring tools, leading to inefficient maintenance planning.

Inadequate Use of Modern Technology

- Limited adoption of GIS, drones, remote sensing, and AI-driven predictive maintenance.
- Dependence on visual inspections instead of using advanced tools like sensors or non-destructive testing.
- Lack of digital platforms for asset tracking and lifecycle management.

Inefficient Maintenance and Repair Practices

- Reactive maintenance approach instead of preventive and predictive maintenance strategies.
- Poor quality control in bridge rehabilitation projects due to lack of technical guidelines.
- Insufficient technical standards for climate-resilient bridge designs.

Limited Research and Innovation in Bridge Engineering

- Minimal investment in R&D for innovative bridge materials and construction techniques.
- Absence of collaboration with universities, research institutions, and international experts.
- Slow adoption of climate-adaptive technologies for bridge design and maintenance.

Lack of Inter-Departmental Coordination and Knowledge Sharing

- Weak coordination between LGED, local governments, and transport departments on technical matters.
- No structured system for sharing best practices and lessons learned across regions.
- Limited engagement with private sector and international agencies for knowledge exchange.

Impact of Technical and Capacity Barriers

- Reduced lifespan of bridges due to improper maintenance.
- Increased maintenance costs due to reactive instead of preventive repairs.
- Delays in identifying and addressing structural weaknesses, leading to safety risks.
- Inefficient allocation of resources due to lack of data-driven decision-making.

5.2.4 Operational and Implementation Barriers

Operational and implementation barriers in bridge asset management for LGED refer to challenges related to inefficiencies in planning, execution, monitoring, and maintenance processes that hinder the smooth functioning of bridge management systems. These barriers often lead to delays, cost overruns, poor maintenance, and a shorter lifespan for bridges.

Key Aspects of Operational and Implementation Barriers

Inefficient Maintenance Scheduling and Prioritization

- Absence of a structured and data-driven approach to prioritizing bridge repairs.
- Reactive maintenance instead of preventive and predictive maintenance strategies.
- Delays in identifying and addressing critical structural issues, leading to costly emergency repairs.

Poor Integration of Infrastructure Management Systems

- Lack of coordination between bridge asset management and road infrastructure planning.
- Limited use of digital tools to track and manage bridge maintenance activities.
- Inconsistencies in data sharing and communication between different government departments.

Inadequate Quality Control in Construction and Maintenance

- Weak enforcement of construction quality standards, leading to premature bridge deterioration.
- Poor monitoring and supervision of contractors and maintenance teams.
- Use of substandard materials and outdated construction techniques.

Lack of Standardized Guidelines and Procedures

- Absence of clear operational guidelines for bridge inspection, maintenance, and rehabilitation.
- Inconsistencies in bridge design, construction, and monitoring processes.
- No structured risk assessment framework for identifying vulnerabilities in bridge infrastructure.

Delays in Project Implementation and Execution

- Bureaucratic delays in tendering, procurement, and fund disbursement.
- Lack of proper project management frameworks, leading to time and cost overruns.
- Challenges in contractor selection, supervision, and compliance enforcement.

Weak Monitoring and Evaluation Mechanisms

- Lack of a real-time bridge condition assessment system.
- Infrequent or inconsistent bridge inspections, resulting in delayed issue detection.
- Absence of a performance monitoring framework for evaluating asset management effectiveness.
- Impact of Operational and Implementation Barriers
- Frequent bridge failures due to poor maintenance and delayed repairs.
- Higher lifecycle costs due to emergency repairs instead of planned maintenance.
- Reduced safety and reliability of bridges, posing risks to communities.
- Wastage of public funds due to inefficiencies in project execution.

5.2.5 Environmental and Climate Resilience Barriers

Environmental and climate resilience barriers in bridge asset management for the Local Government Engineering Department (LGED) refer to challenges caused by natural hazards, climate change, and environmental degradation that impact the durability, safety, and longevity of bridge infrastructure. These barriers hinder effective maintenance, increase repair costs, and threaten the resilience of bridges against extreme weather conditions.

Key Aspects of Environmental and Climate Resilience Barriers

Climate Change Impacts on Bridge Infrastructure

- Increased frequency of extreme weather events (floods, cyclones, heavy rainfall) accelerating bridge deterioration.
- Temperature variations causing material expansion and contraction, leading to structural fatigue.
- Rising sea levels and saline water intrusion affecting coastal bridges.

River Erosion and Sedimentation

- Strong river currents eroding bridge foundations (scouring), leading to instability.
- Sediment deposition changing river flow patterns, affecting bridge piers and abutments.
- Unpredictable shifts in river courses, causing damage to bridges and approaches.

Poor Drainage and Waterlogging Issues

- Inadequate drainage systems leading to water accumulation and structural damage.
- Water seepage into bridge decks and supports, weakening materials over time.
- Frequent submersion of low-lying bridges, accelerating corrosion and material degradation.

Landslides and Soil Instability

- Weak soil conditions in hilly areas, leading to slope failures affecting bridge foundations.
- Unstable embankments causing damage to bridge approaches.
- Lack of climate-adaptive slope protection measures, increasing vulnerability to landslides.

Lack of Climate-Resilient Design Standards

- Bridges not designed to withstand changing climate conditions.
- Use of non-durable materials prone to weather-induced wear and tear.
- Absence of engineering guidelines for climate-resilient infrastructure.

Deforestation and Environmental Degradation

- Loss of natural vegetation increasing soil erosion near bridge structures.
- Unregulated sand and gravel extraction from rivers, weakening bridge foundations.
- Poor environmental impact assessments (EIAs) during bridge planning and construction.

Impact of Environmental and Climate Resilience Barriers

- Increased maintenance and repair costs due to frequent climate-related damages.
- Reduced lifespan of bridges, requiring costly rehabilitation or reconstruction.
- Disruptions in rural connectivity, affecting local communities and economic activities.
- Higher risks to public safety, particularly during extreme weather events.

5.3 Overcoming Barriers

5.3.1 Institutional and Policy Solutions

To overcome institutional and policy barriers in bridge asset management, LGED needs to implement structured reforms, strengthen governance frameworks, and adopt clear policies that ensure efficient, transparent, and sustainable bridge asset management.

Develop a Comprehensive Bridge Asset Management Policy

- Establish a national-level bridge asset management framework with clear guidelines.

- Integrate preventive maintenance strategies into policy to extend bridge lifespan.
- Align bridge management policies with sustainable development goals (SDGs) and climate resilience.

Strengthen Institutional Coordination and Governance

- Create a dedicated Bridge Asset Management Unit within LGED for centralized decision-making.
- Establish an inter-agency coordination mechanism involving LGED, transport departments, and local governments.
- Define clear roles and responsibilities for various stakeholders to reduce duplication and inefficiencies.

Improve Regulatory and Compliance Frameworks

- Update and enforce bridge construction, maintenance, and safety regulations.
- Implement strict quality control measures for materials, design, and construction processes.
- Develop independent monitoring and evaluation mechanisms to ensure compliance with national and international standards.

Increase Transparency and Accountability in Decision-Making

- Introduce a digital platform for bridge project tracking, fund utilization, and progress reporting.
- Implement public disclosure policies to ensure transparency in bridge project planning and execution.
- Strengthen anti-corruption measures to prevent fund misallocation and project mismanagement.

5.3.2 Financial Solutions

- Increase budget allocation for preventive maintenance to reduce long-term costs.
- Develop sustainable financing models, including PPP and toll-based revenue generation.
- Improve financial planning and transparency in fund utilization.
- Seek alternative funding sources, such as climate resilience funds and infrastructure bonds.

5.3.3 Technical and Capacity Solutions

Capacity Building and Skill Development

- Establish regular training programs for LGED engineers and field staff.
- Introduce certification programs for bridge inspection and maintenance experts.
- Organize knowledge-sharing workshops with international infrastructure agencies.

Implementing a Digital Bridge Management System (BMS)

- Develop a GIS-based, real-time bridge inventory and monitoring system.
- Use drones and AI-based condition assessment tools for efficient inspections.
- Integrate predictive maintenance models to prioritize high-risk bridges.

Enhancing Technological Adoption

- Promote non-destructive testing methods for assessing bridge conditions.
- Invest in smart sensors to monitor structural health continuously.
- Encourage use of climate-resilient materials and designs in new bridge projects.

Strengthening Research and Innovation

- Collaborate with academic institutions and research centers for developing innovative solutions.
- Fund pilot projects for sustainable and cost-effective bridge materials.
- Conduct climate vulnerability assessments to guide future bridge designs.

Improving Inter-Agency Coordination

- Establish joint task forces for technical collaboration between LGED and other infrastructure agencies.
- Develop standard operating procedures (SOPs) for bridge inspections and repairs.
- Engage public-private partnerships (PPPs) for knowledge transfer and technology adoption.

5.3.4 Operational and Implementation Solutions

Implementing a Bridge Management System (BMS)

- Develop a centralized, GIS-based system for real-time monitoring and maintenance tracking.
- Use AI and predictive analytics to prioritize maintenance needs.
- Integrate automated scheduling for bridge inspections and repairs.

Strengthening Project Planning and Execution

- Establish standard operating procedures (SOPs) for maintenance and rehabilitation.
- Introduce risk-based maintenance planning to address critical issues proactively.
- Implement strict contractor performance monitoring to ensure quality execution.

Enhancing Quality Control and Supervision

- Enforce strict construction material and engineering standards.
- Increase third-party audits and independent quality checks.
- Develop a transparent contractor evaluation system to improve accountability.

Improving Coordination and Institutional Capacity

- Strengthen inter-departmental collaboration between LGED, transport authorities, and local governments.
- Train LGED engineers and staff in modern asset management and project execution techniques.
- Develop a fast-track approval system to reduce bureaucratic delays in project execution.

Establishing a Performance-Based Monitoring System

- Implement real-time monitoring tools such as drones and sensors for bridge inspections.
- Develop Key Performance Indicators (KPIs) to measure efficiency and effectiveness.
- Regularly update bridge maintenance databases to improve decision-making.

5.3.5 Environmental and Climate Resilience Solutions

Implement Climate-Resilient Bridge Design and Construction Standards

- Use elevated bridge designs in flood-prone areas.
- Incorporate corrosion-resistant materials for long-term durability.
- Strengthen bridge foundations with deep pilings and erosion protection measures.

Enhance River and Drainage Management

- Install scour protection measures, such as riprap and submerged vanes.
- Improve riverbank stabilization through afforestation and bioengineering techniques.

- Ensure proper drainage design to prevent water accumulation.

Strengthen Disaster Preparedness and Response

- Establish early warning systems for flood-prone bridges.
- Develop emergency maintenance protocols for post-disaster bridge repairs.
- Create a disaster risk assessment framework for bridge infrastructure.

Conduct Regular Environmental Impact Assessments (EIAs)

- Make EIAs mandatory before bridge construction and rehabilitation projects.
- Implement sustainable construction practices to minimize environmental damage.
- Monitor land use changes around bridges to mitigate erosion risks.

Promote Research and Innovation in Climate-Resilient Infrastructure

- Collaborate with research institutions to develop innovative climate-adaptive materials.
- Pilot projects on eco-friendly bridge designs using renewable materials.
- Invest in geotechnical studies to assess soil and foundation stability in high-risk areas.

5.4 Institutional Strengthening and Policy Implementation

5.4.1 Establish a Centralized Bridge Asset Management Unit (BAMU)

- Create a dedicated unit within LGED to oversee policy execution, data management, and coordination.
- Assign clear roles and responsibilities to different departments to ensure accountability.
- Develop a National Bridge Asset Management Framework to guide planning and execution.

5.4.2 Strengthen Legal and Regulatory Frameworks

- Enforce strict bridge maintenance policies and standards.
- Introduce performance-based contracts to ensure high-quality work by contractors.
- Establish a national-level compliance monitoring mechanism for bridge safety audits.

5.4.3 Improve Coordination among Agencies

- Strengthen collaboration between LGED, local governments, and transportation authorities.
- Develop a centralized data-sharing platform for real-time updates on bridge conditions.
- Create an inter-agency task force to resolve policy conflicts and implementation challenges.

5.5 Technological Integration and Digital Transformation

5.5.1 Implement a Digital Bridge Management System (BMS)

- Develop a GIS-based BMS for real-time bridge condition monitoring and data analytics.
- Use Artificial Intelligence (AI) and predictive analytics for maintenance prioritization.
- Automate bridge inspection and reporting using cloud-based digital platforms.

5.5.2 Use Advanced Inspection and Monitoring Technologies

- Deploy drones, sensors, and non-destructive testing (NDT) tools for bridge inspections.
- Install IoT-based structural health monitoring (SHM) systems for real-time alerts.
- Utilize remote sensing and LiDAR technology for high-accuracy bridge assessments.

5.6 Financial Sustainability and Resource Mobilization

5.6.1 Establish a Dedicated Bridge Maintenance Fund

- Secure government allocations, international aid, and Public-Private Partnerships (PPPs).
- Develop a cost-sharing model with local authorities to enhance financial sustainability.

5.6.2 Introduce Performance-Based Budgeting

- Implement a prioritization model based on bridge risk assessment and lifecycle costs.
- Allocate funds based on condition-based, need-driven maintenance strategies.
- Ensure transparent budget utilization and regular financial audits.

Chapter 6

Conclusion

The Local Government Engineering Department (LGED) has taken a strategic and forward-thinking approach to bridge infrastructure management through the implementation of the Bridge Asset Management System (BAMS) and the Bridge Asset Management Plan (BAMP). These initiatives mark a significant step toward ensuring the longevity, safety, and functionality of approximately 335,000 bridges across rural Bangladesh. By adopting a data-driven, lifecycle-based management strategy, LGED not only enhances operational efficiency and maintenance planning but also fosters inclusive economic growth, improved connectivity, and poverty alleviation. The collaborative support from the World Bank and the Government of Bangladesh has been instrumental in addressing past maintenance challenges and enabling sustainable infrastructure development. Ultimately, LGED’s commitment to strengthening bridge assets is a testament to its role in promoting equitable national development and transforming rural lives through resilient and accessible transportation networks.

References

1. Local Government Engineering Department. (2023, June). *Asset Management Plan (Bridge)*. Dhaka, Bangladesh. Retrieved from https://lged.portal.gov.bd/sites/default/files/files/lged.portal.gov.bd/page/3b19c903_e7ef_4b34_a700_637b17445b92/2023-06-12-09-44-d2f5b7d8282f3e48b9dbdb5f79e544d7.pdf

2. Local Government Engineering Department. (2023, June). *Failure analysis: Guidance materials – Road and bridge pathologies, and toolkit user manual*. Dhaka, Bangladesh. Retrieved from https://lged.portal.gov.bd/sites/default/files/files/lged.portal.gov.bd/page/1f666690_705f_477f_9224_5a7bcc393807/2023-06-12-10-01-21cb4481c60e3b5a92f096b12299a34a.pdf

3. Local Government Engineering Department. (2023, June). *Strategic Asset Management Plan*. Dhaka, Bangladesh. Retrieved from https://lged.portal.gov.bd/sites/default/files/files/lged.portal.gov.bd/page/3b19c903_e7ef_4b34_a700_637b17445b92/2023-06-12-09-43-9fedccef2975ff93fd643a30bf9feaf1.pdf

4. Local Government Engineering Department. (2023, June). *Asset Information Strategy*. Dhaka, Bangladesh. Retrieved from https://lged.portal.gov.bd/sites/default/files/files/lged.portal.gov.bd/page/3b19c903_e7ef_4b34_a700_637b17445b92/2023-06-12-09-45-830fe954860f1aecbac66c9b2333ae46.pdf

5. Local Government Engineering Department. (2022, November). *Construction of important bridges on rural roads (1st Revised)*. Dhaka, Bangladesh. Retrieved from https://oldweb.lged.gov.bd/ProjectHome.aspx?projectID=742LGED+5LGED+5LGED+5

6. World Bank. (2024, November). *Operation for Supporting Rural Bridges (SupRB): Project Procurement Strategy for Development*. Washington, D.C. Retrieved from https://projects.worldbank.org/en/projects-operations/procurement-detail/OP00318870World Bank+7World Bank+7World Bank+7

7. Local Government Engineering Department. (n.d.). *Road & Bridge Maintenance Unit*. Dhaka, Bangladesh. Retrieved from https://oldweb.lged.gov.bd/UnitAbout.aspx?unitID=+7LGED+10LGED+10LGED+10

8. World Bank. (2019, August). *Bangladesh: Operation for Supporting Rural Bridges (SupRB) – Rural bridges: Connecting for a better life*. Washington, D.C. Retrieved from https://documents1.worldbank.org/curated/en/658671568368599613/pdf/Bangladesh-Operation-for-Supporting-Rural-Bridges-Rural-Bridges-Connecting-for-a-Better-Life.pdf