

RESEARCH ARTICLE

Engineering Reports

Open Access

WILEY

Numerical simulation of mass and heat transport phenomena of hydromagnetic flow of Casson fluid with sinusoidal boundary conditions

Md. Rafiqul Islam¹ | Sk. Reza-E-Rabbi²  | Md. Yousuf Ali² | Md. Mehedi Hasan Rasel³ | Sarder Firoz Ahmmed²

¹Department of Mathematics, Pabna University of Science and Technology, Pabna, 6600, Bangladesh

²Mathematics Discipline, Khulna University, Khulna, 9208, Bangladesh

³Department of Mathematics and Natural Sciences, BRAC University, Mohakhali Dhaka, 1212, Bangladesh

Correspondence

Sk. Reza-E-Rabbi, Mathematics Discipline, Khulna University, Khulna, 9208, Bangladesh.
Email: rabbio6@math.ku.ac.bd

Abstract

A magnetic field might interact with its surroundings, influencing chemical and physical processes in materials processing, heat exchangers, and other scientific study. Therefore, a computational study of non-Newtonian (Casson) free convective MHD unsteady fluid flow has been highlighted in this article with mass and heat transit property through a vertical infinite porous plate. A sinusoidal boundary conditions as well as chemical reaction and thermal radiation have been considered. Using a collection of nondimensional variables, the flow related equations are also turned into nondimensional form. The EFDM algorithm is employed in order to arrive at a numerical solution via Compaq Visual Fortran. The reliability of the numerical solution has been confirmed using stability testing and convergence analysis. The whole system is convergent when the values of Prandtl number and Lewis number are greater than or equals to 0.075 and 0.025, respectively. A visual depiction of the impact of the pertinent factors on dimensionless velocity, temperature, and concentration profiles are displayed through graphical representation as well as with tabular representation. It has been inspected that when the magnetic component is regarded, it greatly affects the heat transfer factors of Casson nanofluid and the heat also rises when Eckert number, heat source and radiation parameter accelerate. It is also found that the Sherwood number is increased as the impact of chemical reaction parameter and the Lewis number, also the skin friction is decreased as the influence of porosity term got accelerated. The comparison of the current findings with the data that were previously published serves as the final stage in validating the present study.

KEYWORDS

chemical reaction, MHD, Nanofluid, sinusoidal boundary conditions, thermal radiation

1 | INTRODUCTION

Convective heat transfer of magnetohydrodynamics flow has drawn a lot of interest due to its importance in the fields of research, technology, and several manufacturing processes in manufacturing industries like the extrusion of molten polymers, production of plastic sheets, solar power absorbs, thermal energy storage and so forth mixed convective flow through a porous surface is significant. The last few years have seen major advancements in the study of magento-hydro dynamics in the fields of engineering and the natural sciences following Hartman's pioneering work. Numerous scientists are fascinated by the study of magneto-hydrodynamic fluid flow in a porous media that is not Newtonian due to its application to the processing of metals and alloys, the evaluation of geothermal sources, and the management of nuclear fuel debris. Magnetohydrodynamic (MHD) flows are crucial for a variety of industrial and technical applications including MHD generators, the architecture of nuclear reactor and flow meters. The study of how electrically conducting solutions move when a magnetic field is present is known as magnetohydrodynamics. The introduction of a magnetic phenomenon dramatically changes the mass transportation and heat transfer capabilities of common electrically conducting flows, according to a number of anticipated experiments.^{1,2} At recent time, Reza-E-Rabbi et al.³ studied out the influence of periodic MHD on a nanofluid flow. It has been illustrated that periodic magnetic factor influenced the heat transfer characteristics of nanofluid significantly under the impact of nonlinear radiation. Moreover, Sohail et al.⁴ researched entropy generation of magnetized flow of Casson fluid through nonlinear bi-directional stretching surface with variable heat conductance and thermal conductivity. A proficient numerical technique called OHAM was used in their paper. Recently, a stretchy electromagnetic plate that affects the dynamics of the MHD Casson nanofluid in aspects of radiation, chemical reaction, and suction was produced by Asogwa et al.⁵ by imposing a stagnation point flow. On the extending Riga surface, it is discovered that chemical reaction increased while the thickness of the concentration boundary layer decreased due to Lewis number and Brownian motion. Khalid et al.⁶ demonstrated the turbulent MHD free convection of Casson fluid via a rotating vertical plate enclosed in a porous substance. Using FEM, the impacts of viscous dissipation were expressed by Reddy et al.⁷ on the flow of a viscous MHD fluid in an unstable condition with spontaneous convection. The key finding of this work is that the fall of velocity distribution and the skin-friction coefficient due to the increasing of Casson factor. Recently, on an inclined nonlinear surface, the thermal energy impacts of magnetized fluid were presented by Shoaib et al.⁸ through Forchheimer porous medium. The Levenberg Marquardt algorithm was chosen, together with a backpropagated learning mechanism to obtain numerical outcomes. Here, the core finding was that the temperature profile declined with the increase in the Forchheimer porous medium parameter.

Due to different applications in scientific and technological advancements, the experiment of non-Newtonian laminar flow has garnered a lot of interest. A fluid's viscosity can change depending on how quickly it deforms, and certain fluids have an elastic component that makes them technically not fluids. Non-Newtonian fluid characteristics are challenging to analyze, as they differ from those of Newtonian fluids, and on those numerous applications, several scientists, researchers, and engineers have collaborated.⁹ Under the impact of a first-order chemical transformation and the slip effect, the investigation by Saqib et al.¹⁰ looked at the methods by which mass and heat are transmitted while non-Newtonian fluid flows across an endless oscillating plate. It has mainly concentrated on studying the Laplace transform technique's application to the mechanics of the slip phenomena at a vertical plate edge. An unsteady boundary layer non-Newtonian fluid flow and heat transfer across a vertical plate that oscillates with Newtonian heating were investigated by Hussanan et al.¹¹ It has been recognized that as non-Newtonian fluid parameters are increased, velocity field decline, whilst thermal boundary layer thickness has grown as a proportion of the Newtonian heating parameter. Again, for exponentially permeable surface Raju et al.¹² used MATLAB bvp4c package for numerical simulating the mass and heat transit of MHD flow of non-Newtonian fluid. It has been demonstrated that non-Newtonian fluid has a better capacity for heat transport than Newtonian fluid. Moreover, Bejawada et al.¹³ modeled a non-Newtonian fluid flow over an elevated nonlinear surface including chemical reaction in a Forchheimer porous medium. With the use of the shooting approach and the Runge-Kutta method, authors were able to solve the coupled ordinary differential equation. It was discovered that the plate's temperature drops as the value of Forchheimer porous medium parameter rises. However, Prameela et al.¹⁴ demonstrated free convective MHD fluid flow with non-Newtonian phenomena across a vertical plate which was oscillating. Numerical investigations were conducted by employing finite element approach. Ogunseye et al.¹⁵ presented a computational analysis of the mass and heat transferred by a reactive Casson-Williamson nanofluid via a moveable cylinder in the vertical direction and developed a ground breaking bivariate overlapping multi-domain technique using the spectral

quasi-linearization method for analyzing nondimensional mathematical nonlinear specified flow equations. Additionally, the study with the chemical reaction related MHD radiant mobility of Casson and Williamson nanofluids along an inclination surface which was cylindrical was also interpreted by Sarker et al.¹⁶

Casson fluid's interaction between stress and strain makes it non-Newtonian in terms of rheological features. This fluid is a strong option for share thinning applications due to its high share viscosity and yield stress. The common Casson fluids are honey, soup, jelly, concentrated fruit juices. It is very much significant in the field of petroleum industry, power generators, paints, purification of crude oil, heating of aerodynamics and so on. Recently, Oke et al.¹⁷ investigated the behavior of Casson fluid flow on a nonuniform spinning surface because of Coriolis force. Researchers have been researching and looking into the relevance of Coriolis force as the characteristics of Casson fluid flow through the nonuniform thickness surface utilizing the Runge–Kutta–Gills method with the assistance of the shooting technique. Two non-Newtonian Casson solutions for fluid flow and heat transfer across an exponentially permeable shrinking sheet was developed by Zaib et al.¹⁸ with the influence of viscous dissipation. Mondal et al.¹⁹ provided a simulation on MHD flow of Casson fluid with varying viscosity and thermal conductivity characteristics. For additional analysis in this study, the explicit finite difference method was applied. The Casson fluid flow with changeable thermo-physical properties including suction along an exponentially stretched sheet and exponentially declining internal heat production were described by Animasaun et al.²⁰ using homotopy analysis. To demonstrate the impact of several relevant parameters on the fluid velocity and temperature profiles within the boundary layer, they developed a parametric research. Hussain et al.²¹ provided a thermal analysis of a gold nanoparticle containing Casson rheological fluid under the effect of magnetic and gravitational forces. It is found that through the inclined channel, magnetized Newtonian particulate suspension is more prominent. Ahmad et al.²² used Fick's and Fourier's Laws for time fractional analysis of channel flow of couple stress Casson fluid. Nevertheless, Moatimid et al.²³ inspected the Casson nanofluid flow phenomena in the conical gap between the rotating surfaces of a cone and a horizontal disc. Investigators depicted that the fluid's temperature and radial velocity both decreased as a result of the rotational parameters. A numerical investigation on the pulsating flow of a micro polar Casson fluid through a constrained channel driven by a magnetic field and a Darcian porous medium was presented by Ali et al.²⁴ By implementing Keller-Box method, Rafique et al.²⁵ determined a numerical solution for the Casson nanofluid flow over such an inclination surface that is not linear with the impacts of Soret and Dufour. The authors claimed that the Dufour effect lowers the Nusselt and Sherwood number as a result of the Soret influence. Additionally, Nandeppanavar et al.²⁶ expressed an examination of the thermal properties of a Casson nanofluid flowing past an exponential stretching sheet in a porous medium using theoretical methods. In order to examine the combined hall current and heat radiation of hydromagnetic Casson nanofluid, Sahoo²⁷ constructed an analysis of entropy production and dissipative heat transfer. An efficient spectral quasi-linearization method was applied for numerical analysis. Kataria and Patel²⁸ analyzed heat and mass transfer in magnetohydrodynamic Casson fluid flow over an oscillating plate which placed as vertically and also embedded in porous medium with ramped wall temperature. In addition, recently thermal features and heat transfer enhancement of a Casson fluid has also been depicted and concluded that heat transfer rate diminished with increased heat generation parameter while it improved with increased radiation, Biot number, and Prandtl number.²⁹

Numerous scientists are fascinated by the study of magnetohydrodynamic fluid flow in a porous media that is not Newtonian due to its application to the processing of metals and alloys, the evaluation of geothermal sources, and the management of nuclear fuel debris. Furthermore, the last few years have seen major advancements in the study of magnetohydrodynamics in the fields of engineering and the natural sciences following Hartman's pioneering work. MHD Casson fluid flow study over a permeable stretching sheet with heat and mass transfer was performed by Asogwa et al.³⁰ The influence of heat radiation and chemical reaction on the MHD non-Newtonian (Casson fluid) flow across an increasingly sloped stretched surface were also documented by Rao et al.³¹ in their study. A widely used shooting method was taken for numerical calculation. Ullah et al.³² developed another Casson fluid model for an unsteady MHD slip flow with mixed convection over a nonlinearly extending sheet. The Keller box approach was used for the numerical simulation of a porous media containing thermal radiation, heat generation/absorption, chemical reaction, and convective boundary conditions. Abro et al.³³ published a research work on mathematical analysis of the Casson fluid flow under magnetic field using unique functions with embedded heat and mass transport. By using newly developed generalized Robotnov-Hartley, Wright, and Mittage-Leffler functions, they correspondingly presented the relevant results of mass concentration, temperature distribution, and velocity profiles. Kataria et al.³⁴ developed combined effects of radiation and chemical reaction on MHD Casson fluid flow through a vertical plate that is in motion was embedded in porous medium with analytic solution using Laplace transform technique. It has been discovered that when heat radiation climbs,

TABLE 1 Comparison of present research approach with previous published research

Authors	NNF	MHD	RP	HSP	CR	NF	PT
Raju et al. ⁴	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No
Asogwa et al. ⁵	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	No	No
Sarkar et al. ⁷	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	No
Ullah et al. ⁸	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes
Sulochana et al. ¹²	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No
Reza-E-Rabbi et al. ³⁴	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	No
Current work	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes

Abbreviations: CR, chemical reaction; HSP, heat source parameter; NF, nanofluid; NNF, non-Newtonian fluid; MHD, magnetohydrodynamics; PT, porous term; RP, radiation parameter.

velocity increases and temperature falls. Zhou et al.³⁵ analyzed unsteady convective slip flow of the MHD Casson fluid across a stretched permeable surface that was simultaneously being heated unevenly. With rising levels of the porosity parameter and inclination angle, the velocity profiles decreased. In addition, Darcy Forchheimer variation in Casson type MHD nanofluid flow across nonlinear stretching surface was examined by Rasool et al.³⁶ Raju et al.³⁷ figured out MHD Casson fluid in a suspension of convective conditions and cross diffusion across a surface of paraboloid of revolution. In this research, experts found that mixed convective conditions were advantageous for improving the mass and heat transport phenomena. To analyze the production of entropy in MHD over nonlinear bi-directional stretching surfaces, Sohail et al.⁴ described Casson fluid flow with variable thermal conductivity and heat conductance. The investigators showed the solutions by implementing the optimal homotopy analysis method. In addition, Shah et al.³⁸ investigated a radiative MHD Casson nanofluid flow with activation energy and chemical reaction through entropy generation. For the evaluation of results homotopy analysis method was applied. They figured out that activation energy corresponds to augmented concentration.

Motivated from the aforementioned studies, heat and mass transform on MHD free convective Casson nanofluid flow with unsteady form past in an infinite vertical porous plate in the presence of radiation and chemical reaction with sinusoidal boundary conditions was investigated. The momentum equation includes the influence of magnetic force, whereas the energy equation incorporates the effects of heat radiation. The explicit finite difference strategy is utilized to numerically solve these nonlinear ordinary differential equations. Plotted graphs and tables are used to analyze and discuss the many parameters of the present flow problem in detail. For sinusoidal boundary conditions, when the radiating heat flux is taken into account, the Lorentz force for magnetic parameters has reduced the flow profiles. The impacts of Grashof number and radiation parameter have been encapsulated by the advanced visualizations better known as streamlines and isotherms for flow fields. Table 1 has been specifically made to show how the current approach contrasts with prior published research in the field.

The present research has applications across a wide range of technical fields, including the creation of thermal nanotechnology components. Additionally, control of heat transmission in the polymer production business must depend on the thermal radiation component. High temperatures then lead to the development of a variety of novel technical processes, including solar energy technology and energy technologies based on the combustion of fossil fuels. Therefore, it would appear crucial to study heat radiation. Here, thermal radiation with linear patterns have been thoroughly investigated. The treatment of prostate cancer is one area where the recent study has application. Magnetic fields frequently help to regulate the drug's particle emission, which is one application of nanoparticles in the treatment of cancer.

2 | MATHEMATICAL ANALYSIS

The MHD unsteady Casson nanofluid flow with mass and heat transformation through in a vertical porous plate which is taken as infinite is explored in this article with existing the chemical reaction and radiation. The sinusoidal conditions $1 + A \sin \pi x$ is taken with wall temperature and C_w is taken as concentration near the wall, respectively, at $t > 0$.




FIGURE 1 Physical diagram and coordinate system⁴²

As opposed to, T and C are the fluid temperature and Concentration at everywhere (Figure 1). Now for the Casson nanofluid flow, the rheological equation is underived,

$$\pi_{ij} = \begin{cases} \left(\mu_c + \frac{P_y}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \right) 2\zeta_{ij} & \text{when } \pi > \pi_b \\ \left(\mu_c + \frac{P_y}{\sqrt{2\pi_b}} \right) 2\zeta_{ij} & \text{when } \pi < \pi_b; \text{ where } P_y = \mu_c \sqrt{2\pi} \beta^{-1} \end{cases}$$

$$\therefore v' = v(1 + \beta^{-1}) \text{ where } v = \mu_c \rho^{-1}.$$

Here, ζ_{ij} and π_{ij} are (i, j) th factor of the deformation rate and the stress tensor, respectively, μ_c is the plastic dynamic viscosity of the Casson fluid, π represents the product of the component of deformation rate with itself, π_b denoted the critical value of this product, and P_y indicates the yield stress of fluid. Now, by applying the boundary layer approximation and under the above consideration the system of governing equations of the magnetohydrodynamics nanofluid flow is provided by^{3,39,40}

Continuity equation:

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial x} = -\frac{\partial v}{\partial y}. \quad (1)$$

Momentum equation:

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} = v(1 + \beta^{-1}) \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial y^2} + g\beta_T(C - C_\infty) + g\beta_T(T - T_\infty) - \frac{\sigma B_0^2 u}{\rho} - (1 + \beta^{-1}) \frac{v}{k} u - \left(u \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} + v \frac{\partial u}{\partial y} \right). \quad (2)$$

Energy equation:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial T}{\partial t} = & \frac{k}{\rho c_p} \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial y^2} + (1 + \beta^{-1}) \frac{v}{\rho c_p} \left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial y} \right)^2 + \tau \left[\frac{D_T}{T_\infty} \left(\frac{\partial T}{\partial y} \right)^2 + D_B \left(\frac{\partial T}{\partial y} \frac{\partial C}{\partial y} \right) \right] - \left(u \frac{\partial T}{\partial x} + v \frac{\partial T}{\partial y} \right) \\ & - \frac{1}{\rho c_p} \frac{\partial q_r}{\partial y} + \frac{Q_0}{\rho c_p} (T - T_\infty). \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

Concentration equation:

$$\frac{\partial C}{\partial t} = D_B \frac{\partial^2 C}{\partial y^2} + \frac{D_T}{T_\infty} \frac{\partial^2 T}{\partial y^2} - \left(u \frac{\partial C}{\partial x} + v \frac{\partial C}{\partial y} \right) - K_c(C - C_\infty)^p. \quad (4)$$

Initial and boundary criteria that match are

$$\left. \begin{aligned} t = 0, C = C_{\infty}, T = T_{\infty}, u = 0, v = 0 & \quad \text{everywhere} \\ t \geq 0, C = C_{\infty}, T = T_{\infty}, u = 0, v = 0 & \quad \text{at } x = 0 \\ u = U_0, v = 0, T = T_{\infty} + (T_w - T_{\infty})(1 + A \sin \pi x), C = C_w & \quad \text{at } y = 0 \\ C \rightarrow C_{\infty}, T \rightarrow T_{\infty}, u = 0, v = 0 & \quad \text{as } y \rightarrow \infty \end{aligned} \right\}, \quad (5)$$

where u and v indicate the velocity components, β be the Casson term, the magnetic field component is defined by B_0 , β_T , and β_C are the thermal and concentration expansion coefficient, the wall temperature and the species wall concentration are represent by T_w and C_w , ν indicates the kinematic viscosity, the density, thermal conductivity, specific heat at constant pressure and unidirectional radiative heat flux are defined by ρ , κ , c_p , and q_r , respectively. D_B and D_T are the Brownian and thermophoresis diffusion coefficient. The Rosseland approximation becomes, $q_r = -\frac{4\sigma}{3k_s} \frac{\partial T^4}{\partial y}$ for radiative heat flux. Expanding T^4 by Taylor's approximation at T_{∞} , we get $T^4 \approx 4T_{\infty}^3 T - 3T_{\infty}^4$. (By neglecting the Higher ranked terms because of its small value). The Equation (3) become,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial T}{\partial t} = \frac{k}{\rho c_p} \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial y^2} + (1 + \beta^{-1}) \frac{v}{\rho c_p} \left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial y} \right)^2 + \tau \left[D_B \left(\frac{\partial C}{\partial y} \frac{\partial T}{\partial y} \right) + \frac{D_T}{T_{\infty}} \left(\frac{\partial T}{\partial y} \right)^2 \right] - u \frac{\partial T}{\partial x} - v \frac{\partial T}{\partial y} \\ + \frac{16\sigma T_{\infty}^3}{3k_s \rho c_p} \frac{\partial^2 T}{\partial y^2} + \frac{Q_0}{\rho c_p} (T - T_{\infty}). \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

Dimensionless equations must be used because the finite difference technique will be used to solve the governing Equations (1) through (4) under the boundary conditions (5). For this intention the nondimensional components listed below,

$$X = \frac{xU_0}{\nu}, U = \frac{u}{U_0}, Y = \frac{yU_0}{\nu}, V = \frac{v}{U_0}, \tau = \frac{tU_0^2}{\nu}, \phi = \frac{C - C_{\infty}}{C_w - C_{\infty}}, \theta = \frac{T - T_{\infty}}{T_w - T_{\infty}}. \quad (7)$$

In terms of nondimensional variables, the following system of partial differential equations with nonlinearity is produced:

$$\frac{\partial U}{\partial Y} + \frac{\partial U}{\partial X} = 0, \quad (8)$$

$$\frac{\partial U}{\partial \tau} + V \frac{\partial U}{\partial Y} + U \frac{\partial U}{\partial X} = (1 + \beta^{-1}) \frac{\partial^2 U}{\partial Y^2} - MU - (1 + \beta^{-1}) K_p U + G_r \theta + G_m \phi, \quad (9)$$

$$\frac{\partial \theta}{\partial \tau} + U \frac{\partial \theta}{\partial X} + V \frac{\partial \theta}{\partial Y} = N_b \left(\frac{\partial \theta}{\partial Y} \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial Y} \right) + \frac{(1 + R_d)}{P_r} \frac{\partial^2 \theta}{\partial Y^2} + N_t \left(\frac{\partial \theta}{\partial Y} \right)^2 + (1 + \beta^{-1}) \left(\frac{\partial U}{\partial Y} \right)^2 E_c + Q\theta, \quad (10)$$

$$\frac{\partial \phi}{\partial \tau} + U \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial X} + V \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial Y} = \frac{1}{L_e} \left[\frac{N_t}{N_b} \left(\frac{\partial^2 \theta}{\partial Y^2} \right) + \frac{\partial^2 \phi}{\partial Y^2} \right] - K_r (\phi)^p. \quad (11)$$

With matching initial and boundary conditions are

$$\left. \begin{aligned} \tau \leq 0, \phi = 0, U = 0, \theta = 0, V = 0 & \quad \text{everywhere} \\ \tau > 0, \phi = 0, U = 0, \theta = 0, V = 0 & \quad \text{at } X = 0 \\ \phi = 1, \theta = 1 + A \sin \pi X, V = 0, U = 1, & \quad \text{at } Y = 0 \\ \phi \rightarrow 0, \theta \rightarrow 0, U = V = 0, & \quad \text{as } Y \rightarrow \infty \end{aligned} \right\}. \quad (12)$$

The dimensionless parameters such as thermal Grashof number, magnetic parameter, mass Grashof number, radiation parameter, Prandtl number, Lewis number, Brownian parameter, Eckert number, porous term, thermophoresis parameter, heat source parameter and chemical reaction are defined by

$$G_r = \frac{g\beta_T(T_w - T_\infty)v}{U_0^3}, M = \frac{\sigma B_0^2 v}{\rho U_0^2}, G_m = \frac{g\beta_C(C_w - C_\infty)v}{U_0^3}, R_d = \frac{16\tilde{\sigma}T_\infty^3}{3\kappa k_s}, P_r = \frac{v\rho c_p}{\kappa}, L_e = \frac{v}{D_B},$$

$$N_b = \frac{\tau D_B(C_w - C_\infty)}{v}, E_c = \frac{U_0^2}{c_p(T_w - T_\infty)}, K_p = \frac{v^2}{k_s U_0^2}, N_t = \frac{\tau D_T(T_w - T_\infty)}{v T_\infty}, Q = \frac{Q_0 v}{\rho c_p U_0^2}, K_r = \frac{k_s v(C_w - C_\infty)^{p-1}}{U_0^2}.$$

The velocity components in the terms of stream function are $U = \frac{d\psi}{dY}$ and $V = -\frac{d\psi}{dX}$, where stream function ψ satisfies the continuity Equation (8).

The important physical phenomena of the fluid flow are skin-friction coefficient, the Sherwood number and the Nusselt number, things are outlined below.

$$C_f = -\frac{1}{2\sqrt{2}}(1 + \beta^{-1})\left(\frac{\partial U}{\partial Y}\right)_{Y=0}, S_h = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}L_e}\left(\frac{\partial \phi}{\partial Y}\right)_{Y=0}, \text{ and } N_u = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}P_r}(1 + R_d)\left(\frac{\partial \theta}{\partial Y}\right)_{Y=0}.$$

3 | METHODOLOGY

Influenced by prior research and experiences as well as the magnificence of nanofluid and MHD exploration, this investigation depicts the effects of chemical reaction as well as radiation on unsteady MHD nanofluids moving towards sinusoidal boundary conditions. This research, which is based on a numerical simulation, must be completed with the use of an effective numerical technique. This leads to the use of the EFD (explicit finite difference) procedure. The biggest benefit of adopting an explicit finite approach is how much faster it computes. Computing-wise, the process is comparatively quick and affordable. In spite of this, making it work and programming it are fairly simple. As soon as the approach is implemented, a stability test is conducted, which clarifies the problem. The structure of the present project is as follows (Figure 2):

1. A collection of fundamental equations, including continuity, momentum, and energy equations, have been developed using the fundamental concept of fluid mechanics.
2. A number of dimensionless components are used to make the produced equations nondimensional. The suggested equations are numerically resolved using the EFD method.
3. To guarantee that the solution converges, a thorough stability and convergence criteria has been constructed.
4. A program named Visual Fortran is used to acquire the numerical data.
5. The graphical depiction and evaluation of the numerical data are done using Tecplot.
6. Finally, the findings and discussion section represent the detailed analysis of the attained results.

4 | NUMERICAL SOLUTIONS

In this section, we attempt to solve numerically the governing dimensionless partial differential equations by using the EFD with the flow related initial and boundary conditions. In order to calculate the finite difference equations, the flow




FIGURE 2 Flow chart (methodology)




FIGURE 3 Finite difference space grid⁴¹

zone is divided by a grid or mesh of lines that are perpendicular to the X and Y axes and measured along the X and Y axes, respectively. Here, we assume that the plate has a maximum height of $X_{\max}(=100)$, that is, X varies between 0 and 100, and we consider $Y_{\max}(=25)$ to equate to Y varying between 0 and 25. According to Figure 3, there are grid spacings of $m = 125$ and $n = 125$ in the X and Y axes, respectively. Let ΔX , ΔY are the constant mesh size in the X and Y dimensions, the following is taken into consideration:

$\Delta Y = 0.2(0 \leq x \leq 25)$; $\Delta X = 0.8(0 \leq x \leq 100)$ utilizing the shorter time-step, $\Delta \tau = 0.0005$.

Let us consider $\tilde{U}$, $\tilde{\theta}$ and $\tilde{\phi}$ stand for the respective values of U , θ , and ϕ at the conclusion of a time-step. We get the following from the explicit finite difference approximation,

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\frac{\partial U}{\partial X}\right)_{ij} &= \frac{U_{ij} - U_{i-1j}}{\Delta X}, \left(\frac{\partial U}{\partial \tau}\right)_{ij} = \frac{\tilde{U}_{ij} - U_{ij}}{\Delta \tau}, \left(\frac{\partial U}{\partial Y}\right)_{ij} = \frac{U_{ij+1} - U_{ij}}{\Delta Y}, \left(\frac{\partial V}{\partial Y}\right)_{ij} = \frac{V_{ij} - V_{ij-1}}{\Delta Y}, \\ \left(\frac{\partial \theta}{\partial Y}\right)_{ij} &= \frac{\theta_{ij+1} - \theta_{ij}}{\Delta Y}, \left(\frac{\partial \theta}{\partial X}\right)_{ij} = \frac{\theta_{ij} - \theta_{i-1j}}{\Delta X}, \left(\frac{\partial \theta}{\partial \tau}\right)_{ij} = \frac{\tilde{\theta}_{ij} - \theta_{ij}}{\Delta \tau}, \\ \left(\frac{\partial \phi}{\partial Y}\right)_{ij} &= \frac{\phi_{ij+1} - \phi_{ij}}{\Delta Y}, \left(\frac{\partial \phi}{\partial \tau}\right)_{ij} = \frac{\tilde{\phi}_{ij} - \phi_{ij}}{\Delta \tau}, \left(\frac{\partial \phi}{\partial X}\right)_{ij} = \frac{\phi_{ij} - \phi_{i-1j}}{\Delta X} \\ \left(\frac{\partial^2 \theta}{\partial Y^2}\right)_{ij} &= \frac{\theta_{ij+1} - 2\theta_{ij} + \theta_{ij-1}}{(\Delta Y)^2}, \left(\frac{\partial^2 U}{\partial Y^2}\right)_{ij} = \frac{U_{ij+1} - 2U_{ij} + U_{ij-1}}{(\Delta Y)^2}, \left(\frac{\partial^2 \phi}{\partial y^2}\right)_{ij} = \frac{\phi_{ij+1} - 2\phi_{ij} + \phi_{ij-1}}{(\Delta Y)^2} \end{aligned} \quad (13)$$

We construct a suitable set of finite difference equations from the system of PDE's (8)–(11) by substituting the aforementioned relations into the respective differential equation,

$$\frac{U_{ij} - U_{i-1j}}{\Delta X} = \frac{V_{ij-1} - V_{ij}}{\Delta Y}, \quad (14)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\tilde{U}_{ij} - U_{ij}}{\Delta \tau} &= (1 + \beta^{-1}) \frac{U_{ij+1} - 2U_{ij} + U_{ij-1}}{(\Delta Y)^2} + G_r \theta_{ij} + G_m \phi_{ij} - MU_{ij} \\ &\quad - V_{ij} \frac{U_{ij+1} - U_{ij}}{\Delta Y} - U_{ij} \frac{U_{ij} - U_{i-1j}}{\Delta X} - (1 + \beta^{-1}) K_p U_{ij} \\ \therefore \tilde{U}_{ij} &= U_{ij} + \Delta \tau \left[G_r \theta_{ij} + G_m \phi_{ij} + (1 + \beta^{-1}) \frac{U_{ij+1} - 2U_{ij} + U_{ij-1}}{(\Delta Y)^2} - MU_{ij} - (1 + \beta^{-1}) K_p U_{ij} \right] \\ &\quad - \Delta \tau \left[U_{ij} \frac{U_{ij} - U_{i-1j}}{\Delta X} + V_{ij} \frac{U_{ij+1} - U_{ij}}{\Delta Y} \right], \end{aligned} \quad (15)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{\tilde{\theta}_{ij} - \theta_{ij}}{\Delta \tau} &= N_t \left(\frac{\theta_{ij+1} - \theta_{ij}}{\Delta Y} \right)^2 + \left(\frac{1 + R_d}{P_r} \right) \frac{\theta_{ij+1} - 2\theta_{ij} + \theta_{ij-1}}{(\Delta Y)^2} + N_b \frac{\theta_{ij+1} - \theta_{ij}}{\Delta Y} \frac{\phi_{ij+1} - \phi_{ij}}{\Delta Y} \\
&\quad - V_{ij} \frac{\theta_{ij+1} - \theta_{ij}}{\Delta Y} + (1 + \beta^{-1}) E_c \left(\frac{U_{ij+1} - U_{ij}}{\Delta Y} \right)^2 - U_{ij} \frac{\theta_{ij} - \theta_{i-1,j}}{\Delta X} \\
\therefore \tilde{\theta}_{ij} &= \Delta \tau \left[N_b \frac{\theta_{ij+1} - \theta_{ij}}{\Delta Y} \frac{\phi_{ij+1} - \phi_{ij}}{\Delta Y} + \left(\frac{1 + R_d}{P_r} \right) \frac{\theta_{ij+1} - 2\theta_{ij} + \theta_{ij-1}}{(\Delta Y)^2} + N_t \left(\frac{\theta_{ij+1} - \theta_{ij}}{\Delta Y} \right)^2 \right] + \theta_{ij} \\
&\quad - \Delta \tau \left[V_{ij} \frac{\theta_{ij+1} - \theta_{ij}}{\Delta Y} - (1 + \beta^{-1}) E_c \left(\frac{U_{ij+1} - U_{ij}}{\Delta Y} \right)^2 + U_{ij} \frac{\theta_{ij} - \theta_{i-1,j}}{\Delta X} \right], \quad (16)
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{\tilde{\phi}_{ij} - \phi_{ij}}{\Delta \tau} &= \frac{N_t}{N_b L_e} (\theta_{ij+1} - 2\theta_{ij} + \theta_{ij-1}) (\Delta Y)^{-2} + \frac{1}{L_e} (\phi_{ij+1} - 2\phi_{ij} + \phi_{ij-1}) (\Delta Y)^{-2} \\
&\quad + V_{ij} (\phi_{ij} - \phi_{ij+1}) (\Delta Y)^{-1} + U_{ij} (\phi_{i-1,j} - \phi_{ij}) (\Delta X)^{-2} - K_r (\phi_{ij})^p \\
\therefore \tilde{\phi}_{ij} &= \Delta \tau \left[(L_e)^{-1} (\phi_{ij+1} - 2\phi_{ij} + \phi_{ij-1}) (\Delta Y)^{-2} + \frac{N_t}{N_b L_e} (\theta_{ij+1} - 2\theta_{ij} + \theta_{ij-1}) (\Delta Y)^{-2} \right] + \phi_{ij} \\
&\quad - \Delta \tau \left[V_{ij} (\phi_{ij+1} - \phi_{ij}) (\Delta Y)^{-1} + U_{ij} (\phi_{ij} - \phi_{i-1,j}) (\Delta X)^{-1} + K_r (\phi_{ij})^p \right]. \quad (17)
\end{aligned}$$

Corresponding boundary conditions are

$$\left. \begin{aligned} U_{i,S}^n &= \phi_{i,S}^n = 1; & \theta_{i,S}^n &= 1 + A \sin \pi X, & \text{where } S = 0 \\ U_{i,S}^n &= \phi_{i,S}^n = 0; & \theta_{i,S}^n &= 0, & \text{where } S \rightarrow \infty \end{aligned} \right\}. \quad (18)$$

4.1 | Stability and convergence analysis

The analysis will not be complete because an explicit approach is being employed until we discuss how the finite difference approach converges and remains stable. The stability conditions of the scheme may be established as follows for constant mesh sizes.

Apart from a constant, the basic terms for U , θ , and ϕ as the Fourier expansion at a time conventionally called $\tau = 0$ are all $e^{i\alpha X} e^{i\beta Y}$, where $i = \sqrt{-1}$. These words eventually become

$$\left. \begin{aligned} U &: D(\tau) e^{i\beta Y} e^{i\alpha X} \\ \theta &: E(\tau) e^{i\alpha X} e^{i\beta Y} \\ \phi &: F(\tau) e^{i\beta Y} e^{i\alpha X} \end{aligned} \right\} \quad (19)$$

and following the time-step, these words will change to

$$\left. \begin{aligned} \tilde{U} &: \tilde{D}(\tau) e^{i\beta Y} e^{i\alpha X} \\ \tilde{\theta} &: \tilde{E}(\tau) e^{i\beta Y} e^{i\alpha X} \\ \tilde{\phi} &: \tilde{F}(\tau) e^{i\alpha X} e^{i\beta Y} \end{aligned} \right\}. \quad (20)$$

Substituting (19) and (20) into Equations (14)–(17), we get the following equations by treating for each particular time step, the coefficients of U and V ,

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{e^{i\beta Y} (\tilde{D} - D) e^{i\alpha X}}{\Delta \tau} &= (1 + \beta^{-1}) \frac{2e^{i\beta Y} D e^{i\alpha X} (\cos \beta \Delta Y - 1)}{(\Delta Y)^2} + G_r e^{i\alpha X} E e^{i\beta Y} + G_m e^{i\beta Y} F e^{i\alpha X} \\
&\quad - M e^{i\beta Y} D e^{i\alpha X} - V \frac{D e^{i\beta Y} (e^{i\beta \Delta Y} - 1) e^{i\alpha X}}{\Delta Y} - U \frac{D e^{i\beta Y} (1 - e^{-i\alpha \Delta X}) e^{i\alpha X}}{\Delta X} - (1 + \beta^{-1}) D e^{i\beta Y} K_p e^{i\alpha X}, \\
\tilde{D} &= \left[1 + \left\{ (1 + \beta^{-1}) \frac{2(\cos \beta \Delta Y - 1)}{(\Delta Y)^2} - M - V \frac{(e^{i\beta \Delta Y} - 1)}{\Delta Y} - U \frac{(1 - e^{-i\alpha \Delta X})}{\Delta X} - (1 + \beta^{-1}) K_p \right\} \Delta \tau \right] D \\
&\quad + G_r E \Delta \tau + G_m F \Delta \tau,
\end{aligned}$$

$$\therefore \tilde{D} = A_1 D + A_2 E + A_3 F, \quad (21)$$

$$A_1 = 1 + \left\{ (1 + \beta^{-1}) \frac{2(\cos \beta \Delta Y - 1)}{(\Delta Y)^2} - M - V \frac{(e^{i\beta \Delta Y} - 1)}{\Delta Y} - U \frac{(1 - e^{-i\alpha \Delta X})}{\Delta X} - (1 + \beta^{-1}) K_p \right\} \Delta \tau,$$

$$A_2 = G_r \Delta \tau; A_3 = G_m \Delta \tau,$$

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{e^{i\alpha X}(\tilde{E} - E)e^{i\beta Y}}{\Delta \tau} &= \left(\frac{1 + R_d}{P_r} \right) \frac{2Ee^{i\alpha X}(\cos \beta \Delta Y - 1)}{(\Delta Y)^2} e^{i\beta Y} + N_b \frac{Ee^{i\alpha X}(e^{i\beta \Delta Y} - 1)e^{i\beta Y}}{\Delta Y} \\ &\quad - \frac{Fe^{i\alpha X}(e^{i\beta \Delta Y} - 1)e^{i\beta Y}}{\Delta Y} + N_t \left(\frac{Ee^{i\alpha X}(e^{i\beta \Delta Y} - 1)e^{i\beta Y}}{\Delta Y} \right)^2 - V \frac{Ge^{i\alpha X}(e^{i\beta \Delta Y} - 1)e^{i\beta Y}}{\Delta Y} \\ &\quad - U \frac{Ee^{i\alpha X}(1 - e^{-i\alpha \Delta X})e^{i\beta Y}}{\Delta X} + (1 + \beta^{-1}) E_c \left(\frac{De^{i\alpha X}(e^{i\beta \Delta Y} - 1)e^{i\beta Y}}{\Delta Y} \right)^2 + Q E e^{i\alpha X} e^{i\beta Y}, \\ \frac{(\tilde{E} - E)e^{i\alpha X} e^{i\beta Y}}{\Delta \tau} &= \left(\frac{1 + R_d}{P_r} \right) 2Ee^{i(\alpha X + \beta Y)} (\cos \beta \Delta Y - 1) (\Delta Y)^{-2} + N_b E e^{i\alpha X} (e^{i\beta \Delta Y} - 1) e^{i\beta Y} (\Delta Y)^{-1}, \\ &\quad - \frac{F(e^{i\beta \Delta Y} - 1)e^{i\alpha X} e^{i\beta Y}}{\Delta Y} + N_t \left(\frac{Ee^{i\alpha X}(e^{i\beta \Delta Y} - 1)e^{i\beta Y}}{\Delta Y} \right)^2 - V \frac{Ge^{i\alpha X}(e^{i\beta \Delta Y} - 1)e^{i\beta Y}}{\Delta Y} \\ &\quad + (1 + \beta^{-1}) E_c \left(\frac{D(e^{i\beta \Delta Y} - 1)e^{i\alpha X} e^{i\beta Y}}{\Delta Y} \right)^2 - U \frac{Ee^{i\alpha X}(1 - e^{-i\alpha \Delta X})e^{i\beta Y}}{\Delta X} + Q E e^{i\alpha X} e^{i\beta Y}, \\ \tilde{E} &= [1 + \left\{ \left(\frac{1 + R_d}{P_r} \right) \frac{2(\cos \beta \Delta Y - 1)}{(\Delta Y)^2} + (N_b \phi + N_t \theta) (e^{i\beta \Delta Y} - 1)^2 (\Delta Y)^{-2} \right. \\ &\quad \left. - V \frac{(e^{i\beta \Delta Y} - 1)}{\Delta Y} - U \frac{(1 - e^{-i\alpha \Delta X})}{\Delta X} + Q \right\} \Delta \tau] E + (1 + \beta^{-1}) E_c U \frac{(e^{i\beta \Delta Y} - 1)}{(\Delta Y)^2} D \Delta \tau, \\ \therefore \tilde{E} &= A_4 D + A_5 E + A_6 F, \end{aligned} \quad (22)$$

$$A_4 = (1 + \beta^{-1}) E_c U \frac{(e^{i\beta \Delta Y} - 1)}{(\Delta Y)^2} \Delta \tau; A_6 = 0,$$

$$\begin{aligned} A_5 &= 1 + \left\{ \left(\frac{1 + R_d}{P_r} \right) \frac{2(\cos \beta \Delta Y - 1)}{(\Delta Y)^2} + (N_b \phi + N_t \theta) (e^{i\beta \Delta Y} - 1)^2 (\Delta Y)^{-2} \right. \\ &\quad \left. - V \frac{(e^{i\beta \Delta Y} - 1)}{\Delta Y} - U \frac{(1 - e^{-i\alpha \Delta X})}{\Delta X} + Q \right\} \Delta \tau, \\ \frac{(\tilde{F} - F)e^{i(\alpha X + \beta Y)}}{\Delta \tau} &= \frac{1}{L_e} \frac{2Fe^{i\alpha X}(\cos \beta \Delta Y - 1)e^{i\beta Y}}{(\Delta Y)^2} + \frac{N_t}{N_b L_e} \frac{2e^{i\beta Y} E e^{i\alpha X}(\cos \beta \Delta Y - 1)}{(\Delta Y)^2} \\ &\quad - V \frac{F(e^{i\beta \Delta Y} - 1)e^{i\alpha X} e^{i\beta Y}}{\Delta Y} - U \frac{F(1 - e^{-i\alpha \Delta X})e^{i\alpha X} e^{i\beta Y}}{\Delta X} - K_r (F e^{i\alpha X} e^{i\beta Y})^p, \\ \tilde{F} &= \left[1 + \left\{ \frac{1}{L_e} \frac{2(\cos \beta \Delta Y - 1)}{(\Delta Y)^2} - V \frac{(e^{i\beta \Delta Y} - 1)}{\Delta Y} - U \frac{(1 - e^{-i\alpha \Delta X})}{\Delta X} - K_r (\phi)^{p-1} \right\} \Delta \tau \right] F + \frac{N_t}{N_b L_e} \frac{2\Delta \tau (\cos \beta \Delta Y - 1)}{(\Delta Y)^2} E, \\ \therefore \tilde{F} &= A_7 D + A_8 E + A_9 F, \end{aligned} \quad (23)$$

$$A_7 = 0; A_8 = 2N_t(N_b L_e)^{-1} (\cos \beta \Delta Y - 1) \Delta \tau (\Delta Y)^{-2},$$

$$A_9 = 1 + \left\{ V (1 - e^{i\beta \Delta Y}) (\Delta Y)^{-1} - U (1 - e^{-i\alpha \Delta X}) (\Delta X)^{-1} + 2(L_e)^{-1} (\cos \beta \Delta Y - 1) - K_r (\phi)^{p-1} \right\} \Delta \tau$$

and these Equations (21)–(24) are expressed in matrix notation,

$$\begin{bmatrix} \tilde{D} \\ \tilde{E} \\ \tilde{F} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} A_1 & A_2 & A_3 \\ A_4 & A_5 & A_6 \\ A_7 & A_8 & A_9 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} D \\ E \\ F \end{bmatrix}. \quad (24)$$

That is, $\tilde{\eta} = \tilde{T}\eta$; where $\tilde{\eta} = \begin{bmatrix} \tilde{D} \\ \tilde{E} \\ \tilde{F} \end{bmatrix}$, $\tilde{T} = \begin{bmatrix} A_1 & A_2 & A_3 \\ A_4 & A_5 & A_6 \\ A_7 & A_8 & A_9 \end{bmatrix}$, and $\eta = \begin{bmatrix} D \\ E \\ F \end{bmatrix}$.

Finding the eigenvalues of the amplification matrix $\tilde{T}$ is necessary to determine the stability condition, but doing so is challenging since the matrix $\tilde{T}$ is a third order square matrix with unique components throughout. The dimensionless time difference $\Delta\tau$ for this explicit finite difference solution is very tiny, tending to zero.

Under this condition, $A_2 = A_3 = A_4 = A_6 \rightarrow 0$ and $A_8 \rightarrow 0$.

$$\therefore \tilde{T} = \begin{bmatrix} A_1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & A_5 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & A_9 \end{bmatrix}.$$

The eigenvalues of the augmentation matrix are generated as $A_1 = \lambda_1$, $A_5 = \lambda_2$ and $A_9 = \lambda_3$ after simplification. Each eigenvalue's modulus cannot exceed unity for the stability test. The stability criteria are as follows when taking this into account: $|A_1| \leq 1$, $|A_5| \leq 1$ and $|A_9| \leq 1$.

Let $a_1 = \Delta\tau$, $a_2 = U\Delta\tau(\Delta X)^{-1}$, $a_3 = |-V|\Delta\tau(\Delta Y)^{-1}$ and $a_4 = 2\Delta\tau(\Delta Y)^{-2}$. Here, a_1, a_2, a_3 and a_4 are all real and non-negative. Considering $U = "+"$ and $V = "-"$. Therefore, when $\alpha\Delta X = m_1\pi$ and $\beta\Delta Y = m_2\pi$, with m_1 and m_2 being odd numbers, the highest modulus of A_1, A_5 , and A_9 happens.

$$\begin{aligned} A_1 &= 1 - 2 \left[(1 + \beta^{-1}) a_4 + M \frac{a_1}{2} + a_2 + a_3 + (1 + \beta^{-1}) \frac{a_1}{2} K_p \right], \\ A_5 &= 1 - 2 \left[\left(\frac{1 + R_d}{P_r} \right) a_4 - N_b a_4 \phi - N_t \theta a_4 + a_3 + a_2 - Q \frac{a_1}{2} \right], \\ A_9 &= 1 - 2 \left[\frac{1}{L_e} a_4 + a_3 + a_2 + K_r(\phi)^{p-1} \frac{a_1}{2} \right]. \end{aligned}$$

The most detrimental significant value of A_1, A_5 , and A_9 is -1 .

The stability requirements are as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} (1 + \beta^{-1}) \frac{2\Delta\tau}{(\Delta Y)^2} + M \frac{\Delta\tau}{2} + |-V| \frac{\Delta\tau}{\Delta Y} + U \frac{\Delta\tau}{\Delta X} + (1 + \beta^{-1}) K_p \frac{\Delta\tau}{2} &\leq 1 \\ \left(\frac{1 + R_d}{P_r} \right) \frac{2\Delta\tau}{(\Delta Y)^2} + |-V| \frac{\Delta\tau}{\Delta Y} - N_t \theta \frac{2\Delta\tau}{(\Delta Y)^2} - N_b \phi \frac{2\Delta\tau}{(\Delta Y)^2} + U \frac{\Delta\tau}{\Delta X} + Q \frac{\Delta\tau}{2} &\leq 1 \\ \frac{1}{L_e} \frac{2\Delta\tau}{(\Delta Y)^2} + |-V| \frac{\Delta\tau}{\Delta Y} + \frac{\Delta\tau}{\Delta X} U + K_r(\phi)^{p-1} \frac{\Delta\tau}{2} &\leq 1. \end{aligned}$$

The primary boundary criterions are $U = V = \theta = \phi = 0$ at $\tau = 0$. For $P_r \geq 0.075$ and $L_e \geq 0.025$, the convergence condition for the present issue would be determined, when $\Delta\tau = 0.0005$, $\Delta X = 0.80$ and $\Delta Y = 0.20$.

5 | VALIDATION OF OUTCOMES

A comparative numerical research with the published work of Reza-E-Rabbi et al.⁴⁰ (Figure 4) has been shown to verify the evaluation and analyze the veracity of the existing findings. It has been demonstrated that magnetic component helped to




FIGURE 4 Comparative diagram with previous work with respect to magnetic parameter

TABLE 2 A tabular comparison of the results with Reza-E-Rabbi et al.⁴¹

Increased parameter	Present result			Reza-E-Rabbi et al. ⁴¹		
	U	θ	ϕ	U	θ	ϕ
Magnetic parameter M	Dec.	Inc.	Inc.	Dec.	-	-
Prandtl number P_r	Dec.	Dec.	Dec.	-	Dec.	-
Grashof number G_r	Inc.	Dec.	Dec.	Inc.	-	-
Heat source parameter Q	Inc.	Inc.	Dec.	-	-	-
Radiation parameter R_d	Inc.	Inc.	Inc.	Inc.	-	-
Lewis number L_e	-	-	Dec.	-	-	Dec.
Eckert number E_c	Inc.	Inc.	Inc.	-	Inc.	-

Note: Dec. for decrease and Inc. for increase.


diminish the friction factor in both works and the numerical outcomes are in great agreement. Additionally, a qualitative comparison with Reza-E-Rabbi et al.⁴¹ has also been indicated in Table 2, where the mentioned factors provided similar results in various flow fields for both works.

6 | RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

In the involvement of thermal radiation, a heat source, chemical reaction, Brownian motion and thermophoresis, numerical analysis is being done on the non-Newtonian (Casson) MHD fluid flow for free convective heat and mass transfer past on a vertical, infinitely permeable plate. The numerical outcomes of velocity (U), temperature (θ), and concentration (ϕ) are generated for various parameters in order to understand the actual physical representation of the problem when boundary layer conditions are present. The graphs are represented with some constant parameter $G_r = 4.00$, $G_m = 2.00$, $M = 1.00$, $P_r = 0.71$, $L_e = 5.00$, $N_b = 0.10$, $R_d = 2.00$, $E_c = 0.10$, $\beta = 0.10$, $K_p = 0.40$, $N_t = 0.10$, $A = 0.50$, $P = 2.00$, $Q = 0.10$, $K_r = 5.00$.

6.1 | Velocity field

The physical behavior of the magnetic parameter, M , for velocity fields is shown in Figure 5. When a magnetic field is present in a system, the Lorentz force is created. This force slows down the motion of the fluid. The impacts of Prandtl

FIGURE 5 The influence of M on U




FIGURE 6 The impact of P_r on U




FIGURE 7 The effect of G_r on U

number, P_r , on velocity distribution is depicted in Figure 6. It is shown that when the Prandtl number rises, velocity decreases. P_r quantifies the correlation between thermal and momentum diffusivity. P_r regulates the relative momentum and thickness of momentum boundary layer. A rise in the Prandtl number, for a certain amount of specific heat capacity and thermal conductivity, merely denotes an increase in the degree of fluid viscosity. Fluids with low velocity are those whose viscosity value is high.

Figure 7 represents the variation in the velocity profile caused by Thermal Grashof number (G_r). As the parameter increases, the velocity increases. Generally, increasing a buoyancy parameter makes buoyant forces more dominant in the flow, helping to enhance more particle contact and increasing the velocity field. The impact of Casson parameter is presented in Figure 8. The greater viscous forces acting on the flow when the Casson fluid is incorporated are the cause of this tendency and can contribute to the velocity depreciation. The influence of Eckert number (E_c) is depicted




FIGURE 8 The impact of β on U




FIGURE 9 The impression of E_c on U

in Figure 9. Eckert is the ratio of advective mass transport to heat dissipation. So, for the increase of Eckert number, velocity rises. All of the discussion that has been presented in the Section 6.1 are in a good agreement with the several published works.^{3,4,7,9,16}

6.2 | Temperature field

In many industry processes, thermal radiation is the important one. So, it is a great deal by knowing the impacts of different pertinent parameter on temperature profiles. Figure 10 displays the heat source parameter (Q). As the heat source parameter is improved, temperature rises. Figure 11 illustrates how Eckert number (E_c) works. The particles become active and produce a greater temperature as a result of the energy storage at high Eckert numbers. Additionally in Figure 12, the temperature distribution has also been shown for Prandtl number (P_r). Thermal conductivities are higher in fluids with lower (P_r). So, compare to greater number, heat will diffuse from the sheet more quickly. Hence, the use of Prandtl number can accelerate cooling in conducting fluids. Figure 13 displays the




FIGURE 10 The impact of Q on θ




FIGURE 11 The effect of E_c on θ




FIGURE 12 The impact of P_r on θ




FIGURE 13 The influence of R_d on θ




FIGURE 14 The impact of L_e on ϕ

distribution in the temperature profile brought on by the radiation parameter (R_d). It demonstrates that higher values of the radiation parameter result in higher temperatures and correspondingly thicker thermal boundary layers. Higher values of radiation typically result in operating fluid heating up more, which raises the temperature of the surrounding area. The discussion that has been provided in Section 6.2 is entirely consistent with the numerous published publications.^{4,10,12}

6.3 | Concentration field

The Lewis number is used to figure out the relative thickness between the thermal and concentration boundary layers. The purpose of Figure 14 is to investigate how the Lewis number (L_e) affects the concentration profile. If concentration boundary layer thickness increases, then there is a decrement of Lewis number. Figure 14 demonstrates that the heat expands quicker than the solute when $L_e > 5.0$. Thermophoresis parameter creates an impact on concentration which is shown in Figure 15. Temperature gradients cause the transport force known as thermophoresis parameter to exist. So, due to the increasing values of it there is also an increase of concentration. Figure 16 describes the influence of Casson




FIGURE 15 The impression of N_t on ϕ




FIGURE 16 The impact of β on ϕ

parameter on concentration field. Casson parameter values that increase over time produce an increasing profile. Physically, the fluid's viscosity causes the fluid's velocity to slow down, saturating the nanoparticles close to the stretched sheet. The whole argumentation that has been provided in Section 6.3 is in strong accord with the numerous published publications.^{3,19,39}

6.4 | Skin friction, Nusselt, and Sherwood numbers

Figure 17 illustrates how a magnetic parameter affects skin friction and describes that magnetic parameter tends to reduce the stress, which causes the fluid velocity to slow down. Added to that Figures 18 and 19 have shown the influence of parameter for chemical change and Lewis number on Sherwood number, respectively. It has been seen that the effect of both parameter for chemical change and the Lewis number cause the Sherwood number to increase. The action of skin friction under the influence of porosity term has been depicted in Figure 20. It has been observed that with the increase of porosity term, skin friction decreases. Impact of Grashof number on skin friction is demonstrated in Figure 21.

In addition, Figures 22–24 highlight the Prandtl number, magnetic parameter, and Grashof number on Nusselt number, respectively. It has been noted that Prandtl number causes an increase in Nusselt number, while magnetic parameter




FIGURE 17 The influence of M on C_f




FIGURE 18 The impact of K_r on S_h




FIGURE 19 The impact of L_e on S_h




FIGURE 20 The impact of K_p on C_f




FIGURE 21 The influence of G_m on C_f




FIGURE 22 The impact of P_r on N_u




FIGURE 23 The impact of M on Nu_u




FIGURE 24 The impact of G_r on Nu_u

and Grashof number cause a drop. The discussion that has been offered in Section 6.4 is entirely consistent with the various published studies.^{39,40}

6.5 | Streamlines and isothermal lines

Figures 25–28 show an improved picture of fluid movement. Here, a streamline depicts the direction of the fluid's velocity. For changing the quantities of the thermal Grashof number and radiation parameter, both the flood view and the line view of the fluid flow have been provided here. However, isotherms can be employed to show how the thermal boundary layer varies. Figures 25–28 show both streamlines' and isotherms' overall impressions. Here, Streamlines and isotherms both accelerated when the values of Grashof Number and heat radiation increased. Physically, due to the increment in the mentioned factors the buoyancy force and heat divergence might increase therefore, the indicated




FIGURE 25 Streamlines for different values of G_r (line view)




FIGURE 26 Isothermal lines for several values of G_r (fluid view)




FIGURE 27 Streamlines for several values of R_d (line view)




FIGURE 28 Isothermal lines for different values of R_d (flood view)

TABLE 3 Curve to curve fluctuation of M , Pr , and Ec for a steady-state solution at $\tau = 3.50$

Increased parameter	Velocity profile (U)	Inc. or Dec.	Temperature profile (θ)	Inc. or Dec.	Concentration profile (ϕ)	Inc. or Dec.
M						
1.00	0.08895	Dec.	0.10012	Inc.	0.00440	Inc.
5.00	0.06754	24.00%	0.10272	2.60%	0.00454	3.18%
10.0	0.05328	21.60%	0.10748	4.63%	0.00475	4.63%
Pr						
0.71	0.08895	Dec.	0.10012	Dec.	0.00440	Dec.
1.00	0.05355	39.80%	0.05584	44.23%	0.00359	18.41%
1.50	0.02532	52.72%	0.02195	60.69%	0.00223	37.88%
Ec						
0.10	0.08895	Inc.	0.10012	Inc.	0.00440	Inc.
0.50	0.12424	39.67%	0.14234	42.17%	0.00584	32.73%
1.00	0.18473	48.69%	0.21737	52.71%	0.00768	31.50%

results prevail. The explanation that has been stated in Section 6.5 is entirely consistent with the numerous published publications.^{9,39,40}

Curve to curve fluctuation of M , Pr , and Ec for a steady-state solution at $\tau = 3.50$ is presented in Table 3. For magnetic field it is seen that the velocity profiles decreases though the temperature and concentration profiles increase as the impacts of magnetic fields like as the previous published work.^{42,43} Also seen that the temperature profiles increase as the impacts of Eckert number which is a great agreement with the published work.⁴³

The impression of M , Pr , G_r , G_m , R_d , Le , Ec , Q , and β on Sherwood number (Sh), Nusselt number (N_u) and skin friction (C_f) at $\tau = 2.00$ described in Table 4. It has been noticed that the impact of magnetic factor and Eckert number diminished the skin friction and Nusselt number as well as the thermal radiation minimized the local Nusselt number, which also be seen in the previous published article^{42,43} that refers a great validation of this work.

TABLE 4 Effect of M , P_r , G_r , G_m , R_d , L_e , E_c , Q , and β on Sherwood number (S_h), Nusselt number (N_u), and skin friction (C_f) at $\tau = 2.0$

M	P_r	G_r	G_m	R_d	L_e	E_c	Q	β	C_f	N_u	S_h
1.00	0.71	4.00	2.00	2.00	5.00	0.10	0.10	0.10	−0.79051	0.05847	0.32306
5.00	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	−1.38221	0.05382	0.32401
7.00	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	−1.63335	0.05191	0.32437
-	0.71	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	−0.79051	0.05847	0.32306
-	1.00	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	−0.84641	0.06639	0.32183
-	2.00	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	−0.96268	0.08234	0.31980
-	-	4.00	-	-	-	-	-	-	−3.90821	0.09240	0.64086
-	-	6.00	-	-	-	-	-	-	−2.36044	0.07473	0.47324
-	-	8.00	-	-	-	-	-	-	−1.48791	0.06484	0.38175
-	-	-	2.00	-	-	-	-	-	−0.79051	0.05847	0.32306
-	-	-	5.00	-	-	-	-	-	−0.54572	0.05892	0.32275
-	-	-	10.0	-	-	-	-	-	−0.13687	0.05958	0.32225
-	-	-	-	2.00	-	-	-	-	−0.91276	0.05824	0.32321
-	-	-	-	4.00	-	-	-	-	−0.83334	0.04738	0.32492
-	-	-	-	6.00	-	-	-	-	−0.78590	0.04099	0.32590
-	-	-	-	-	5.00	-	-	-	−0.79051	0.05847	0.32306
-	-	-	-	-	10.0	-	-	-	−0.83487	0.05834	0.42878
-	-	-	-	-	15.0	-	-	-	−0.85851	0.05834	0.50037
-	-	-	-	-	-	0.10	-	-	−0.79051	0.05847	0.32306
-	-	-	-	-	-	0.30	-	-	−0.72833	0.04630	0.32785
-	-	-	-	-	-	0.50	-	-	−0.67284	0.03624	0.33171
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0.10	-	−0.79051	0.05847	0.32306
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0.20	-	−0.74611	0.04989	0.32483
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0.30	-	−0.69778	0.04071	0.32663
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0.10	−0.79051	0.05847	0.32306
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0.20	0.28606	0.06892	0.32338
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0.30	0.61236	0.07306	0.32367

Note: The changing values of the mentioned parameters has been bold.

7 | CONCLUSIONS

In this article, an unstable MHD convective flow of non-Newtonian (Casson) fluid through an unlimited vertical plate having sinusoidal boundary conditions has been examined in the vicinity of chemical reaction as well as thermal radiation. Modeling PDEs with appropriate technique was solved using EFD. To make sure the solution was stable, a convergence evaluation was performed. Additionally, the current numerical model has been validated using previously published findings. The primary conclusions yielded from the aforesaid study are as follows:

1. The velocity profiles diminish in the presence of the Prandtl number and magnetic field factor despite growing in the addition of the Grashof number, the Casson factor, and the Eckert quantity.
2. Heat grows under the impact of the Eckert number, radiation parameter, and heat source parameter, but reduces with Prandtl number.
3. The thermophoresis parameter and the Casson fluid parameter result in an improvement in the particle concentration, although the Lewis number is reduced.

4. The Lewis number enhances as the impact of the Sherwood number, as does chemical reaction. Conversely, skin friction is minimized by the magnetic field and porosity term.
5. Nusselt number climbs with Prandtl number yet dropping by magnetic parameter and Grashof number.
6. Both streamline and isothermal lines have significantly improved due to the existence of the Grashof number and radiation parameter.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

Md. Rafiqul Islam: Conceptualization (equal); investigation (equal); methodology (equal); writing – original draft (lead). **Sk. Reza-E-Rabbi:** Conceptualization (lead); methodology (equal); supervision (equal); validation (lead); writing – review and editing (equal). **Md. Yousuf Ali:** Data curation (equal); investigation (equal); visualization (equal); writing – review and editing (equal). **Md. Mehedi Hasan Rasel:** Data curation (equal); validation (equal); writing – review and editing (equal). **Sarder Firoz Ahmmed:** Conceptualization (equal); investigation (equal); methodology (equal); supervision (equal).

CONFLICT OF INTEREST STATEMENT

The authors declare no potential conflict of interest.

PEER REVIEW

The peer review history for this article is available at <https://www.webofscience.com/api/gateway/wos/peer-review/10.1002/eng2.12659>.

DATA AVAILABILITY STATEMENT

The data that support the findings of this study are available on request from the corresponding author. The data are not publicly available due to privacy or ethical restrictions.

ORCID

Sk. Reza-E-Rabbi  <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-4079-7507>

REFERENCES

1. Alfven H. On the existence of electromagnetic hydromagnetic waves. *Nature*. 1942;150(3805):405-406.
2. Ahmed S. Numerical analysis for magnetohydrodynamic chemically reacting and radiating fluid past a non-isothermal uniformly moving vertical surface adjacent to a porous regime. *Ain Shams Eng J*. 2014;5:923-933.
3. Reza-E-Rabbi S, Ahmmed SF, Islam S, et al. Characterization of fluid flow and heat transfer of a periodic magnetohydrodynamics nano non-Newtonian liquid with Arrhenius activation energy and nonlinear radiation. *Heat Trans*. 2022;51:1-38.
4. Sohail M, Shah Z, Tassaddiq A, Kumam P, Roy P. Entropy generation in MHD Casson fluid flow with variable heat conductance and thermal conductivity over non-linear bi-directional stretching surface. *Sci Rep*. 2020;10:12530.
5. Asogwa KK, Goud BK, Reddy BS, Ibe AA. Suction effect on the dynamics of EMHD casson nanofluid over an induced stagnation point flow of stretchable electromagnetic plate with radiation and chemical reaction. *Results Eng*. 2022;15:100518.
6. Khalid A, Khan I, Khan A, Shafie S. Unsteady MHD free convection flow of Casson fluid past over an oscillating vertical plate embedded in a porous medium. *Eng Sci Tech Int J*. 2015;18:309-317.
7. Reddy GJ, Raju RS, Rao JA. Influence of viscous dissipation on unsteady MHD natural convective flow of Casson fluid over an oscillating vertical plate via FEM. *Ain Shams Eng J*. 2018;9:1907-1915.
8. Shoaib M, Kausar M, Nisar KS, Raja MAZ, Morsy A. Impact of thermal energy on MHD Casson fluid through a Forchheimer porous medium with inclined non-linear surface: a soft computing approach. *Alex Eng J*. 2022;61:12211-12228.
9. Ali MY, Reza-E-Rabbi S, Rasel MMH, Ahmmed SF. Combined impacts of thermoelectric and radiation on hydromagnetic nanofluid flow over a nonlinear stretching sheet. *Partial Differ Equ Appl Math*. 2023;7:100500.
10. Saqib M, Ali F, Khan I, Sheikh NA. Heat and mass transfer phenomena in the flow of Casson fluid over an infinite oscillating plate in the presence of first-order chemical reaction and slip effect. *Neural Comput Appl*. 2018;30(7):2159-2172.
11. Hussanan A, Salleh MZ, Tahar RM, Khan I. Unsteady boundary layer flow and heat transfer of a Casson fluid past an oscillating vertical plate with Newtonian heating. *PLoS One*. 2014;9(10):e108763.
12. Raju CSK, Sandeep N, Sugunamma V, Babu JM, Reddy RJV. Heat and mass transfer in magnetohydrodynamic Casson fluid over an exponentially permeable stretching surface. *Eng Sci Tech Int J*. 2016;19(1):45-52.
13. Bejawada SG, Reddy YD, Jamshed W, Nisar KS, Alharbi AN, Chouikh R. Radiation effect on MHD Casson fluid flow over an inclined non-linear surface with chemical reaction in a Forchheimer porous medium. *Alex Eng J*. 2022;61:8207-8220.

14. Prameela M, Gangadhar K, Reddy GJ. MHD free convective non-Newtonian Casson fluid flow over an oscillating vertical plate. *Partial Diff Equ Appl Math*. 2022;5:100366.
15. Ogunseye HA, Salawu SO, Fatunmbi EO. A numerical study of MHD heat and mass transfer of a reactive Casson–Williamson nanofluid past a vertical moving cylinder. *Partial Diff Equ Appl Math*. 2021;4:100148.
16. Sarker T, Reza-E-Rabbi S, Arifuzzaman SM, Ahmed R, Khan MS, Ahmmed SF. MHD radiative flow of Casson and Williamson nanofluids over an inclined cylindrical surface with chemical reaction effects. *Int J Heat Technol*. 2019;37(4):1117–1126.
17. Oke AS, Mutuku WN, Kimathi M, Animasaun IL. Insight into the dynamics of non-Newtonian Casson fluid over a rotating non-uniform surface subject to Coriolis force. *Nonlinear Eng*. 2020;9(1):398–411.
18. Zaib A, Bhattacharyya K, Uddin MS, Shafie S. Dual solutions of non-Newtonian Casson fluid flow and heat transfer over an exponentially permeable shrinking sheet with viscous dissipation. *Model Simul Eng*. 2016;4:1–8.
19. Mondal RK, Reza-E-Rabbi S, Gharami PP, Ahmmed SF, Arifuzzaman SM. A simulation of Casson fluid flow with variable viscosity and thermal conductivity effects. *Math Model Eng Probl*. 2019;6(4):625–633.
20. Animasaun IL, Adebile EA, Fagbade AI. Casson fluid flow with variable thermo-physical property along exponentially stretching sheet with suction and exponentially decaying internal heat generation using the homotopy analysis method. *J Nigerian Math Soc*. 2016; 35:1–17.
21. Hussain F, Nazeer M, Altanji M, Saleem A, Ghafar MM. Thermal analysis of Casson rheological fluid with gold nanoparticles under the impact of gravitational and magnetic forces. *Case Stud Therm Eng*. 2021;28:101433.
22. Ahmad S, Haq SU, Ali F, Khan I, Nisar KS. Time fractional analysis of channel flow of couple stress Casson fluid using Fick's and Fourier's Laws. *Sci Rep*. 2022;12:2956.
23. Moatimid GM, Mohamed MAA, Elagamy K. A Casson nanofluid flow within the conical gap between rotating surfaces of a cone and a horizontal disc. *Sci Rep*. 2022;12:11275.
24. Ali A, Umar M, Bukhari Z, Abbas Z. Pulsating flow of a micropolar-Casson fluid through a constricted channel influenced by a magnetic field and Darcian porous medium: a numerical study. *Results Phys*. 2020;19:103544.
25. Rafique K, Anwar MI, Misiran M, et al. Numerical solution of Casson nanofluid flow over a non-linear inclined surface with sores and dufour effects by Keller-box method. *Front Phys*. 2019;7:139.
26. Nandeppanavar MM, Vaishali S, Kemparaju MC, Raveendra N. Theoretical analysis of thermal characteristics of Casson nano fluid flow past an exponential stretching sheet in Darcy porous media. *Case Stud Therm Eng*. 2020;21:100717.
27. Sahoo A, Nandkeolyar R. Entropy generation and dissipative heat transfer analysis of mixed convective hydromagnetic flow of a Casson nanofluid with thermal radiation and hall current. *Sci Rep*. 2021;11(1):3926.
28. Kataria HR, Patel HR. Heat and mass transfer in magnetohydrodynamic (MHD) Casson fluid flow past over an oscillating vertical plate embedded in porous medium with ramped wall temperature. *Propuls Power Res*. 2018;7(3):257–267.
29. Khan MR, Elkotb MA, Matoog RT, Alshehri NA, Abdelmohimen MAH. Thermal features and heat transfer enhancement of a Casson fluid across a porous stretching/shrinking sheet: analysis of dual solutions. *Case Stud Therm Eng*. 2021;28:101594.
30. Asogwa KK, Ibe AA. A study of MHD Casson fluid flow over a permeable stretching sheet with heat and mass transfer. *J Eng Res Rep*. 2020;16(2):10–25.
31. Rao EM. The effects of thermal radiation and chemical reaction on MHD flow of a Casson fluid over and exponentially inclined stretching surface. *J Phys Conf Ser*. 2018;1000:012158.
32. Ullah I, Bhattacharyya K, Shafie S, Khan I. Unsteady MHD mixed convection slip flow of Casson fluid over nonlinearly stretching sheet embedded in a porous medium with chemical reaction, thermal radiation, heat generation/ absorption and convective boundary conditions. *PLoS One*. 2016;11(10):e0165348.
33. Abro KA, Shaikh HS, Khan I. A mathematical study of magnetohydrodynamic Casson fluid via special functions with heat and mass transfer embedded in porous plate. arXiv:1706.03829; 2017.
34. Kataria HR, Patel HR. Radiation and chemical reaction effects on MHD Casson fluid flow past an oscillating vertical plate embedded in porous medium. *Alex Eng J*. 2016;55:583–595.
35. Zhou JC, Abidi A, Shi QH, et al. Unsteady radiative slip flow of MHD Casson fluid over a permeable stretched surface subject to a non-uniform heat source. *Case Stud Therm Eng*. 2021;26:101141.
36. Rasool G, Chamkha AJ, Muhammad T, Shafiq A, Khan I. Darcy-Forchheimer relation in Casson type MHD nanofluid flow over non-linear stretching surface. *Propuls Power Res*. 2020;9(2):159–168.
37. Raju CSK, Neeraja G, Dinesh PA, Vidya K, Rushi KB. MHD Casson fluid in a suspension of convective conditions and cross diffusion across a surface of paraboloid of revolution. *Alex Eng J*. 2018;57(4):3615–3622.
38. Shah Z, Kumam P, Deebani W. Radiative MHD Casson nanofluid flow with activation energy and chemical reaction over past nonlinearly stretching surface through entropy generation. *Sci Rep*. 2020;10(1):4402.
39. Reza-E-Rabbi S, Ahmmed SF, Arifuzzaman SM, Sarker T, Khan MS. Computational modelling of multiphase fluid flow behaviour over a stretching sheet in the presence of nanoparticles. *Eng Sci Tech Int J*. 2020;23:605–617.
40. Reza-E-Rabbi S, Arifuzzaman SM, Sarker T, Khan MS, Ahmmed SF. Explicit finite difference analysis of an unsteady MHD flow of a chemically reacting Casson fluid past a stretching sheet with Brownian motion and thermophoresis effects. *J King Saud Uni-Sci*. 2020;32(1):690–701.
41. Reza-E-Rabbi S, Khan MS, Arifuzzaman SM, et al. Numerical simulation of a non-linear nanofluidic model to characterize the MHD chemically reactive flow past an inclined stretching surface. *Partial Differ Equ Appl Math*. 2022;5:100332.

42. Babu MJ, Sandeep N. Effect of nonlinear thermal radiation on non-aligned bio-convective stagnation point flow of a magnetic-nanofluid over a stretching sheet. *Alex Eng J.* 2016;55:1931-1939.
43. Kumar KA, Sugunamma V, Sandeep N, Reddy JVR. Numerical examination of MHD nonlinear radiative slip motion of non-Newtonian fluid across a stretching sheet in the presence of a porous medium. *Heat Trans Res.* 2019;50(12):1163-1181.

How to cite this article: Islam MR, Reza-E-Rabbi S, Yousuf Ali M, Rasel MMH, Ahmmed SF. Numerical simulation of mass and heat transport phenomena of hydromagnetic flow of Casson fluid with sinusoidal boundary conditions. *Engineering Reports.* 2023;5(11):e12659. doi: 10.1002/eng2.12659