An Efficient Approach for Binary Classification in Brain Tumor Detection Using Convolutional Neural Network

by

MD. Arman Islam 19101639 Sheikh Araf Noshin 18101471 MD. Robiul Islam 18101272 MD. Farhan Razy 18101480 Samiha Antara 18101129

A thesis submitted to the Department of Computer Science and Engineering in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the degree of B.Sc. in Computer Science and Engineering



Inspiring Excellence Department of Computer Science and Engineering BRAC University January 2022

> © 2022. BRAC University All rights reserved.

Declaration

It is hereby declared that

- 1. The thesis submitted is our own original work while completing degree at Brac University.
- 2. The thesis does not contain material previously published or written by a third party, except where this is appropriately cited through full and accurate referencing.
- 3. The thesis does not contain material which has been accepted, or submitted, for any other degree or diploma at a university or other institution.
- 4. We have acknowledged all main sources of help.

Student's Full Name & Signature:

MD. Arman Islam

MD. Arman Islam 19101639

Md. Robiel Islam

MD. Robiul Islam 18101272

Sheikh Agaf Noshim

Sheikh Araf Noshin 18101471

Joonhom Rozy

MD. Farhan Razy 18101480

adamiha Antara

Samiha Antara 18101129

Approval

The thesis/project titled 'An In-depth Analysis of Different Convolutional Neural Network Models for Binary Classification in Brain Tumor Detection' submitted by -

- 1. MD. Arman Islam (19101639)
- 2. Sheikh Araf Noshin (18101471)
- 3. MD. Robiul Islam (18101272)
- 4. MD. Farhan Razy (18101480)
- 5. Samiha Antara (18101129)

Of January, 2022 has been accepted as satisfactory in partial fulfillment of the requirement for the degree of B.Sc. in Computer Science and Engineering on January, 2022.

Examining Committee:

Primary Supervisor (Member):

Co Supervisor (Member):

Dr. Mohammad Zavid Parvez, PhD Assistant Professor Dept. of Computer Science and Engineering BRAC University Program Coordinator (Member): Arif Shakil Lecturer Dept. of Computer Science and Engineering BRAC University

Dr. Md. Golam Rabiul Alam, PhD Associate Professor Dept. of Computer Science and Engineering BRAC University

Head of Department (Chair):

Sadia Hamid Kazi, PhD Chairperson and Associate Professor Dept. of Computer Science and Engineering BRAC University

Abstract

Brain tumor detection using Convolutional Neural Network (CNN) models with binary classification has significantly improved the reliability of medical imaging through Deep Learning. The purpose of this research is to develop a modified CNN model by altering the different layers and weight values of each node to attain similar performance statistics to widely accepted CNN models while maintaining runtime efficiency. The proposed CNN model incorporates binary cross entropy to analyze the training data and accurately identifies whether or not a certain structured magnetic resonance imaging (sMRI) picture contains a tumor. In comparison to existing pre-trained CNN models, this study aims to contribute to the computer-aided diagnostic (CAD) system by implementing the proposed model with a simplified time complexity. The model achieved an overall classification accuracy of 96.7% after extensive tweaking of the proprietary CNN architecture. The suggested system's performance is also compared with other existing systems, and the study demonstrates that it performs on par with most of them.

Keywords: CNN, Brain tumor, Data-sets, Deep learning, sMRI, CAD, Binary crossentropy.

Acknowledgement

To begin with, we express our profound gratitude to Almighty Allah for providing us with the opportunity to work on this thesis and complete it successfully. We have tried our utmost best to achieve what we set out to do within the expected time frame. It's been a gratifying learning opportunity for us. We also express our heartfelt gratitude to our supervisor, Mohammad Zavid Parvez sir, for his guidance and assistance. Without his guidance, we would not have been able to finish our thesis work. He provided us with the required assistance and motivation at every stage of our research. Furthermore, we owe a debt of gratitude to our co-supervisor, Arif Shakil sir, who generously offered his knowledge, experience, and findings to us, which helped us immensely during our research work. Finally, we are also grateful to our family, friends, and loved ones who have helped us with our studies. Last but not least, we are grateful to BRAC University for providing us with a platform upon which we constructed the foundation of our research.

Table of Contents

| eclar | ation | | i |
|----------------------------------|--|--|---|
| ppro | val | | ii |
| bstra | \mathbf{ct} | | iii |
| cknov | wledgn | nent | iv |
| ble o | of Con | tents | \mathbf{v} |
| st of | Figur | es | vii |
| st of | Table | S | ix |
| omer | nclatur | re | x |
| Intr 1.1 1.2 1.3 1.4 | oduct: Brain CNN Comp Resea 1.4.1 1.4.2 1.4.3 | ion Tumor | 1 1 2 2 2 3 4 |
| Lite | erature | e Review | 5 |
| Bac 3.1 3.2 | kgroun Gener 3.1.1 3.1.2 3.1.3 3.1.4 3.1.5 Convo 3.2.1 3.2.2 3.2.3 3.2.4 2.25 | ad Information al Architecture of Neural Networks Neuron Activation Function Cost function Gradient Descent Back Propagation blutional Neural Networks (CNN) Convolution Pooling Flattening Full Connection | 12 12 13 13 17 18 19 19 19 25 26 27 |
| | eclara oprovostra ostra cknov oble o st of st of omen 1.1 1.2 1.3 1.4 Lite Bac 3.1 | eclaration pproval ostract cknowledgn able of Com st of Figure st of Tables omenclatur Introducti 1.1 Brain 1.2 CNN 1.3 Comp 1.4 Reseat 1.4.1 1.4.2 1.4.3 Literature Backgroun 3.1 Gener 3.1.1 3.1.2 3.1.3 3.1.4 3.1.5 3.2 Conve 3.2.1 3.2.2 3.2.3 3.2.4 | celaration opproval ostract sknowledgment ble of Contents st of Figures st of Tables omenclature Introduction 1.1 Brain Tumor 1.2 CNN in Medical Imaging 1.3 Computer Vision and Implementation of CNN 1.4 Research Motives 1.4.1 Research Objectives 1.4.2 Problem Statement 1.4.3 Thesis Structure Literature Review Background Information 3.1.1 Neuron 3.1.2 Activation Function 3.1.3 Cost function 3.1.4 Gradient Descent 3.1.5 Back Propagation 3.1.4 Gradient Networks (CNN) 3.2.1 Convolutional Neural Networks (CNN) 3.2.2 Pooling 3.2.3 Flattening 3.2.4 Full Connection |

| | | 3.2.6 Output Layer |
|----|-------|---|
| | 3.3 | Transfer Learning |
| | 3.4 | Batch Normalization |
| | 3.5 | Regularization |
| | | 3.5.1 Dropout |
| 4 | Dat | aset Extraction 33 |
| - | 4 1 | Dataset Description 33 |
| | 4.2 | Data Preprocessing 34 |
| | 1.2 | 4.2.1 Importing Libraries 34 |
| | | 4.2.2 Image Processing from Dataset |
| | | 4.2.3 Spliting Train and Test set |
| | | |
| 5 | Res | earch Methodology 37 |
| | 5.1 | |
| | 5.2 | Used Architectures |
| | | 5.2.1 Proposed Model Implementation |
| | | $5.2.2 \text{VGG1b} \dots \dots \dots \dots \dots \dots \dots \dots \dots $ |
| | | $5.2.3 \text{MobileNet} \dots \dots$ |
| | | 5.2.4 ResNet50 |
| | | 5.2.5 Aception \dots 44 |
| | | $5.2.6 \text{InceptionV} 3 \dots 45$ |
| | 5 0 | 5.2.7 DenseNet121 |
| | 5.3 | Evaluation Method |
| | | 5.3.1 Graphical Analysis $\ldots \ldots \ldots$ |
| | | 5.3.2 Confusion Matrix $\ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots 49$ |
| 6 | Exp | berimental Results and Analysis 51 |
| | 6.1 | Result Analysis |
| | | 6.1.1 Graphical Analysis |
| | | 6.1.2 Confusion Matrix |
| | 6.2 | Result Comparison |
| 7 | Con | clusion and Future Works 64 |
| | 7.1 | Conclusion |
| | 7.2 | Challenges |
| | | 7.2.1 Computational power |
| | | 7.2.2 Excessive training time |
| | 7.3 | Future Works |
| Bi | bliog | graphy 70 |
| | - | |

List of Figures

| 3.1 | Basic ANN |
|------|---|
| 3.2 | Artificial Neuron vs Biological Neuron |
| 3.3 | Different Types of Activation Functions |
| 3.4 | Sigmoid function |
| 3.5 | Tangent function |
| 3.6 | ReLU function |
| 3.7 | One Dimensional Gradient Descent |
| 3.8 | Back propagation inside neural network |
| 3.9 | 4x4 pixel image |
| 3.10 | Convolution filters or feature detector |
| 3.11 | The first convolution operation |
| 3.12 | Second convolution operation |
| 3.13 | Third convolution operation. $\ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots 21$ |
| 3.14 | First convolution operation in second row |
| 3.15 | Second convolution operation in second row |
| 3.16 | Third convolution operation in second row |
| 3.17 | First convolution operation for last row |
| 3.18 | Second convolution operation for last row |
| 3.19 | The feature map of this particular filter has been completed 23 |
| 3.20 | The feature map of second filter |
| 3.21 | ReLU example-01 using only +(ve) pixel values |
| 3.22 | ReLU example-02 using $+(ve)$ and $-(ve)$ pixel values |
| 3.23 | Before and after discarding negative values [68] |
| 3.24 | Finding Avg and Max pooling from a given image pixel |
| 3.25 | Flattening |
| 3.26 | Fully connected layers |
| 3.27 | Full Conv Architecture |
| 3.28 | Batch Norm [50] |
| 3.29 | Dropout Neural Net Model [29] |
| 11 | Dr25II Dataget [50] |
| 4.1 | Broon Dataset [50] |
| 4.2 | Denoising using Gaussian Filter |
| 4.5 | Dataset Pre-processing |
| 5.1 | A block diagram of Model Workflow |
| 5.2 | Proposed Model Architecture |
| 5.3 | The Model Summary |
| 5.4 | MobileNet Architecture |
| 5.5 | The Residual Block |

| 5.6 5.7 5.8 5.9 5.10 | Xception Architecture.45After factorization into smaller convolutions.46Asymmetric Convolutions.46After Reducing Grid Size.47Basic 2x2 Confusion Matrix.48 | 5 5 7 9 |
|----------------------------------|--|------------------|
| 6.1 | VGG16 Model Accuracy | 2 |
| 6.2 | VGG16 Model Loss | 2 |
| 6.3 | VGG16 Model Accuracy and Model Loss Curve | 2 |
| 6.4 | VGG16 ROC Curve | 2 |
| 6.5 | ResNet50 Model Accuracy | 3 |
| 6.6 | ResNet50 ROC curve. | 3 |
| 6.7 | InceptionV3 Model Accuracy | 1 |
| 6.8 | InceptionV3 Model Loss | 1 |
| 6.9 | InceptionV3 Accuracy and Model Loss Curve | 1 |
| 6.10 | InceptionV3 ROC Curve | 1 |
| 6.11 | Xception Model Accuracy | 5 |
| 6.12 | Xception Model Loss | 5 |
| 6.13 | Xception Model Accuracy and Model Loss Curve | 5 |
| 6.14 | Xception ROC Curve | 5 |
| 6.15 | MobileNet Model Accuracy | 3 |
| 6.16 | MobileNet Model Loss | 3 |
| 6.17 | MobileNet Accuracy and Model Loss Curve | 3 |
| 6.18 | MobileNet ROC Curve | 3 |
| 6.19 | DenseNet121 Model Accuracy Curve | 7 |
| 6.20 | DenseNet121 Model Loss Curve | 7 |
| 6.21 | DenseNet121 Model Accuracy and Model Loss Curve | 7 |
| 6.22 | DenseNet121 ROC Curve | 7 |
| 6.23 | Proposed Model Accuracy Curve | 3 |
| 6.24 | Proposed Model Loss Curve | 3 |
| 6.25 | Proposed model's Accuracy and Model Loss Curve | 3 |
| 6.26 | Proposed model's ROC Curve | 3 |
| 6.27 | VGG16 Confusion Matrix |) |
| 6.28 | ResNet50 Confusion Matrix |) |
| 6.29 | InceptionV3 Confusion Matrix |) |
| 6.30 | Xception Confusion Matrix | L |
| 6.31 | MobileNet Confusion Matrix | L |
| 6.32 | DenseNet121 Confusion Matrix | 2 |
| 6.33 | Proposed model's Confusion Matrix | 2 |
| 6.34 | Accuracy Analysis | 3 |

List of Tables

| 3.1 | Linear activation function |
|-----|---|
| 3.2 | Sigmoid function |
| 3.3 | Tangent function |
| 3.4 | ReLU function |
| 5.1 | VGG Model Architecture [28] |
| 5.2 | ResNet Models Architecture [35] |
| 5.3 | DenseNet Models Architecture |
| 6.1 | Comparison table among the Proposed Model, ResNet50, MobileNet, VGG16, Xception, InceptionV3 and DenseNet Models 63 |

Nomenclature

The next list describes several symbols & abbreviation that will be later used within the body of the document

- ADAM Adaptive Moment Estimation
- ANN Artificial Neural Network
- AUC Under The Area ROC
- BBB Blood Brain Barrier
- BN Batch Normalization
- CAD Computer Aided Diagnostic
- CE Cross Entropy
- ${\cal CNN}\,$ Convolutional Neural Network
- ConvNet Convolutional Neural Network
- DL Deep Learning
- $GBD\,$ Global Burden of Diesease
- GPU Graphics Process Unit
- LSTM Long Short Term Memory
- MSE Mean Squared Error
- OpenCV Open Source Computer Vision Library
- ReLU Rectified Linear Unit
- RNN Recurrent Neural Network
- ROC Receiver Operating Characteristics
- RSS Residual Sum of Squares
- sMRI Structured Magnetic Resonance Imaging
- VGG Visual Geometry Group

Chapter 1

Introduction

1.1 Brain Tumor

The brain is regarded as one of the most vital biological structures. The functionalities of the brain are significantly impaired if a tumor grows inside the brain. A brain tumor is a fatal medical disorder that results from the uncontrolled and aberrant growth of cells within the brain [65]. Brain tumor can be categorized as follows:

- Non-cancerous brain: Non-cancerous brain tumors are called benign. These are low grade (grade 1 or 2), which indicates they develop slowly and are less likely to recur the following therapy.
- Cancerous brain: Cancerous brain tumors are called "malignant." These are high-grade (grade 3 or 4) tumors that begin in the brain (primary tumors) or spread to the brain (secondary tumors); they are more likely to recur the following therapy.

Toxicologically, it is classified as either a primary brain tumor or a malignant brain tumor that develops in another body location and travels to the brain [56]. A tumor in the brain is much more difficult to diagnose than a tumor in any other section of the body. As we know, there is a blood-brain barrier (BBB) in the brain, and conventional radioactive markers cannot detect tumor cell hyperactivity [55]. Annually, from 7 to 11 people per 100,000 in various age groups develop brain tumors (2, 3). According to the Global Burden of Diesease (GBD), this disease claims almost 227,000 lives each year. Additionally, nearly 7.7 million survivors are adjusting to life with disabilities [60]. Early identification of brain tumors saves lives and prevents disability. Furthermore, early detection decreases the need for brain surgery and other invasive methods of treating brain tumors. Manual brain tumor diagnosis is said to have a consensus rate of 90–95 percent among medical specialists. Mixed tumors, such as medulloblastoma and glioblastoma, had an expert disagreement of 77% and 58%, respectively, according to expert study[41].

1.2 CNN in Medical Imaging

A brain tumor is often identified through an MRI scan with specialized equipment. This can be identified as some abnormal growth in the brain that can be visible in the brain MRI image. The growth of computer vision and computer-aided image identification has led to medical science breakthroughs. An improvement in image categorization and identification has been made possible by using machine learning and neural networks in image recognition. The implementation of CNN in image recognition will ensure almost as much accuracy as any existing identification technology. So if a patient is unable to seek professional help to identify the tumor or the hospital needs automated assistance, that will help identify the tumor's existence in MRI images. The requirement for manually segmenting tumor regions is eliminated by utilizing CNN-based classification systems, resulting in a fully automatic classifier. This deep integration of CNN with medical image detection opens another dimension of opportunities for advancements.

1.3 Computer Vision and Implementation of CNN

The ever-growing scope of technological advancement has produced an uncountable scope of computational process-based activities. Computer vision is one of the leading examples. This particular concept is based on training the machine to understand images and video. Significantly, the introduction of machine learning, "deep learning," to be precise, has revolutionized computer vision applications by accomplishing large-scale image and video recognition [27]. This surge of popularity can be attributed to the rise of public image repositories (ImageNet) and progressive performance in computational capabilities in graphics processing units (GPUs) [17]. The application of Convolutional Neural Network (CNN), a machine learningbased computer vision technology, is quickly becoming the most highly regarded among other traditional machine learning techniques in image recognition. The main reason for this is that CNN works faster and has higher detection accuracy than other competitors, such as Recurrent Neural Networks (RNN), Long Short-Term Memory (LSTM), and Artificial Neural Networks (ANN) [69]. To emphasize this more, CNN can detect altered or tampered images with considerable accuracy, which is the most crucial advantage in real-life scenarios. The recent emergence of different convolutional neural network models is so accurate that, in extreme conditions, CNN can statistically outperform even humans in terms of a particular breed or species identification [69]. It is evident that the potential of computational power and data collection is only going to grow exponentially. Thus, further exploding the limitless possibilities of improving CNN and deep learning to achieve heights unimaginable only a few years ago.

1.4 Research Motives

1.4.1 Research Objectives

Our research primarily focuses on implementing an originally constructed CNN model in the designated brain MRI data set to understand how those particular models process those values and predict the output. Then to compare the proposed model with some recognized pre-trained model and compare the accuracy, loss and other data to demonstrate whether the proposed model is up to the standard or not. Additionally, the purpose of this research is to familiarize ourselves with the intricacies of each model and analyze those models to compare their results and identify

detection errors to exploit the weaknesses. Furthermore, this will help us mitigate those issues to reach even more accurate and time-efficient version of our proposed model. To achieve that, we aim to develop a sophisticated algorithm that incorporates image classification and deep learning to establish an open-source algorithm that may one day become the new revolution in convolutional Neural Networks with scopes for future improvements. We intend to create the model with fewer parameters and a lower level of complexity so that it can be easily implemented in any system. The objectives of this research are:

- To conduct extensive research on deep learning, Convolutional Neural Networks (CNNs), and other well-established models for implementing deep understanding in image recognition.
- To comprehend the workings of a neural network, including the layers and mathematical functions that go into it.
- Research about different image-based datasets such as ImageNet, BR35h and the properties of those datasets, i.e., how many entries are there, labelling, missing values.
- Study different models (ResNet50, VGG16, MobileNet, InceptionV3, Xception, DenseNet121) and implement those models in our selected datasets through transfer learning.
- Pre-process the data to fit the model and divide and divide it into test and training data.
- To construct classification reports for those datasets which the CNN models process.
- To create a confusion matrix that evaluates the performance of those classification models.
- Develop our own model and run it on the selected dataset, tweaking the parameters and weight distribution to make the model more accurate.
- To measure the accuracy of those models to identify the best model for relationships and patterns between dependent variables identification.
- Calculate the model loss from the loss function to understand if the model is predicting correctly as it should.

1.4.2 Problem Statement

Image identification in medical imaging has been a developing process for a long time. Computer vision and the introduction of neural networks have increased the accuracy of image identification by a significant margin. This research intends to demonstrate the image processing and identification of brain tumor images to identify whether they have a tumor or not by observing the abnormality. This research presented a convolutional neural network model with precisely placed layers for determining whether or not a brain MRI image has a tumor. But still, this problem must be validated by other standard models to judge whether this proposed model is on par with the others. In order to accomplish that, an in-depth analysis of the proposed model and other models was conducted so that the brain tumor identification through MRI imaging could be justified and assert its reliability.

1.4.3 Thesis Structure

In Chapter 1, we provided an overview and introduction to what a brain tumor is and how it is detected using CNN. We've also discussed our study goals and how we use MRI pictures to detect brain tumors. In Chapter 2, we discussed earlier research and related work on this topic. In Chapter 3, we reviewed the design of ANN and CNN and their functions. We addressed our suggested model, dataset, and pre-processing technique in Chapter 4. Then we went over our model and how it functions. In Chapter 4, we showed how we extract the dataset and its description, as well as how to preprocess the dataset to fit the models. In Chapter 5, we demonstrated research methodology and the workflow of our suggested model. We've also gone over the architecture of CNN models, which we applied in our thesis. We discussed the results in Chapter 6 and then analyzed them. Finally, in Chapter 7, we discussed the challenges we experienced throughout the thesis while compiling the models, as well as our plans for future work.

Chapter 2

Literature Review

Brain tumor is one of the most widely discussed diseases all around the world because of their uniqueness in nature and form from person to person. Brain tumor and it's diagnosis using CNN is extensively discussed by Asma Naseer, Tahreem Yasir, Arifah Azhar, Tanzeela Shakeel, and Kashif Zafar [65]. It's known, the human brain is the most vital part of our body as it is essential for an infinite number of functions. Globally, brain tumor is considered to be a life-threatening disease, as without early detection, they can even claim a person's life. To mitigate this issue, the authors have proposed a CNN-based computer-aided diagnosis model that was performed over the BR35H dataset, which is the intended dataset for our research. The BR35H dataset has divided MRI's into two groups of over a thousand images, where one is considered to be a positive sample labeled as "Yes" and the other is a negative sample labeled as "No". Moreover, they also performed their proposed model over other datasets like BTI, BTS, and others and gained a noteworthy result. The primary focus of this paper is to indicate how manual brain tumor detection is less successful (90 to 95 percent) [41] than the proposed CNN model as the author's CNN models perform a more in-depth diagnosis with higher success rate.

A brain tumor can be classified as cancerous or non-cancerous. The rate of growth of a tumor formed in the brain might vary substantially. The nervous system's ability to operate appropriately is influenced by the rate at which a tumor grows and locates inside the brain. According to the article written by A. Rehman et al.(2021) [66] one can incorporate a convolutional neural network with detection and categorization of brain tumors. Three BraTS datasets from 2015, 2017, and 2018 were used for experiments and validation, achieving 98.32%, 96.97%, and 92.67% accuracy respectively. Comparing the suggested design with existing methodologies demonstrates that it achieves equal accuracy. Here in the proposed method, a new CNN architecture is used to extract brain tumors, pre-trained VGG19 is used to educe deep attributes, and Pearson correlation and FNN features are used to classify tumors at the end. The only shortcoming of this paper is that it could not show their results with other pre-trained architectures like ResNet50, Xception, and others.

In contrast, authors S. Deepak and P. Ameer [54] have managed to differentiated among glioma, meningioma, and pituitary tumors using a hybrid model. This hybrid model implements the pre-existing GoogleNet architecture and transfers learning to obtain MRI traits. The acquired traits are classified using established classification methods. This experiment was conducted using Figshare's MRI dataset and a unique technique of 5-fold cross-validation at the patient level. It is estimated that the suggested approach has a recognition accuracy of 98%, which is better than any existing approaches. The other performance metrics employed in the study are the AUC, precision, recall, F1-score, and specificity. The paper evaluates the system with less training data for practicality. Observations from the study suggest that transfer learning can be effective when medical images are scarce. An examination of classification errors is also provided. Some aspects can be improved as the transfer learned model performs poorly as an independent classifier. In addition, a significant number of samples from the meningioma class were incorrectly classified. Thirdly, they faced an overfitting issue as their dataset was small.

MRI pictures are used to detect brain tumors. The MRI scan generates so much data that manual classification of tumor vs non-tumor is almost impossible. However, it only provides reliable quantitative measurements for a restricted number of photos. An automatic and dependable classification technique is required for early brain tumor detection. This work has proven to be difficult because of the brain tumor's surrounding region's high geographical and structural heterogeneity. In this paper J. See tha and S. S. Raj [48] propose automatic brain tumor detection using CNN classification; the conventional categorization of brain tumors is carried out by utilizing Fuzzy C Means (FCM) [47] segmentation, texture and shape feature extraction, and SVM and DNN-based classification [48]. The fundamental objective of this research is to collect data in order to construct an automated brain tumor diagnosis system with high efficiency, high performance, and simpler fabrication [48]. The researchers used an "ImageNet" dataset for the overall classification, where they used pre-trained dataset. Lastly, completing the training for the last layer is required. Additionally, CNN provides the raw pixel value, as well as the depth, width, and height feature values [48]. To attain high accuracy, they used a gradient descentbased loss function; they also calculated training accuracy, validation accuracy, and validation loss; and lastly, they discovered that training accuracy is 97.5%, and the probability of a false positive during validation is extremely low [48].

To elaborate, brain tumor classification is necessary for classifying tumors and deciding on treatment options. Brain tumors can be visualized in a variety of ways. However, the increased picture quality and lack of exposure to ionizing radiation make MRI a popular choice [61]. Deep learning (DL) has recently demonstrated exceptional performance, particularly in classification and segmentation challenges. Hossam H. Sultan et al. (2019) conducted study using a vast number of patients and images [61]. In one experiment, they exhibited a CAD system for categorizing brain tumor MR images divided into three categories which are meningioma, glioma, and pituitary, also classifying gliomas into distinct grades using a bespoke deep neural network structure. The suggested network has sixteen levels, the first layer being the input layer which holds pre-processed images while passing it to convolutional layers and implementing specific activation fuctions. There are two dropout layers which prevents the model from overfitting [61]. Their proposed design attained the highest levels of accuracy for the two datasets studied in this work, 96.13% and 98.7%, respectively. However, the dataset is relatively small (because of the range of imaging angles), data augmentation enabled the presentation of more accurate results.

Since the rise of machine learning, the Convolutional Neural Network (CNN) has become the most extensively used neural network model for classifying images. Back propagation is used to identify features in CNN models utilizing a variety of layers. In their work, S. Das, et al. (2019) concentrated on creating a CNN model for diagnosing brain cancers in T1-weighted contrast-enhanced MRI images [53]. The suggested system is comprised of two critical stages. First, pre-process the photos using various image processing techniques, and then use CNN to classify the pre-processed images. To achieve that, the experiment utilizes a collection of 3064 photos containing three distinct forms of brain tumors (glioma, meningioma, pituitary). The suggested system begins by preprocessing the image data. Preprocessing entails filtering photos with a Gaussian filter and equalizing the histograms of the filtered images. The machine then uses the CNN model to classify the photos. Dropout, regularization is used to prevent overfitting in the system [53]. During the training phase, it assists the model in focusing on the most apparent patterns and therefore improving performance. As a result, the model has a larger possibility of generalization, which keeps it stable. Their proposed model got the model's accuracy of 94.39%, with an average precision of 93.33%.

In recent times, neural networks have been gaining massive recognition for classifying visual inputs arising from documents. Neural networks have different types of algorithms for literature and document analysis, which creates greater confusion from time to time. Among many types of research that has been conducted on visual document analysis using convolutional neural network, one considerable research was conducted by P.Y.Simard, et al. (2003) [13]. The data set used in this paper to show the result is an MNIST set of English digit images. This article proposes a straightforward "do-it-yourself" application of convolution with a dynamic layout for a variety of visual document issues. When utilizing a simple convolutional neural network, sophisticated approaches such as momentum, weight decay, structure-dependent learning rates, average layers, tangent props, or even tuning the layout are not required to produce the best results. Here, the peak results from the MNIST set are obtained by training a new set of elastic distortion and CNN.More importantly, this research paper proposes novel ways of building such networks that are significantly easier to construct than earlier methods and allow for easy troubleshooting. The techniques used here are simple loops for convolution and modular debugging. This paper has tremendously noteworthy results of having only 0.4 percent error using the simple conv (CE) algorithm, which is the lowest error in an MNIST dataset. Research has shown that only two error functions, cross-entropy (CE) and mean squared error (MSE), were tested in the study. Aside from that, they didn't use momentum, weight decay, structure-dependent learning rates, or use additional padding around the inputs, which eventually turned out to be ineffective. In conclusion, though CNN's research is tough to process, they claim to propose an easy way for visual document analysis using convolutional neural networks.

The Pooling operation is an inseparable part of the convolutional architecture. In the paper, D. Scherer, et al.(2010) [19] talked about pooling methods and their results. For the purpose of this study, they compare aggression functions for a

number of common item recognition tasks on a fixed architecture to acquire insight into unique functions. According to empirical evidence, maximum pooling outperforms subsampling strategies greatly. Occupying pooling windows are no better than non-overlapping pooling windows, despite their shift-invariant features. Using this information, they were able to achieve error rates of 4.57 percent [19] on the NORB normalized-uniform dataset and 5.6% [19] on the NORB jittered-cluttered dataset. They used a Convolutional Neural Network (CNN) framework to perform their research in this study Zhang et al. (2020). They described the tasks of the convolutional layer, pooling layer, and backpropagation. The lag here is that they have not discussed the flattening layer. They tested various pooling operations on the Caltech-101 [15] and NORB [16] datasets. By comparing the result between subsampling and max-pooling, they have distinguished their datasets accordingly. They were able to identify their datasets by comparing the results of subsampling and max-pooling. They showed that a max-pooling procedure outperformed a subsampling strategy for capturing invariances in image-like data. Using an otherwise comparable architecture, recognition performance outperformed subsampling techniques for several datasets. The total recognition rate is unaffected by pooling windows that are more smoothly overlapping.

In order to understand representational data in abstractions of different levels, deep learning can be used with several processing layers. Speech recognition, object detection, pharmaceutical research, and genetics have benefited from these enormous advancements. Deep learning makes use of the back propagation technique to demonstrate how a machine's internal parameters should be adjusted in order to compute each layer's representation from the preceding layer's representation, therefore uncovering intricate structures in enormous data sets. The journal "Deep Learning", published by Yann LeCun, Yoshua Bengio and Geoffrey Hinton [31] explained the essential aspects of deep learning and its necessity. It's been decades since typical machine-learning approaches could manage vast quantities of real-world data. Using non-linear but specific modules, deep-learning approaches move the representation from a lower, more concrete level to a higher, more abstract one. They are representation-learning procedures. Very complex aggression functions can be learnt using deep learning methods. Moreover, the topics discussed in these papers are CNN, image understanding with a deep convolutional network, Recurrent Neural Networks (RNN), and their respective algorithms like CovNet and LSTM (Long Short Term Memory) [26], unsupervised learning [8] sparked renewed interest in deep learning, but the triumphs of strictly supervised learning have since eclipsed it. Nevertheless, it is not discussed in this paper. Finally, systems that merge representation learning and complex reasoning will make a substantial contribution to artificial intelligence advancements. To replace rule-based symbolic expression manipulation with operations on huge vectors, new paradigms must be utilized instead of deep learning and fundamental reasoning, which have long been used to identify speech and handwriting [22].

Furthermore, CNN is a popular approach for contextual categorization. It has the ability to understand contextual signals and, as a result, overcome the difficulties associated with pixel-wise categorization. It significantly reduces the number of parameters that must be considered. For example, CNN is widely utilized in remote

sensing [36], oceanfront identification [42], high-resolution data [24], audio scene [43], and MR brain image segmentation [37]. In this context, we discussed a study conducted by Indolia, Goswami, Mishrab, and Asopa (Indolia et al., 2018) [45] on the conceptual knowledge of CNN as well as its three most frequent architectures and learning methods. To impart knowledge and comprehension of CNN's various elements, such as models, convolutional layers, pooling layers, fully connected layers, and activation functions, as well as to discuss the various CNN architectures, such as LeNet [11], AlexNet [20], GoogleNet [32] lastly two critical CNN learning algorithms which are Gradient Descent [11] and Adaptive Moment Estimation (ADAM) [25] Optimization has been detailed in this paper.

One of the most well-known research projects has been conducted by A. Krizhevsky, I. Sutskever, and G. E. Hinton, [20] over image classification with Deep Convolutional Neural Networks. ImageNet, which is notoriously difficult to work with, had a test error rate of 15.3~% in their study, which shows that an enormous convolutional neural network (AlexNet) can deliver record-breaking performance by utilizing solely supervised learning techniques and reaching that result. Five convolutional layers, three max-pooling layers, and three fully connected layers were used, with a final 1000-way softmax layer at the network's finish. The network had almost 60 million parameters and 650,000 neurons. The nonlinearity functions were computed using ReLU [18]. In addition, we supplemented the data with methods including picture translation, horizontal reflection, and patch extractions. The model was trained on two GTX 580 GPUs for five to six days, utilizing dropout layers to battle the problem of overfitting to the training data and batch stochastic gradient descent with specified settings for momentum and weight decay to combat overfitting to the training data. This specially constructed network was utilized to classify data into up to 1000 different classes. When a single convolutional layer is removed from their network, performance suffers. The removal of any middle layers results in around 2% of the network's top-1 performance when the middle layers are removed.

E. Walach and L. Wolf [38] look into the problem of item counting in pictures from several perspectives. They use CNNs and integrate two key advancements over existing methods: gradient boosting and selective sampling, which estimates a density map straight from the input picture. They do this by simultaneously increasing counting accuracy and decreasing processing time. This study shows that the suggested approach is successful even when labelling mistakes exist. Extensive tests on five distinct datasets illustrate our approach's effectiveness and resilience. The mean absolute error was lowered from 40% to 35% as a result of this process. Simultaneously, the training time for each CNN has been cut by 50 %. Additionally, the recommended technique is straightforward. They outperform trailblazing systems developed for each application while using the same basic architecture for three separate counting applications (microscopy, interior crowd, and exterior crowd). Finally, they want to expand the scope of the suggested approaches to include other CNN regression applications. Problems like these emerge in a wide range of domains, from age estimation in facial images to human posture estimates, which are fundamentally different from counting.

In this paper, N. Srivastava, et al. (2014) [29] conducted some of the most signifi-

cant research in terms of preventing overfitting, As overfitting slows the network and makes it impossible to combine predictions from multiple huge neural nets at test time, which is a significant issue with extensive networks. To avoid this sort of difficulty, they employ the dropout method. The basic idea is to randomly remove units (and the connections they have to other units) from the neural network as you train it. Dropout prevents units from collaborating too extensively. During testing, a single unthinned network with lighter weights can replicate the impact of averaging the predictions from all of these thinned networks. Additionally, it considerably reduces overfitting and gives significant benefits over conventional regularization strategies. Using back propagation learning alone yields rigid co-adaptations that benefit training data but are useless for new data. In the presence of a hidden unit, these coadaptations are rendered less efficient due to their unpredictable nature. When this approach is applied, neural nets outperform other classifiers in various application domains, including object classification, digit identification, speech recognition, and document categorization in computational biology. It also shows that dropping out is a generic method not tied to any industry or field. Dropout adds a lot of noise to gradients compared to "Standard Stochastic Gradient Descent". Thus, a large number of gradients tend to cancel one another out. In terms of SVHN, ImageNet, CIFAR-100 and MNIST performance, dropout techniques are at the cutting edge of the field. Furthermore, Dropout considerably improved the performance of conventional neural networks on extra data sets when used in conjunction with Dropout. This study offers practical suggestions for employing dropout that is easy to put into practice. Not only does it provide solid data in support of dropout, but it also explores an intriguing and enlightening idea from a completely different field.

In contrast, moving object identification algorithms suffer from poor performance in complicated situations because of the dynamic background, light fluctuation, and shadows. Using ResNet-18 and an encoder-decoder structure, a method for segmenting moving objects in complicated scenarios has been suggested by X.Ou, P.Yan, Y. Zhang et al. (2019) [59] to address this issue. The encoder-decoder structure of ResNet-18 enables it to categorize pixels on a pixel-by-pixel basis, splitting them into foreground and background. It excels at feature extraction due to the shallowness of its layers, which retain a greater number of small-scale properties. The proposed technique is applicable to scenarios with a dynamic backdrop, fluctuating lighting, and shadows, as evidenced by qualitative and quantitative findings on the opensource CDnet2014 and I2R datasets. In quantitative comparisons, the proposed approach significantly has better performance than other algorithms, boosting the mean F-measure from 1.99 to 29.17%. The proposed technique is supervised training, and the recommended network structure is encoder-decoder. The network is fed the object frame and the artwork labels associated with it. The decoder then turns the encoder's feature vectors into segmentation maps. Additionally, using the Euclidean distance, the foreground mask is accurately located. Experiments on the I2R and CDnet2014 datasets show that our proposed solution is superior to established methods.

The paper "The CNN Paradigm" by L. O. Chua and T. Roska (1993) demonstrated the inherent richness of the CNN paradigm with the help of some examples [5]. With time, the CNN paradigm grew to include a wide range of topics and contexts while maintaining the two fundamental principles of local connection and analog circuit dynamics. An instructive overview of CNN's major class types is included in this book, in addition to a full taxonomy. The cellular neural network (CNN) innovation, which is a dynamic processor array made of analogue processing units that interact directly within a finite local area, demonstrates this capability [2]. In this paper, CNN is classified into four types, 1) In artificial systems [4] when performing detection tasks and several grid sizes [3] (e.g., coarse and fine grid) may be beneficial, the CNN architecture may be more cost-effective because of the variable grid size in terms of area complexity. 2) There are basically diverse kinds of processing activity that take place in the linear region. Several nonlinear sigmoid and nonsigmoid functions are depicted in this example. It is best to use processor types [6] that are slowly and regularly altered when utilizing less precise components for extremely accurate detection tasks. The small-signal operations in the linear section of the processor constitute a fundamentally new kind of processing. It shows a large number of nonlinear sigmoid and nonsigmoid functions. When using less accurate components for high-accuracy detection jobs, it is preferable to use processor types that are slightly and regularly altered. 3) As an alternative to the typical fixedpoint operation, transient, oscillating and chaotic modes are becoming increasingly relevant. 4) Interaction (connection) kinds: There are many different forms of interaction. The use of cloning templates to represent interactions is a critical concept in CNN. In today's world, templates are no longer limited to translation-invariant ones. Adaptive time-varying templates and associative memory both use templates that fluctuate on a regular basis. The downside of this paper is that it does not contain a clear conclusion.

Chapter 3

Background Information

3.1 General Architecture of Neural Networks

Neural Networks often regarded as ANN which is short for Artificial Neural Networks is a network of artificial neurons known as nodes developed in the early 1940s to solve Artificial Intelligence (AI) problems by predictions based on some pre-processed data. The network takes input from its environment trough neurons and pass the data in form of weights to the next layers and ending up in the output layer by some functions. The layers can be broken down into three categories such as input layer, hidden layer, and output layer. Here, neuron serves as a transfer function, meaning it takes the input values $x_1 + x_2 + x_3 + \dots + x_m$ and weights $w_1 + w_2 + w_3 + \dots + w_m$ and activation function, ϕ receives the output. Thus, the output y we get is,

$$y = \phi\left(\sum_{i=1}^{m} w_i x_i\right) \tag{3.1}$$

The output can be continuous, binary and categorical etc. depending on the type of input.



Figure 3.1: Basic ANN.

3.1.1 Neuron

The concept of the neural network is adapted from the neurons of our brain cells. Thus neuron function gave birth to the neural network system. Similar to brain cells, the neurons act as each node for some layers, and they are interconnected with each other that can transfer value and parameters for an optimum solution. The neurons turn on or fire when some information is passed. A specific condition must be reached in a neural network system to fire the neuron. This thresh-hold value is known as bias. A set of neurons are situated and distributed in each layer. Usually, the first layer which takes input has a wide range of neurons. The last layer, or called the "black box", contains considerably fewer neurons. This connection throughout the neurons helps the deep learning process by altering and recognizing patterns to predict the expected output. Each neuron behaves like a node, and the connection between each node is considered as edges. The edges here are the weighted value that contributes to different functions embedded into the system. It helps determine the accuracy and effectiveness of the machine



Figure 3.2: Artificial Neuron vs Biological Neuron.

3.1.2 Activation Function

A neural network's activation function represents how the nodes in a layer's weighted sum input is converted into output. It is also referred to as a transfer Function' [57]. Activation functions can be differentiated based on linearity and non-linearity. The Activation Function selection is vital as the performance and accuracy depend on it. The activation function is the same for all hidden layers, but the function may differ in the output layer depending on the output. For each node, the activation function denotes the output of that node given that set of inputs were given. This concept was introduced to direct the "black box" layers in a deterministic way. There are many activation functions in neural networks. Due to constant research and mathematical modifications done to the system, many activation functions are discarded, and some produce higher accuracy [57]. All activation function is calibrated according to the value the specific task needs by implementing Bias value to the function to make a particular threshold value that will be regarded as the pivot point of a positive and a negative outcome. Generally, the activity function is denoted by $\phi(x)$.



Figure 3.3: Different Types of Activation Functions.

Linear

This particular type of activation function considers the output as a straight line equation. This essential function always produces linear output to the input function. This function's differential result will always be constant. Due to that, the differential of this Linear function has no relation with the input. So, each layer's weight and input value may change, but the upgrading factor or gradient will remain the same. This creates a function that will not help the next operation be more accurate while traversing through each neural network layer. This means that no matter how many layers are added to the network, the output from the first one will be the same as the output from the last one. Thus, collapsing all the layers into a single layer.

$$y = mx + c \tag{3.2}$$

$$y = Wx + b \tag{3.3}$$

Here, the slope m is represented as weight in each node (W). Also the C or constant in the linear equation is replaced with the bias value (b) in the neural network structure.

1

| Function | Equation | Range | Derivative 87 equation |
|----------|----------|--------------------|------------------------|
| Linear | f(x) = x | $-\infty, +\infty$ | f'(x) = 1 |

Table 3.1: Linear activation function.

Non-Linear

The integration of non-linear functions has significantly improved the accuracy of deep learning. Moreover, some form of non-linear function is used very frequently in iteration of neural networks. Additionally, it allows the model to generate a complex

mapping between the node's input and output [9]. This helps the model determine and learn to accurately decide the output for complex data set inputs such as image, video, and audio files that are usually high in dimension counts. The most effective advantage of using these functions is that differential helps determine the upgrading factor or gradient-based on unique inputs. A neural network's layering of layers is advantageous because of this. That's why it's so important to have an accurate model.

Sigmoid

It is an S-shaped activation function. The characteristic of this function is that it is continuously differential and smooth. This function is widely used in neural networks at the early stage. This function congests the value of the input in such a way that output will always range between 0 and 1. This helps the neural network immensely as the range is minimal [21].

$$f(x) = \frac{1}{1 + e^{-x}} \tag{3.4}$$

The derivation of this function will range from 0 to 0.25. The prime benefit of using this type of function is that judging by the slope of the particular point in the function; we can decide if the value should move to its right or left region. As a result, this dramatically helps to improve the accuracy of the successive layers. This function has a problem named vanishing gradient and exploding gradient problem. It happens when the function's derivatives saturate around the x=0 mark and become very small farther from the x=0 point. This is a very computation-heavy function as it calculates exponential values.



Figure 3.4: Sigmoid function.

| Function | Equation | Range | Derivative equation |
|----------|-------------------------------|-------|------------------------|
| Sigmoid | $f(x) = \frac{1}{1 + e^{-x}}$ | 0,1 | f'(x) = f(x)(1 - f(x)) |

Table 3.2: Sigmoid function.

Hyperbolic tangent

The hyperbolic tangent is a special case of the sigmoid function, which has been modified for this purpose. It uses the hyperbolic tan function to generate output. The output here is zero "centric". All the point converges toward the centre or x = 0 points in x-axes. The derivative of this function ranges between 0 and 1. This function is more easily optimizable than the sigmoid function. Although the adversities that come with the sigmoid function still resides here as it still faces "vanishing gradient and exploding gradient problem" as the derivative. Also, the computational strength needs to perform the task is heavy. This suffers the same saturation problem. the value suddenly shifts from one point to another after a slight change [20].

$$f(x) = \frac{1 - e^{-2x}}{1 - e^{-2x}} \tag{3.5}$$

As denoted, the equation is defined where after inputting the value, the outcome can differ from -1 to 1. The graph also shows that the value shifts rapidly when the value converges to the centre or midpoint case around 0.



Figure 3.5: Tangent function.

| Function | Equation | Range | Derivative equation |
|---------------------------|------------------------------------|-------|----------------------|
| Tanh (Hyperbolic tangent) | $f(x) = \frac{2}{1 + e^{-2x}} - 1$ | -1,1 | $f'(x) = 1 - f(x)^2$ |

Table 3.3: Tangent function.

\mathbf{ReLU}

Rectified linear units or ReLU is the most advanced and commonly used functions among the ones discussed. ReLU is a non-linear function that acts as a linear function. This dramatically helps the computational process time [57]. Generally, ReLU can be classified as a piecewise linear function. This is different from the other two functions as those are continuously differential. One of the critical features for the ReLU function is that it mitigates one of the main issues, which is dealing with the negative weighted numbers [18]. The function that denotes ReLU is :

$$f(x) = \phi(x) = max(x,0) \tag{3.6}$$



Figure 3.6: ReLU function.

| Function | Equ | ation | Range | Derivative equation | |
|----------|------------------|--------------|-------------|--|--|
| ReLU | $f(x) = \langle$ | 0; x < 0 | $0,+\infty$ | $f'(x) = \begin{cases} 0; & x < 0 \end{cases}$ | |
| | | $x; x \ge 0$ | | $\begin{bmatrix} 1; & x \ge 0 \end{bmatrix}$ | |

Table 3.4: ReLU function.

From the figure: 3.6 and equation above, it is evident that the output will be zero(0), if the input is any negative number (x < 0). Nevertheless, for the positive inputs, the output will produce a linear output proportional to the input. Which is given as "x" in this equation. Effectively making the slope/gradient = 1. For half of the input domain, this function is linear; for the other half, it is non-linear. This results in a far more efficient computation. The negative values are neglected in the process, which makes the process a bit easier, and at the same time, it stays a non-linear function for the positive values. If the system has enough positive values, then this function is the most preferable. Furthermore, ReLU is the only function that can output a true zero (0) value out of all three functions. The hidden layer benefits from this function because of its simplistic approach.

3.1.3 Cost function

In deep learning, there are hidden layers named as "black box", which processes the data given in neurons and act accordingly to predict the output [14] finally. In each layer, different nodes process different segments of the data and give outputs. However, the whole neural network cluster, which contains numerous inputs, will have

a singular output in terms of the cost function. This cost function is the value that determines whether the machine is working as expected or not. The cost function is generated by accumulating all the machine's steps and how the error is produced. In a sense, it is a feedback system for the computer. As we do not know what is happening on the hidden layer, the cost function is the most efficient way to know what variables to alter to make the system more accurate and precise. However, this cost function can only show the system's errors but cannot determine how to improve them. So, we need correctional functions or algorithms of such to improve the system's accuracy based on the cost function [14]. The equation of cost function,

$$C = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=0}^{n} (y^{i} - (mx^{i} + b))^{2}$$
(3.7)

Costs are calculated by adding the expected value and subtracting the actual value of a node's forecast. The more accurate the neural model is, the lesser becomes the cost function. Here y denotes the expected value, and (mx+b) means the value that a particular node produces. The summation of those values is calculated for each node to generate the function.

3.1.4 Gradient Descent

In the previous topic, one can only evaluate the cost function to measure whether the machine works poorly or accurately. Later, to improve the layers by tweaking the parameters such as the slope (m), which is also denoted by w in the neural network, and constant (c) also represented as bias(b) to make the machine more accurate for the next iteration. The gradient function [11] aims to make the cost function as low as possible. In each iteration, the gradient function is the differentiate term of the constant function. The function either moves to its right or left by examining the value, depending on the slope values sign.



Figure 3.7: One Dimensional Gradient Descent.

3.1.5 Back Propagation

Through the process of back propagation, the network's connections are reweighted to reduce the cost function's smallest possible value while also maximizing the network's actual output. That is, it will adjust the weight after an iteration [1]. It is a standard method of training the artificial neural network. After back-propagating multiple times, finding the set of weights will make sure that, the output vector is identical with the preferred output for each of the input vectors [1]. The goal of back propagation is to calculate the partial derivative $\frac{\partial C}{\partial w}$ and $\frac{\partial C}{\partial b}$ of the cost function concerning any weight 'w' or bias 'b'. Therefore, after getting a predicted result, the error is back propagated, and the weights are updated according to how much they are responsible for the error. This tuning of weights of a neural network will improve the model's accuracy. The concept of 'Learning Rate' can show how much we should update the weights. One thing to note is that back propagation's actual performance is data-dependent. The back propagation algorithm implements the chain rule to determine the gradient of a weight's loss function. It of two types which are 'Static Back-propagation' and 'Recurrent Back-propagation'.



Figure 3.8: Back propagation inside neural network.

Here, we are figuring out the hidden units based on the actual output and the input value that we're given. Each of the corners carries its own weight.

3.2 Convolutional Neural Networks (CNN)

3.2.1 Convolution

ConvNet, abbreviated as CNN, is a deep neural network designed for grid-like topology identification, more precisely 2D matrix-like image recognition. [51]. ConvNet is more than just a deep neural network with a bunch of layers disguised underneath the surface. It's a large network that resembles the visual cortex of the brain in terms of how it analyzes and discerns images. This technique demonstrates the importance of profound layer improvements for information; basically, images processing [34]. The images are composed of a two-dimensional matrix of pixels on which the CNN algorithm is conducted. [52]. CNN uses the brain as a motivation for detecting and classifying images. As previously stated, the human brain is divided into two cell types: simple cells that perform feature detection and complex cells that incorporate local features from small geographical regions. Spatial information is information that has a location-based link to other information. The human brain recognizes images by merging all of the local elements that their eyes can detect; this is how people see images. The equation of the convolution operation is:

$$s[t] = (x * w)[t] = \sum_{a = -\infty}^{a = \infty} x[a]w[a + t]$$
(3.8)

Here, s = feature map, x = input image, w = feature detector or kernel.

Convolution is a mathematical term that refers to a function that is obtained through the integration of two other functions. It explains how another function can alter a function's structure. For example: say am image that is denoted by "x" here. The image is a two-dimensional array of pixels with distinct colour channels. Here, we use kernel "w", which is essentially our feature detector, to extract the output following the application of the feature map. A feature map is a technique that determines how similar two signals are, and this is a result of the convolution layer. A feature detector or filter is used to identify the edges of a picture. The whole convolution operation is responsible for calculating the image's edges.

How CNN works

Let's consider a 4x4 pixel image that is illustrated in figure 3.5. The convolution filter operation on this image will be used to create a feature map.

| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
|----|----|----|---|
| 25 | 11 | 16 | 0 |
| 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| 0 | 2 | 4 | 1 |

Figure 3.9: 4x4 pixel image.

Here we use two convolution filters.



Figure 3.10: Convolution filters or feature detector.

The actual ConvNet's filters are selected by the training process rather than by a manual decision.

Let's start with the process. The convolution operation starts at the upper-left corner, here we use sub-matrix as the same size as the convolution filter and in every step it moves forward by one grid.



Figure 3.11: The first convolution operation.

The convolution operation is the sum of the products of the elements in the two matrices that are in the same location. Here the result 12 is on feature map is calculated as: (1x1)+(2x0)+(25x0)+(11*1)=12.

| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
|----|----|----|---|
| 25 | 11 | 16 | 0 |
| 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| 0 | 2 | 4 | 1 |



Figure 3.12: Second convolution operation.



Figure 3.13: Third convolution operation.

| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
|----|----|----|---|
| 25 | 11 | 16 | 0 |
| 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| 0 | 2 | 4 | 1 |



| 12 | 18 | 3 |
|----|----|---|
| 26 | | |
| | | |

Figure 3.14: First convolution operation in second row.



Figure 3.15: Second convolution operation in second row.



Figure 3.16: Third convolution operation in second row.



Figure 3.17: First convolution operation for last row.



Figure 3.18: Second convolution operation for last row.



Figure 3.19: The feature map of this particular filter has been completed.

This is how we can produce the feature map using convolution filer from a given image pixels. In the same manner, we can calculate the feature map for the another convolution filter.



Figure 3.20: The feature map of second filter.

The values present in the elements of the above feature map, like the first convolution operation, are determined by whether the image matrix cross-matches with the convolution filter.

The convolution layer, in summary, applies convolution filters to desired the input image to generate the feature maps. The trained convolution filters determine which features are extracted in the convolution layer. As a result, the features extracted by the convolution layer differ based on the specific convolution filter used in the model. The feature map that creates is processed through specified activation function prior to the layer yields the output. The convolution layer's activation function is identical to that of a regular neural network. Despite the fact that the ReLU function is employed in the majority of recent applications.

Significance of ReLU in Convolution

ReLU function [18] behaves as a non-linear function. Here as the equation given before, its stated that all the negative number in that function will be converted into $\operatorname{zero}(0)$. And the rest of the domain $(x \ge 0)$, the value will remain the same as input x. So thus creating a linear and non linear relation at the same time due to it's nature being piece wise function. If we take a look at the graphs representing the function sigmoid [21], tanh and ReLU in figure: 3.4, 3.5, 3.6 we can see in range around -1 to 1 in tanh graph, the gradient value will be non zero as there is slope which is not equals to 0. But after passing that range the slope decreases rapidly and the gradient gets close to zero. If the gradient value becomes zero then the whole point of using hidden layers are wasted. This mathematical notation is the key to improve the accuracy to the system by telling which values to alter in the future layers. Same goes for the sigmoid function [21] as well. But, if we look at the ReLU function, if the weighted value ever reaches negative, the value is immediately converted to zero which discards those negative values. This can cause the system to be less accurate. However, It's observed that all the positive values are creating a positive slope linear line which will produce the gradient values as non-zeroes. On the top of that discarding those negative values means the system will perform even faster than the other. Moreover, computation power will be kept at minimum as it won't have to deal with exponential functions rather ReLU just uses a max function which is considerably simpler. This function can output a true zero(0). ReLU function has the unique feature where it works as linear function. This makes the calculations in the neural network cluster significantly easier. Also, optimizing these models are more accurate and easier to implement. The linear nature of the function effectively mitigates the "vanishing gradient problem" because the gradient remains the same for all positive numbers. For multiple hidden layer architecture, this is easily the most preferable function that will produce an accurate output within shorter time. That's the primary reason for using ReLU in convolution neural network system.

| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
|----|----|----|---|--|----|----|----|---|
| 25 | 11 | 16 | 0 | | 25 | 11 | 16 | 0 |
| 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| 0 | 2 | 4 | 1 | | 0 | 2 | 4 | 1 |

Figure 3.21: ReLU example-01 using only +(ve) pixel values.

Here, in two different cases operations of ReLU in a 4x4 pixel grid matrix structure is demonstrated. In the first matrix, all the values of each entry is either zero or greater than zero. which means all the values are positive numbers so as the node gets the input such as that, the output stays the same as seen in the output matrix given in figure: 3.21.

| 1 | 2 | -7 | 4 | |] |] [| 1 | 2 | 0 | 4 |
|----|----|----|----|--|----|-----|----|---|---|---|
| 25 | 11 | 16 | 0 | | 25 | 11 | 16 | 0 | | |
| -9 | 1 | 0 | -6 | | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | | |
| 0 | 2 | -3 | 1 | | 0 | 2 | 0 | 1 | | |

Figure 3.22: ReLU example-02 using +(ve) and -(ve) pixel values.

But when some negative numbers are given as input in the matrix, the function converts them to zero. In this case, 4 entries were found (highlighted with blue marks) to be negative and in the output the values are converted to zero and the previous values are discarded. That's the illustration of how a basic ReLU operation works.



(a) Before Discarding

(b) After Discarding

Figure 3.23: Before and after discarding negative values [68].

In these two pictures, the ReLU function's work is visible. When the neural networks, the first layer takes a massive matrix with large dimensions as input. This causes a massive calculation hurdle. By implementing the ReLU function, all the non-negative numbers are kept while the negative numbers are discarded and replaced with 0. That makes the dimension of the matrix of the next iteration significantly smaller. In the first pictures, while the object detection neural network cluster is running, the black pixels are unfavourable, and whites are of positive value. After the function, the value of the black pixels is converted to zeroes, thus leaving only the white pixels to work with further. This process speeds up the computational time of the whole model. Because the system now has a smaller matrix with fewer values to calculate. This helps the neural network to train efficiently and detect the object more accurately.

3.2.2 Pooling

CNNs use two different types of layers: convolutional (which resembles primary cells) and pooling (which models complicated cell behavior). Each convolutional
layer applies a non-linear transfer function to the source picture and executes a discrete 2D convolution operation with an altered kernel. By aggregating neurons from a local spatial vicinity, the pooling layers lower the size of the input. Primary reason for using CNN is the action performed by pooling layers is easily interchangeable without modifying the core architecture [19].

Due to its ability to reduce the dimensionality of feature maps, pooling is an essential step in convolutional systems. A group of values is combined into a smaller number of values, decreasing the dimensionality of the feature. By retaining relevant information, It turns the joint feature representation into useful information. By removing certain connections between convolutional layers, pooling operators provide a spatial transformation invariance while lowering the computational cost for upper layers. The pooling layer is mainly used for two beneficial purposes. The first is to minimize the number of parameters or weights, lowering computing costs, and the second is to prevent over-fitting. Only useful information should be extracted from a pooling method, and irrelevant details should be discarded. Though there are also other forms of pooling operation, it is mainly divided into 1) Max Pooling and 2) Average Pooling. There also exists another form of Pooling which is known as subsampling.



Figure 3.24: Finding Avg and Max pooling from a given image pixel.

Average Pooling: Down-sampling is performed via an intermediate pooling layer, with which rectangular pooling regions are divided and the average values of each zone are computed [62].

$$a_j = tanh(\beta \sum_{N*N} a_i^{n*n} + b) \tag{3.9}$$

Max Pooling: The maximum value inside a group of R activations is passed forward by the max-pooling operator.

$$a_j = \max_{N*N} (a_i^{n*n} u(n, n))$$
(3.10)

3.2.3 Flattening

It is another crucial layer in the Convolutional Neural Network (CNN). It is a very crucial layer for feeding the data. Some artificial neural networks have dense layers

as the last layer, which expects data in a one-dimensional system. In a CNN model, the final stage is a classifier, which is a dense layer. The output of the pooling layer must be converted into a one-dimensional feature vector before it can be used by the ANN. This process is called flattening. This feature is a must to flatten the output side of the convolutional or pooling layer and create a 1 dimensional feature vector that the dense layer can utilize to do conduct the final classification. The long vector that we obtain after flattening will be the input layer for an artificial neural network [23].



Figure 3.25: Flattening.

In this figure, The pooled feature map is a 3x3 matrix which is converted into a one dimensional matrix.

3.2.4 Full Connection

Fully-Connected is now learning a potentially nonlinear function in that space.Due to the fact that our matrix had been converted to a vector and fed into a fully - connected layers akin to a neural network, we chose to call this the FC layer.Here, the qualities gleaned from the prior layers are transformed into the final product. Equation 3.11 establishes the relationship between consecutive levels [44].

$$v_i^j = \delta(\sum_k v_k^{j-1} w_{k,i}^{j-1})$$
(3.11)

Here in equation 3.11, V_i^j denotes the value of neuron *i* at layer *j*, δ is the activation function and weight of connection between neuron *k* from layer *j* – 1 and neuron *i* from layer *j* are shown by $w_{k,i}^{j-1}$ [44].



Figure 3.26: Fully connected layers.

The feature map matrix will be transformed to a vector $(x_1, x_2, ..., x_m)$ which is shown in the figure: 3.26. These characteristics are integrated into a model using the fully linked layers. Finally, the findings are divided into groups using an activation function like softmax or sigmoid [21].

3.2.5 Softmax Function

The softmax function [49] normalizes a vector of K absolute values into a probability distribution of K probabilities.Each element will have a value between 0 and 1, and they will add up to 1, making them a probability value. Additionally, a greater number of input components indicates a higher chance. With the softmax layer, we may convert a network's non-normalized output to a probability distribution across anticipated output classes. The softmax function is:

$$P(c_r|x,\theta) = g(a(x,\theta))_r \frac{e^{a_r(x,\theta)}}{\sum_{j=1}^k e^{a_j(x,\theta)}} = \frac{P(x,\theta|c_r)P(c_r)}{\sum_{j=1}^k P(x,\theta|c_j)P(c_j)}$$
(3.12)

The softmax layer computes the standard exponential function for each component z_j in the input vector and normalizes the outcome by dividing it by the sum of all these exponentials. This normalization guarantees that the output vector σ_z component sums to one [58].

Consider a CNN that can distinguish between a cat and a dog. Because a picture may only be a cat or a dog, the two classes are mutually exclusive. Typically, the network's last fully connected layer produces numbers like [-9.65, 3.14], which may not be scaled and cannot be understood as probabilities. With a softmax layer, we can convert the integers into a probability distribution. The output may be shown to the user; for example, the software believes this is a cat 95% of the time. It also

means that the result may be passed into other machine learning algorithms without being normalized since it will always be between 0 and 1 [49].

3.2.6 Output Layer

The output layer of a CNN is the final layer. This layer estimates the classes based on the supplied image. The output layer contains a neuron for each conceivable class, i.e., one for unaltered images and another for each possible alteration. This layer implements the activation function "softmax", which maps the previous dense layer and generates vector output that is then summed [33]. It will indicate whether or not each element belongs to a specific class.



Figure 3.27: Full Conv Architecture.

3.3 Transfer Learning

Pre-trained networks are a well-known and widely used method for dealing with sparse datasets. The pre-trained network's architecture and weights are retained once trained on a large dataset, such as an image classification dataset. In addition to that, pre-trained neural networks can be used as a visual model if the starting dataset is large enough and general enough. Although the new jobs may have completely different categories from the original work, these traits can aid in a variety of computer vision tasks. There are two approaches to make use of the transfer learning from a previously trained network:

- Feature extraction: It is performed by pulling the features of the dataset using the convolutional base which was previously trained in different network and then training a new classifier with the outputs.
- **Fine-tuning**: The fine-tuning procedure is complementary to the feature extraction method, as it entails unfreezing the final layers of the frozen convolutional base used for feature extraction.

To begin, we take a pre-trained network and remove its classifier foundation. Secondly, the pre-trained model's convolutional base is frozen. Additionally, a new classifier is introduced and trained on the pre-trained network's convolutional base. Additionally, we unfreeze some layers of the pre-trained network's convolutional foundation. Finally, these unfrozen layers and the newly created classifier are trained together [64].

3.4 Batch Normalization

Occasionally, data span a large range and do not belong on the same scale; also, each of our characteristics for each of our samples can vary significantly. The larger inputs in non-normalized data sets can cascade across the layers of neural networks, causing an unbalanced gradient and an inflating gradient problem. This can lead to neural networks being unstable. This can affect our training speed by reducing the speed drastically. So, the solution for these issues is the normalization of the data set where we put the data on the same scale. During training, the data set one of the weights can become drastically more extensive than the other weights. This problem will keep on cascading on the other layer, which will cause instability in the neural network. This is resolved using batch normalization. Here in batch normalization, we can choose the layer on which we want to normalize. To begin, batch normalization makes the activation function's output more uniform, the equation is given below:

$$z = \frac{x - m}{s} \tag{3.13}$$

Then it multiplies this normalized output by an arbitrary parameter, g, the equation is given below:

$$z * g \tag{3.14}$$

There is an additional parameter, b, added to the final product after the multiplication.

$$(z*g) + b \tag{3.15}$$



Figure 3.28: Batch Norm [50].

As shown, N is the batch axis, C is the channel axis, and W is the spatial height. All of these variables will be optimized throughout training. For decades [10], it has been known that normalizing neural network input data to zero means and the constant standard deviation is helpful in neural network training. With the emergence of deep networks for efficiency reasons, batch normalization is done by dividing into mini-batches than taking the whole training set at a time. We primarily explore batch normalization for convolutional neural networks. A batch normalization layer's input and output are both four-dimensional tensors known as $I_{b,c,x,y}$ and $O_{b,c,x,y}$ respectively. The RGB channels are represented by the channels in the input images. Batch normalization applies the same normalizing to all activation in a given channel [30].

$$O_{b,c,x,y} \leftarrow \gamma_c \frac{I_{b,c,x,y} - \mu_c}{\sqrt{\sigma_c^2 + \epsilon}} + \beta_c \quad \forall b, c, x, y$$
(3.16)

BN subtracts all input activations in channel c from the mean activation c = 1, $\mu_c = \frac{1}{|\mathcal{B}|} \sum_{b,x,y} I_{b,c,x,y}$ where B comprises all activations in channel c across all features b in the whole mini-batch and all spatial x; y locations. The centered activation is then divided by the standard deviation c (plus for numerical stability).

3.5 Regularization

Regularization is a critical topic in machine learning. It is an approach to preventing the model from overfitting by supplementing it with additional data also reduces the coefficients towards zero [7]. When using training data, the machine learning model may do well, but it may not do so well when using test data. If the model cannot anticipate the result while dealing with unknown data, it is overfitted because of the excess noise it produces in the output. A regularization approach would help resolve this problem [12].

Regularization works by supplementing the complicated model with a penalty or complexity term. Consider the following equation for linear regression:

$$y = \beta 0 + \beta 1x1 + \beta 2x2 + \beta 3x3 + \dots + \beta nxn + b$$
(3.17)

y denotes the value to be predicted in the preceding equation. y has the attributes x1, x2, ..., xn. The values $\beta1, ..., \betan$ represent the weights or magnitudes assigned to the characteristics. The bias of the model is denoted by $\beta0$, while the intercept is denoted by b. Linear regression models attempt to minimize the cost function by optimizing $\beta0$ and b.

To construct a model that properly predicts the value of y, we'll need a loss function and optimal parameters, such as bias and weights. The term "residual sum of squares" refers to the loss function that is frequently employed in linear regression (RSS).

$$RSS = \sum_{i=1}^{M} (y_i - y'_i)^2 = \sum_{i=1}^{M} (y_i - \sum_{j=0}^{n} \beta_j * X_i j)^2$$
(3.18)

RSS may also be referred to as the linear regression goal that is not regularized. Now, the model will learn using the loss function. It will modify the weights based on our training data (coefficients). Uncertainty in our data set causes overfitting, and the calculated coefficients will not generalize.

There are two primary approaches for regularization: Ridge Regression and Lasso Regression. They are both different in penalizing coefficients. L2 regularization is used in the Ridge regularization approach. It changes the RSS by adding a penalty (diminishing quantity) equal to the square of the coefficient magnitude. however, Lasso regularization accomplishes L1 regularization, which changes the RSS by adding a penalty (amount of shrinkage) equal to the sum of the coefficients absolute value [12].

3.5.1 Dropout

In machine learning, the term "Dropout" [29] refers to the process of randomly omitting some nodes from a layer during training. Deep neural networks with many parameters are robust machine learning systems. The difficulty is that these networks are overcrowded. Large networks are highly sluggish to utilize, making it challenging to combine numerous forecasts—massive neural networks for testing. Dropout is a solution to this issue.



Figure 3.29: Dropout Neural Net Model [29].

On the left hand side of the figure: 3.29 is a representation of a conventional neural network with two hidden layers, while the right shows a reduced network formed by nullifying some neurons of the left network. Thus, the crossed units have been turned off or nullified.

A typical neural network with all units active is shown on the upper-left side. Fewer weights and biases are considered during training for the crossed teams led on the right side. Dropout prevents overfitting and enables the efficient combination of an exponentially large number of distinct neural network designs.Dropping a unit from the network involves disconnecting it from all incoming and outgoing connections. Dropping troops is chosen at random. In the most basic instance, each unit is kept with a fixed probability 'P' independent of other units, where 'P' may be selected using a validation set or set at 0:5, which appears to be near to optimum for a most of the networks and tasks. In contrast, the ideal probability for the input units is usually closer to 1 than to 0.5 [29].

Chapter 4

Dataset Extraction

4.1 Dataset Description

The research is targeted towards the solution of an image classification problem. The data set chosen for this research is an MRI data set. It is known as Br35H.



Figure 4.1: Br35H Dataset [50].

It is an abbreviation of "Br35H: Brain Tumor Detection 2020 dataset". This data set consists of 3000 jpg files of brain tumor MRIs. Moreover, these 3000 images are again divided into two categories of 1500 MRIs each. They are categorized as "Yes" and "No", where "Yes" means there is the existence of a brain tumor and "No" means there is no existence of a brain tumor. These are denoted with 0's (no) and 1's (yes). The collection contains sequences of weighted images. It has a 7.5 usability rating. The data usability score is calculated using the following criteria: license, tagging, data overview and description, simplicity of use, maintainability assurance, machine-readable file formats, metadata, and the presence of a public kernel. According to this rating, licensing, tagging, data overview, and description are considered [65].

The proposed CNN models are divided into two halves. Here, Br35H data was chosen because it has a large amount of brain MRI, which is beneficial for the research problem. It helps to train the model and accurately detect whether there is a brain tumor. This data set is publicly available on Kaggle. The data set was extracted from that online site and then mounted on the programming platform to alter the data and train the model.

4.2 Data Preprocessing

4.2.1 Importing Libraries

Firstly, we imported all the libraries and modules needed to run our code. These libraries include CV2, OS, NumPy, TensorFlow, Keras, Sklearn, Matplotlib, and Pyplot. For data preprocessing, the libraries CV2, OS, and NumPy are used. The OS library is used to check the dataset directory, the CV2 library is used to read images from different folders in the dataset and convert these images to an array, and the NumPy library is used to convert the array into an np array, which is a matrix conversion. The Br35H dataset is made up of brain tumor images which are grayscaled by usingcmap functions built into Python. Lastly, we uploaded these images separately into two classes, where one class contains images of a brain tumor and the other one does not.

4.2.2 Image Processing from Dataset

Image processing is a critical step to getting the best results from CNN models. Each dataset has its own complexity and layout, which we must preprocess to enhance accuracy while minimizing loss. In general, image processing can be divided into two categories: analog and digital image processing. Analog image processing is used on hard copies such as scanned or printed images, whereas digital image processing is used to alter digital images such as their properties, attributes, bounding boxes, or masks using a computer. The Br35H dataset comprises 3,000 digital MRI pictures, which we should denoise and resize to feed the model appropriately.

Image Denoising

MRI images are frequently subjected to "Gaussian noise", "Salt and Pepper noise", and "Speckle noise". As a result, obtaining an accurate brain image is a very challenging job. A precise brain picture is critical to obtain for future diagnosing process. There are numerous sorts of filtering methods for image denoising, such as Gaussian Filtering Method, Median Filtering Method etc.

$$G_{(x,y)} = \frac{1}{2\pi\sigma^2} e^{-\frac{x^2+y^2}{2\sigma^2}}$$
(4.1)

After gathering the data, "Gaussian Filtering" is applied to the images in dataset Br35H to reduce the random noise in each image, as MRI images only contain random noise. Firstly, Gaussian filters are added to make the images smoother and denoise the grainy and noisy images. In the code, the skimage library was used to denoise the image where a sigma value was assigned to it.



Figure 4.2: Denoising using Gaussian Filter.

Image Resizing

To get optimal performance, each type of CNN architecture necessitates a different image size, which is to resize or distort our image from one pixel grid to another.Image resizing is applied based on the model architecture. For example, in the VGG16 architecture, the input size of the image is resized to 224x224. For ResNet50, the input size is resized to 224x224 whereas for Xception and InceptionV3, it is resized to 299x299. In our proposed model the input shape is set as (224,224)

4.2.3 Spliting Train and Test set

This data set is divided into two sections: a training set and a testing set. Our CNN models will be trained using batch size and epochs on the training set and, after that, evaluated on the test set to determine their accuracy. Precision, recall, f1-score, and accuracy will be used to compare models. The model's accuracy was tested on a subset of the 3000 MRI images in our Br35H dataset, which was separated into two sets: one for training and the other for testing. The train set consists of 80% of the total MRI images, and the test set consistS of 20% of the total MRI images. For our model to learn the pattern, we have shuffled the data, which implies that we have mixed up the number of 1's and 0's in each batch. We do this to avoid making the trained model biased. Because in some cases, if we continuously show the same labelled picture for a continuous period of time, the model starts to memorize it and does not train properly. Thus, the shuffle is important.



Figure 4.3: Dataset Pre-processing.

Chapter 5

Research Methodology

5.1 Model Workflow



Figure 5.1: A block diagram of Model Workflow.

5.2 Used Architectures





Figure 5.2: Proposed Model Architecture.

After pre-processing our data, we decided to use Keras as our initial Application Performance Interface (API). This was imported from Google's own open source framework, which is used for machine learning and primarily in image processing data called TensorFlow. TensorFlow made it really accessible for developers like us to implement their in built libraries. We can easily import the Keras API from TensorFlow and implement its various attributes. To name a few, we used the utility named "Normalize" which helped us normalize our dataset in pre-processing. As our model is a sequential model, we used the Keras model library and imported it sequentially. Basically, a sequential model is used when the architecture is built in a multiple-layer stacked way. This is a more simplistic version that works very fast and efficiently for data inputs like our dataset. A sequential model is usually used when the input is given as sequential data. Furthermore, we added different hidden layers with each specified filter for each layer. For that, we have imported conv2D from the built-in layers in the Keras library. This layer creates a "Convolution Kernel" which is convolved with the specified layer input and generates a tensor of outputs. This attribute also has a bias function, which is initially set to false for unbiased output.

For the pooling function, we imported maxpooling2D from the keras.layers library. It basically down samples the input format along its dimensions. It takes the highest value over an input window, which is predefined by the user by declaring 'pullsize' which is used for each channel of inputs. We can observe the maxpooling2D in action in our model architecture, which downsizes the input frame by half, i.e., if the input is taken as (224,224) dimension, the output will be (112,112) dimension after the maxpooling2D is done. This eases the computational power requirements and can also be a great solution for reducing over-fitting for a neural network.

We used an activation function that helps neural networks deeply understand 'complex patterns' in the data usually after a neuron layer has completed its operation, there is an activation function built-in at the end that decides the next neuron to be fired. In binary classification, the output layer generates binary output (0 or 1). So, in the last layer, we used 'sigmoid' as our activation function to ensure binary classification. In between the input and output layers, all the hidden layers have 'ReLU' as the activation function because it is more complex and advanced at neural firing within the hidden layers. ReLU ensures that the computational power doesn't increase exponentially within each layer, thus making it more efficient. We decided to use ReLU as our preferred activation function to avoid a major problem called 'Vanishing Gradient" that occurs while using the sigmoid function.

Another crucial part of the neural network is 'dropout' which is also imported from the same library implemented in our model. It takes an input in percentage and randomly shuts down the same percentage of neurons in each layer to ensure that all neurons are learning. It's basically a nullifying agent for some neurons towards the upcoming layers while leaving the other neurons unchanged. Dropout is the main reason that a CNN model is prevented from over-fitting on the training data. In our case, we used a dropout of 0.7 or 70% right before the output layer.

Moreover, we used the "Flattening" layer imported from the same Keras library, which is used to convert data from multiple dimensions to a one-dimensional array, which is used as the input for the next layer. It is a crucial part of the process because it is used as a medium for inputs to go from one layer to another. It is generally connected to the output layer, also known as the final classification layer, to make it a fully connected layer that is used for generating output.

Finally, we used 'dense' layers, which are used to feed all the outputs gathered from the previous layer to all the neurons, where each neuron provides one single output to the last layer. In the final layer, it is necessary to use a dense value set of 1 because we need binary classification. So, in our proposed model, the layer takes an input of 64, and because of this dense, the output is only 1, representing binary outputs.

| Layer (type) | Output Shape | Param # |
|---|----------------------|---------|
| conv2d_4 (Conv2D) | (None, 222, 222, 64) | 640 |
| activation_6 (Activation) | (None, 222, 222, 64) | 0 |
| max_pooling2d_4 (MaxPooling 2D) | (None, 111, 111, 64) | 0 |
| conv2d_5 (Conv2D) | (None, 109, 109, 64) | 36928 |
| activation_7 (Activation) | (None, 109, 109, 64) | 0 |
| max_pooling2d_5 (MaxPooling 2D) | (None, 54, 54, 64) | 0 |
| conv2d_6 (Conv2D) | (None, 52, 52, 32) | 18464 |
| activation_8 (Activation) | (None, 52, 52, 32) | 0 |
| max_pooling2d_6 (MaxPooling 2D) | (None, 26, 26, 32) | 0 |
| conv2d_7 (Conv2D) | (None, 24, 24, 32) | 9248 |
| activation_9 (Activation) | (None, 24, 24, 32) | 0 |
| max_pooling2d_7 (MaxPooling 2D) | (None, 12, 12, 32) | 0 |
| flatten_1 (Flatten) | (None, 4608) | 0 |
| dense_2 (Dense) | (None, 64) | 294976 |
| activation_10 (Activation) | (None, 64) | 0 |
| dropout_1 (Dropout) | (None, 64) | 0 |
| dense_3 (Dense) | (None, 1) | 65 |
| activation_11 (Activation) | (None, 1) | 0 |
| Total params: 360,321 Trainable params: 360,321 Non-trainable params: 0 | | |

Figure 5.3: The Model Summary.

The proposed model consists of 4 hidden convolutional layers where the first takes (222, 222) size as input, and then, after max pooling, the next conv2d layer takes (109, 109) as input. The last layers take half of the previous layers using the same process. In addition to the convolutional layers, there are 4 max-pooling 2d layers and two dense layers, making the proposed model of 11 layers excluding the output layer. The proposed model has a total of 360,321 parameters, all of which are trainable.

5.2.2 VGG16

VGG is short for "Visual Geometry Group". This model emphasizes the depth of CNN, which was not plausible in the earlier CNN models. VGG specializes in image classification, usually working with an extensive image dataset also known as ImageNet [28].

| ConvNet Configuration | | | | | | |
|-----------------------|---------------|-------------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|--|
| А | A-LRN | В | С | D | Ε | |
| 11 | 11 | 13 | 16 | 16 | 19 | |
| weight layers | weight layers | weight layers | weight layers | weight layers | weight layers | |
| | | input (224 x 22 | 24 RGB image) | | | |
| conv3-64 | conv3-64 | conv3-64 | conv3-64 | conv3-64 | conv3-64 | |
| | LRN | conv3-64 | conv3-64 | conv3-64 | conv3-64 | |
| | | max | pool | | | |
| conv3-128 | conv3-128 | conv3-128 | conv3-128 | conv3-128 | conv3-128 | |
| | | conv3-128 | conv3-128 | conv3-128 | conv3-128 | |
| | | max | pool | | | |
| conv3-256 | conv3-256 | conv3-256 | conv3-256 | conv3-256 | conv3-256 | |
| conv3-256 | conv3-256 | conv3-256 | conv3-256 | conv3-256 | conv3-256 | |
| | | | conv1-256 | conv3-256 | conv3-256 | |
| | | | | | conv3-256 | |
| maxpool | | | | | | |
| conv3-512 | conv3-512 | conv3-512 | conv3-512 | conv3-512 | conv3-512 | |
| conv3-512 | conv3-512 | conv3-512 | conv3-512 | conv3-512 | conv3-512 | |
| | | | conv1-512 | conv3-512 | conv3-512 | |
| | | | | | conv3-512 | |
| maxpool | | | | | | |
| conv3-512 | conv3-512 | conv3-512 | conv3-512 | conv3-512 | conv3-512 | |
| conv3-512 | conv3-512 | conv3-512 | conv3-512 | conv3-512 | conv3-512 | |
| | | | conv1-512 | conv3-512 | conv3-512 | |
| | | | | | conv3-512 | |
| maxpool | | | | | | |
| FC-4096 | | | | | | |
| FC-4096 | | | | | | |
| FC-1000 | | | | | | |
| soft-max | | | | | | |

Table 5.1: VGG Model Architecture [28].

The proposed model used here is VGG16, which has 16 layers of the convolutional network. This model is more efficient and capable than AlexNet. The VGG-16 network has good accuracy even when prolonged training has minimal image data sets. The VGG model inputs a 224x224 fixed-size image in the training phase. The input taken is an RGB image. The photo is divided into pixels upon input, and each pixel is passed through a stack of CNN layers. The convolution filter size of each CNN layer is fixed at (3x3) with the same padding as the first two layers. Then, following a stride (2, 2) max pool layer, two layers with 256-layer convolution filters and filters are applied (3, 3). There is a max-pooling layer of stride (2, 2). Two hundred fifty-six filters are used in the second convolution layer (3, 3). Two sets of three convolution layers and a maximum pool layer follow. The final layer is a

softmax classifier. All hidden layers are activated with ReLU [63].

5.2.3 MobileNet

Convolutional neural networks, such as MobileNet, are ideal for mobile and embedded application domains because of their portability. In order to develop lightweight deep neural networks, MobileNets employ a simplified architecture that leverages depth-wise separable convolutions. The latency-accuracy tradeoff is simplified to just two simple global hyper-parameters. Model developers can use several different hyper-parameters to select the appropriate model size for every given problem. MobileNets can be used for item detection, fine-grain categorization, facial characteristics, and large-scale geo-localization [40].



Figure 5.4: MobileNet Architecture.

This network can reduce the number of parameters without sacrificing precision. MobileNet requires only 1/33 of the visual geometry group -16 (VGG-16) parameters to achieve the same classification accuracy as ImageNet-1000. It is structured both depth-wise (Dw) and point-wise (Pw). The Dw represents convolutional layers with 3x3 kernels, whereas the Pw represents convolutional layers with 1x1 kernels. Each convolution output is normalized using the batch normalization approach and the rectified linear unit activation function (ReLU)(Li et al., 2018) [46].

5.2.4 ResNet50

In a conventional neural network structure, after processing the input through various layers of neurons, a singular output is generated, and along with it, the loss function is calculated. This loss function is then observed, and some internal changes are made via modifying variables. A backpropagation algorithm is a must in order to do that. The algorithm starts from the end node in backpropagation and goes back to the beginning node. In this backtracking process, the function is the derivative of that particular loss function multiplied with its predecessor function. In that way, the more backward propagation goes, the lesser the gradient values become.

In the process illustrated above, it is observed that if there are more layers, eventually traversing backwards will produce an increasingly smaller value of gradient. Each iteration will be the derivative of that function multiplied by the previous function. At some point, the gradient value becomes so tiny that it almost counts as 0. That creates the phenomenon named the "Vanishing Gradient Problem". This process hinders the optimization of the neural network layers. So, the accuracy decreases significantly. In order to mitigate this problem, a model called "ResNet" which is short for residual network, was created. This takes advantage of a unique feature known as the "skip function," which effectively connects the inputs to the convolution block's output while skipping intermediate layers for more remarkable performance. In other words, the input will be connected to both the convolution layer for processing the function and the output of the convolution block.



Figure 5.5: The Residual Block.

The ResNet50 model is a 50-layer convolutional neural network (CNN). While the ResNet50 architecture is based on the ResNet34 architecture, one significant change exists. That is, the construction block was redesigned as a bottleneck because of issues about the training time necessary for the layers. This time, a three-layer stack was used rather than the prior two[63]. As a result, ResNet34's two-layer blocks were replaced with a three-layer bottleneck block, resulting in the ResNet50 architecture. This model is far more accurate than the 34-layer ResNet model. The 50-layer ResNet delivers 3.8 billion FLOPS of performance.

As depicted in the figure, the output function for ResNet y is the sum of the functions generated from the convolution layers and the input itself. The neural network aims to provide the most accurate output as close as feasible to the input. In order to make the model as accurate as possible, the goal of this structure is to make the F(x) value 0. That is how the output (y) will be equal to the input (x). Thus, making the model predict correctly.

This ResNet architecture is implemented by various neural network models for image classification. Due to the nature of different problems and accuracy expectations, there are different iterations of ResNet, which are defined by the number of layers each model has. Such as ResNet-18, ResNet-34, ResNet-50, ResNet-101, and so on. Each of them is designed with different layers and designed with different dimensions of matrices to process the data and generate output.

| Layer Name | Output Size | 18-layer | 34-layer | 50-layer | 101-layer |
|---------------|----------------|--|---|---|--|
| conv1 | 112x112 | 7x7, 64, stride 2 | | | |
| conv2_x | 56x56 | 3x3 max pool, stride 2 | | | |
| conv2_x | 56x56 | $\begin{bmatrix} 3 * 3, 64 \\ 3 * 3, 64 \end{bmatrix} x^2$ | $\begin{bmatrix} 3 * 3, 64 \\ 3 * 3, 64 \end{bmatrix} x3$ | $\begin{bmatrix} 1 * 1, 64 \\ 3 * 3, 64 \\ 1 * 1, 256 \end{bmatrix} \mathbf{x}$ | $\begin{bmatrix} 1 * 1, 64 \\ 3 * 3, 64 \\ 1 * 1, 256 \end{bmatrix} x3$ |
| conv3_x | 28x28 | $\begin{bmatrix} 3 * 3, 128 \\ 3 * 3, 128 \end{bmatrix} x^2$ | $\begin{bmatrix} 3 * 3, 128 \\ 3 * 3, 128 \end{bmatrix} x4$ | $\begin{bmatrix} 1 * 1, 128 \\ 3 * 3, 128 \\ 1 * 1, 512 \end{bmatrix} x4$ | $\begin{bmatrix} 1 * 1, 128 \\ 3 * 3, 128 \\ 1 * 1, 512 \end{bmatrix} x4$ |
| conv4_x | 14x14 | $\begin{bmatrix} 3 * 3, 256 \\ 3 * 3, 256 \end{bmatrix} x2$ | $\begin{bmatrix} 3 * 3, 256 \\ 3 * 3, 256 \end{bmatrix} x6$ | $\begin{bmatrix} 1 * 1,256\\ 3 * 3,256\\ 1 * 1,1024 \end{bmatrix} \mathbf{x} 6$ | $\begin{bmatrix} 1 * 1,256\\ 3 * 3,256\\ 1 * 1,1024 \end{bmatrix} x23$ |
| conv5_x | 7x7 | $\begin{bmatrix} 3 * 3, 512 \\ 3 * 3, 512 \end{bmatrix} x^2$ | $\begin{bmatrix} 3 * 3, 512 \\ 3 * 3, 512 \end{bmatrix} x3$ | $\begin{bmatrix} 1 * 1, 512 \\ 3 * 3, 512 \\ 1 * 1, 2048 \end{bmatrix} x3$ | $\begin{bmatrix} 1 * 1, 512 \\ 3 * 3, 512 \\ 1 * 1, 2048 \end{bmatrix} x3$ |
| | 1x1 | average pool, 1000-d fc, softmax | | | |
| FL | OPs | 1.8×10^9 | $3.6 \mathrm{x} 10^9$ | $3.8 \mathrm{x} 10^9$ | $7.6 \mathrm{x} 10^9$ |

Additionally, there are more versions of Residual Networks which are given with their layer distribution in the figure below:

Table 5.2: ResNet Models Architecture [35].

In the proposed research, the chosen dataset was Br35h. This image classification problem is implemented in ResNet50.

5.2.5 Xception

Xception is an abbreviation for "Extreme Inception". It is an architecture for convolutional neural networks that is solely composed of depthwise separable convolution layers. The network's feature extraction is based on thirty-six convolutional layers of the Xception architecture. A convolutional base will be followed by a logistic regression layer in this case, which is focused on image categorization. The logistic regression layer can be preceded by completely linked layers. In total, there are 36 convolutional layers, with the exception of the first and last layers, organized into 14 modules by linear residual connections [39]. The picture for Xception architecture is provided here.



Figure 5.6: Xception Architecture.

For the most part, the Xception architecture comprises a vertically stacked linear stack of depth-separable convolution layers linked together by residuals. This concept makes the definition and modification of the architecture much simpler; when a high-level library such as Keras is used, it only requires 30 to 40 lines of code to accomplish this.

5.2.6 InceptionV3

InceptionV3 is a widely renowned convolutional neural network architecture acquired from the Inception architecture that includes label smoothing, factorized 7 x 7 convolutions, and an auxiliary classifier to carry label information more profoundly into the network as batch normalization for sidehead layers. For more significant model adaption, the Inception V3 model (with 42 layers) uses several approaches to optimize the task, resulting in higher efficiency and less computationally expensive than InceptionV1 and InceptionV2. There are some major modifications made to Inception V3:

• Factorization into Smaller Convolutions: Assume we have a 5x5 convolutional layer with a high computational cost. As a result, the 5x5 convolutional layer was replaced with 3x3 convolutional layers to lower the computational cost.



Figure 5.7: After factorization into smaller convolutions.

• Spatial Factorization into Asymmetric Convolutions: Asymmetric convolutions are a superior alternative to factorization into smaller groups for making the model more efficient. As a result, we use a 1x3 convolution followed by a 3x1 convolution to substitute the 3x3 convolutions.



Figure 5.8: Asymmetric Convolutions.

- Utility of Auxiliary Classifiers: Using an Auxiliary classifier to enhance the convergence of very deep learning models is the goal. In very deep networks, the auxiliary classifier is primarily used to overcome the vanishing gradient problem. In the initial stages of the training, the auxiliary classifiers made no difference. However, in the end, the system with auxiliary classifiers outperformed the network without them in terms of accuracy. As a result, the auxiliary classifiers in the Inception V3 model architecture operate as a regularizer.
- Efficient Grid Size Reduction: The activation parameter of the networking filters is enhanced in the inception V3 model in order to lower the grid size

efficiently. Suppose, if we have a $(d \cdot d)$ grid with k filters, after reduction, we get a $(\frac{d}{2} \cdot \frac{d}{2})$ grid with 2k filters. This is accomplished by concatenating two concurrent blocks of convolution and pooling.



Figure 5.9: After Reducing Grid Size.

The inception V3 model has 42 layers in total, which is slightly more than the preceding inception V1 and V2 models. However, this model's efficiency is truly remarkable.

5.2.7 DenseNet121

DenseNet is a relatively recent development in visual object recognition using neural networks. DenseNet is comparatively similar to ResNet, with a few key distinctions. ResNet uses an additive technique (+) to combine the previous layer's output with the output of the subsequent layer, whereas DenseNet uses a concatenative approach to combine the output of the previous layer with the output of the subsequent layer. DenseNet was initially developed to solve the vanishing gradient phenomenon in high-level neural networks. In the simplest terms, the path between the input and output layers is longer, resulting in data loss along the way. DenseNet is a classic network type. When the composite function operation is used, the previous layer's output becomes an input for the second layer. This composite process comprises the convolution layer, the pooling layer, the batch normalization layer, and the non-linear activation layer. According to this approach, the network has L(L+1)/2 direct connections. L represents the number of layers in the architecture. DenseNet is offered in a number of configurations, including the DenseNet-121, the DenseNet-160, and the DenseNet-201. The numbers denote the number of layers in the neural network. DenseNet121 comprises 121 layers, 120 of which are convolutional and four of which are AvgPool. Since DenseNets require fewer parameters and allow for feature reuse, they result in more compact models and have exhibited greater performance and results when compared to their classic CNN or ResNet counterparts.

| Layers | Out- put Size | DenseNet- 121 | DenseNet- 169 | DenseNet- 201 | DenseNet- 264 |
|---------------------------|---------------------|--|--|--|--|
| Convolu- tion | 112x112 | 7x7 conv, stride 2 | | | |
| Pooling | 56x56 | | 3x3 max po | ool, stride 2 | |
| Dense Block (1) | 56x56 | $\begin{bmatrix} 1 * 1 conv \\ 3 * 3, conv \end{bmatrix} \mathbf{x} 6$ | $\begin{bmatrix} 1 * 1 conv \\ 3 * 3, conv \end{bmatrix} \mathbf{x} 6$ | $\begin{bmatrix} 1 * 1 conv \\ 3 * 3, conv \end{bmatrix} \mathbf{x} 6$ | $\begin{bmatrix} 1 * 1 conv \\ 3 * 3, conv \end{bmatrix} \mathbf{x} 6$ |
| Transition Layer (1) | 56x56 | 1x1 conv | | | |
| | 28x28 | 1x1 conv | | | |
| Dense Block (2) | 14x14 | $\begin{bmatrix} 1 * 1 conv \\ 3 * 3 conv \end{bmatrix} x 12$ | $\begin{bmatrix} 1 * 1 conv \\ 3 * 3 conv \end{bmatrix} x 12$ | $\begin{bmatrix} 1 * 1 conv \\ 3 * 3 conv \end{bmatrix} x 12$ | $\begin{bmatrix} 1 * 1 conv \\ 3 * 3 conv \end{bmatrix} x 12$ |
| Transition Layer (2) | 28x28 | 1x1 conv | | | |
| | 14x14 | 1x1 conv | | | |
| Dense Block (3) | 14x14 | $\begin{bmatrix} 1 * 1 conv \\ 3 * 3 conv \end{bmatrix} x 24$ | $\begin{bmatrix} 1 * 1 conv \\ 3 * 3 conv \end{bmatrix} x32$ | $\begin{bmatrix} 1 * 1 conv \\ 3 * 3 conv \end{bmatrix} x48$ | $\begin{bmatrix} 1 * 1 conv \\ 3 * 3 conv \end{bmatrix} x 64$ |
| Transition Layer (3) | 14x14 | 1x1 conv | | | |
| | 7x7 | 1x1 conv | | | |
| Dense Block (3) | 14x14 | $\begin{bmatrix} 1 * 1 conv \\ 3 * 3 conv \end{bmatrix} x 16$ | $\begin{bmatrix} 1 * 1 conv \\ 3 * 3 conv \end{bmatrix} x32$ | $\begin{bmatrix} 1 * 1 conv \\ 3 * 3 conv \end{bmatrix} x 32$ | $\begin{bmatrix} 1 * 1 conv \\ 3 * 3 conv \end{bmatrix} x48$ |
| Classifica- tion Laver | | average pool, 1000-d fc, softmax | | | |
| | | 1.8×10^9 | $3.6 \mathrm{x} 10^9$ | $3.8 \mathrm{x} 10^9$ | 7.6×10^9 |

 Table 5.3: DenseNet Models Architecture.

5.3 Evaluation Method

5.3.1 Graphical Analysis

To compare the accuracy and Receiver Operating Characteristics (ROC) curve of these seven models, including the proposed model, we will use the model accuracy by representing "Train accuracy vs. Validation accuracy curve" to better understand how accurately the models are training and predicting. This curve is based on the rate of change with increasing epochs. On a graph, we will plot the accuracy and loss for each epoch we set during training and testing the model, with the number of epochs on the x-axis and accuracy on the y-axis. The model accuracy graph will analyze 'Train Accuracy' and 'Test Accuracy.' Moreover, 10 epochs were set for our proposed ones, MobileNet, VGG16, Xception, InceptionV3, DenseNet121, and 20

epochs for ResNet50 models in our model implementation.

5.3.2 Confusion Matrix

In order to evaluate a classifier, several metrics can be used to visualize it. In that case, accuracy is used most widely. However, it only works if the test dataset contains an equal number of samples from each class of individuals. However, while working with a dataset where the distribution is uneven, more precise performance metrics can be used, such as 'Confusion Matrices' [67]. In our case, we will try to distinguish all the true and false predicted results through a confusion matrix to get a better visual understanding of how the machine is responding to certain classes.



Figure 5.10: Basic 2x2 Confusion Matrix.

VGG16

Here,

- **TP** (**True Positive**): The predicted value matches with the actual value where the actual value was positive (P) and the predicted value was also positive (P).
- **TN (True Negative)**: The predicted value matches with the actual value where the actual value was negative (N) and the predicted value was also negative (N).
- **FP** (**False Positive**): The predicted value does not match with the actual value where the actual value was negative (N) and the predicted value was positive (P).
- **FN (False Negative)**: The predicted value does not match with the actual value where the actual value was positive (P) and the predicted value was negative (N).

Using this confusion matrix, we will get our classification report which is a great way to compare several models. In the Br35h dataset, there are two classes, yes and

no, with 3,000 images. In that, we will use a 2x2 confusion matrix to classify the images into 2 classes and find their classification report. The classification report will have the following attributes:

• **Classification Accuracy**: It is a ratio of number of correctly classified data samples to the total amount of data.

$$Accuracy = \frac{TP + TN}{TP + TN + FP + FN}$$
(5.1)

• Recall or Sensitivity: It shows how many of the actual positive cases the models were able to predict. It is useful when the cases of false negative (FN) is high than false positive (FP). It is the ratio of true positive values to the actual result.

$$Recall = \frac{TP}{TP + FN} \tag{5.2}$$

• **Precision**: It shows how many of the correctly predicted results are positive. It is useful when the cases of false positive (FP) is high than false negative (FN). It is the ratio of true positive values to the predicted results.

$$Precision = \frac{TP}{TP + FP} \tag{5.3}$$

• **F1-Score**: It's the harmonic mean of precision and recall, which gives a composite picture of the two measures. When precision equals recall, the F1-score reaches its maximum value.

$$F1 - Score = \frac{2 * precision * recall}{precision + recall}$$
(5.4)

Chapter 6

Experimental Results and Analysis

For performance evaluation, we have taken model accuracy, model loss, recall and precision under consideration along with the confusion matrix. We have used 10 epochs for VGG16, InceptionV3, MobileNet; 20 epochs for ResNet50, Xception and DenseNet121 model. An epoch here denotes one complete pass for the training data in context of machine learning. And finally, for the proposed model after thoroughly tweaking and observing, we have decided to run the model for 20 epochs.

6.1 Result Analysis

6.1.1 Graphical Analysis

This paper conducted total of three different graphical analysis in each CNN model. Here, the first graph represents two distinctive curves where one indicates 'Validation accuracy' and the other one is 'Training Accuracy'. Training accuracy means when a model is trained through a partial part of the dataset. After the model start training, the percentage of training data can be identified. This number is relatively close to 100 percent because the model has already seen those data whilst training. On the other hand, validation accuracy is purely generated from the test dataset which has not been used while training. After the training is completed the test dataset is then fed into the model to identify and classify properly. Furthermore, the percentage of the corrected prediction is represented in validation accuracy.

In the same way the second graphical analysis shows two lines differentiated by two colors. 'Training Loss' is indicated by the red curve and 'Validation Loss' is represented by the blue curve. A loss is a representation of how badly model is predicting individual data. With gradual epochs the model loss systematically comes down as the model becomes more accurate.

ROC (Receiver Operating Characteristic) curve is the graphical representation of a CNN model which indicates the performance of individual classification model at all possible classification thresholds. The X-axis represents false positive (FP) rate and Y-axis represents true positive (TP) rate. The slope of TP vs FP represents how accurately the model is classifying every individual samples as positive where the result is actually positive vs when the model is predicting positive when the actual value is negative. The ROC curve must be upwards meaning slope should be greater than 1 to indicate that the model is predicting accurately throughout the whole process. In most of the models, we can see a sharp rise within the initial values closing in almost 1.0 and becoming stagnant from there to the end of the graph. The dotted line represents how much area is under the curve which is the deciding value that's used to measure whether the model is producing effective result or not.

Model Accuracy Model Loss 1 (Validation Accuracy Validation Loss 0.7 Training Accuracy Training Loss 0.9 0.6 0.5 0.8 accuracy S 0.4 0.7 0.3 0.6 0.2 0.1 0.5 ò 4 6 4 6 Epoch epoch Figure 6.1: VGG16 Model Accuracy. Figure 6.2: VGG16 Model Loss.

VGG16



With 10 epochs the VGG16 model's accuracy rose from 50% training accuracy at epoch 1 to 97% training accuracy at epoch 10. Although, the validation accuracy started a bit higher from 58% and rose up to almost the same as training accuracy. The loss curve of both training and validation starts at around 70% and drops down to almost 5% after.



Figure 6.4: VGG16 ROC Curve.

The ROC curve of VGG16 starts with a sharp rise because of the high TP vs FP value and the TP value steadily increases to almost 1.0 at the end thus creating the area under the curve to 0.97 which means 97% of the total surface available indicating the model is very accurate.

ResNet50



Figure 6.5: ResNet50 Model Accuracy.

With 20 epochs the ResNet50 model's accuracy rose from 77% training accuracy at epoch 1 to almost 98.1% training accuracy at epoch 10. Although, the validation accuracy started a bit higher from 43% and rose up to almost the same as training accuracy that is 94.5%.



Figure 6.6: ResNet50 ROC curve.

The ROC curve of ResNet50 starts with a sharp rise because of the high TP vs FP value and the TP value steadily increases to almost 1.0 at the end thus creating the area under the curve to 0.96 which means 96% of the total surface available indicating the model is very accurate.

InceptionV3





Figure 6.9: InceptionV3 Accuracy and Model Loss Curve.

With 10 epochs and 38 steps per epoch, the InceptionV3 model's accuracy rose from 88% training accuracy at epoch 1 to 99% training accuracy at epoch 10. Although, the validation accuracy started a bit higher from 65% and rose up to almost 98%. The loss curve of training starts at around 25% and drops down to almost 1%. The loss validation curve starts at almost 200% and rapidly drops down to 11%.



Figure 6.10: InceptionV3 ROC Curve.

The ROC curve of InceptionV3 starts with a sharp rise because of the high TP vs FP value and the TP value steadily increases to almost 1.0 at the end thus creating the area under the curve to 0.98 which means 98% of the total surface available indicating the model is very accurate.

Xception





Figure 6.13: Xception Model Accuracy and Model Loss Curve.

With 20 epochs and 75 steps per epoch, the Xception model's accuracy rose from 90% training accuracy at epoch 1 to 99% training accuracy at epoch 20. Although, the validation accuracy started a bit higher from 95.7% and rose up to almost the same as training accuracy that is 99%. The loss curve of training starts at around 25% and drops down to almost 2% after 20 epochs. The loss validation curve starts at almost 14% and drops down to 5%.



Figure 6.14: Xception ROC Curve.

The ROC curve of Xception starts with a sharp rise because of the high TP vs FP value and the TP value steadily increases to almost 1.0 at the end thus creating the area under the curve to 0.9893 which means 98.93% of the total surface available indicating the model is very accurate.

MobileNet





Figure 6.17: MobileNet Accuracy and Model Loss Curve.

With 10 epochs and 75 steps per epoch, the MobileNet model's accuracy rose from 83% training accuracy at epoch 1 to 99% training accuracy at epoch 10. Although, the validation accuracy started a bit higher from 88% and rose up to almost the same as training accuracy that is 99%. The loss curve of training starts at around 36% and drops down to almost 1%. The loss validation curve starts at almost 31% and drops down to 4.5%.



Figure 6.18: MobileNet ROC Curve.

The ROC curve of MobileNet starts with a sharp rise because of the high TP vs FP value and the TP value steadily increases to almost 1.0 at the end thus creating the area under the curve to 0.9917 which means 99.17% of the total surface available indicating the model is very accurate.

DenseNet121



Figure 6.21: DenseNet121 Model Accuracy and Model Loss Curve.

With 20 epochs and 75 steps per epoch, the DenseNet121 model's accuracy rose from 93% training accuracy at epoch 1 to 99% training accuracy at epoch 20. Although, the validation accuracy started a bit higher from 63% and rose up to almost 97.5%. The loss curve of training starts at around 20% and drops down to almost 1% after 20 epochs. The loss validation curve starts at almost 15% and drops down to 1%.



Figure 6.22: DenseNet121 ROC Curve.

The ROC curve of DenseNet121 starts with a sharp rise because of the high TP vs FP value and the TP value steadily increases to almost 1.0 TP at the end thus creating the area under the curve to 0.9854 which means 98.54% of the total surface available indicating the model is very accurate.

Proposed Model



Figure 6.23: Proposed Model Accuracy Curve.

Figure 6.24: Proposed Model Loss Curve.

Figure 6.25: Proposed model's Accuracy and Model Loss Curve.

With 20 epochs and 150 steps per epoch, the proposed model's accuracy rose from 65% training accuracy at epoch 1 to 97.5% training accuracy at epoch 20. The batch size was set to 16. Although, the validation accuracy started a bit higher from 78% and rose up to almost 96%. The loss curve of training starts at around 65% and drops down to almost 0.5%. The loss validation curve starts at almost 50% and drops down to 21%.



Figure 6.26: Proposed model's ROC Curve.

The ROC curve of proposed model starts with a sharp rise because of the high TP vs FP value and the TP value steadily increases to almost 1.0 at the end thus creating the area under the curve to 0.9581 which means 95.81% of the total surface available indicating the model is very accurate.

6.1.2 Confusion Matrix

The performance of CNN models among our proposed ones, ResNet50, MobileNet, VGG16, Xception, InceptionV3, and DenseNet121 is compared in details using confusion matrices for two binary classes 0 and 1 where 0 means no and 1 means yes. The diagonal elements reflect the correctly categorized classes, whereas anything off the diagonal indicates an erroneous classification. The confusion matrix is divided into three axes: (i)Prediction label (class) (ii)True label and (iii)The value of the heat map (color). The prediction label and true label indicate the prediction class with which we are working. The diagonal of the matrix denotes areas in the matrix where the forecast and the truth are identical; this is where we want to darken the heat map.

VGG16

Figure 6.27: VGG16 Confusion Matrix.

Here, it is observed that the true positive (TP) value is almost 340 and true negative (TN) value is almost 240 and some slight errors counted as total 16.

ResNet50



Figure 6.28: ResNet50 Confusion Matrix.

Here, it is observed that the true positive (TP) value is almost 320 and true negative (TN) value is almost 250 and some slight errors counted as total 25.



Inception V3

Figure 6.29: InceptionV3 Confusion Matrix.

Here, it is observed that the true positive (TP) value is almost 340 and true negative (TN) value is almost 250 and some slight errors counted as total 11.

Xception



Figure 6.30: Xception Confusion Matrix.

Here, its observed that the true positive (TP) value is almost 340 and true negative (TN) value is almost 250 and some slight errors counted as total 6.

MobileNet



Figure 6.31: MobileNet Confusion Matrix.

Here, its observed that the true positive (TP) value is almost 340 and true negative (TN) value is almost 260 and some slight errors counted as total 5.
DenseNet121



Figure 6.32: DenseNet121 Confusion Matrix.

Here, its observed that the true positive (TP) value is almost 340 and true negative (TN) value is almost 250 and some slight errors counted as total 9.



Proposed CNN Model

Figure 6.33: Proposed model's Confusion Matrix.

Here, its observed that the true positive (TP) value is almost 320 and true negative (TN) value is almost 250 and some slight errors counted as total 26.

| Architectures | Precision | Recall | F1-score | $\begin{array}{c} \text{Support} \\ (0/1 \text{out} \\ \text{of 600}) \end{array}$ | Accuracy |
|-------------------|-----------|--------|----------|---|----------|
| Proposed Model | 95% | 96% | 95% | 343/257 | 96% |
| ResNet50 | 98% | 97% | 98% | 343/257 | 94.5% |
| MobileNet | 99% | 99% | 99% | 343/257 | 99% |
| VGG16 | 97% | 97% | 98% | 343/257 | 97% |
| Xception | 99% | 98% | 99% | 343/257 | 99% |
| InceptionV3 | 98% | 97% | 98% | 343/257 | 98% |
| DenseNet121 | 98% | 99% | 98% | 343/257 | 98% |

6.2 Result Comparison

Table 6.1: Comparison table among the Proposed Model, ResNet50, MobileNet, VGG16, Xception, InceptionV3 and DenseNet Models.



Figure 6.34: Accuracy Analysis.

As the table shows, we compared the performance of the proposed model against the six renowned pre-trained models. The dataset used for each model is Br35H [65]. It is observed that our proposed model has achieved accuracy of 96% which is comparable with other models. Our precision and recall percentage is also on par with other models. During the testing and tweaking phase, the proposed model peaked at 97.6% in some epochs. Thus, it is solidifying our proposed model as a standard model. To be noted, our constructed model took almost half the time to train and test compared to the other models. This proves that our intention to make a simplistic yet accurate model is paving the path for future improvements.

Chapter 7

Conclusion and Future Works

7.1 Conclusion

Among medical professionals, brain tumors are a constant topic of conversation. The sooner a patient is diagnosed, the better their prognosis. The Br35H has been successfully used in this paper to build a CNN model to address this issue. Implementing this technique, we built computer vision without spending much time or money on computational resources. In order to make data training as simple as possible for systems with less than optimal processing capability, this is a smaller depth model with fewer parameters. We filtered the images dataset using Gaussian filtering to make it more accessible in our model, then trained our model on the dataset. To ensure the suggested model's integrity and accuracy, a total of six different CNN models, including VGG16, ResNet50, MobileNet, DenseNet121, InceptionV3 Xception, were trained via transfer learning. After the training period is done, it is found that the proposed model has achieved more than 96.7%, which was almost on par with the other pre-trained models. Furthermore, with some extensive modification, the model reached over 97.6% accuracy in between 15 to 20 epochs. The model's run time was considerably faster than the other model, which was our initial goal. Thus, by altering some weight distribution and adding different layers, we hope to achieve an even more accurate and reliable model which can be widely used in different datasets further to broaden the scope of implementations of our proposed model.

7.2 Challenges

7.2.1 Computational power

Due to the lack of power and being closer to the on-campus computer laboratory, the available computational power to process this big data set with 3000 images was very challenging. Available graphics computational power was not enough to run specific algorithms such as VGG19, ResNet101, and other models. While executing the VGG16 model, right after the training phase was done, the model crashed. So, the prediction run was not successful. Then we used Google's provided computational server using "Google Colab", and then the expected results were finally retrieved

7.2.2 Excessive training time

It is a consequential effect from the computational power issues. As the computational power available for us was not up to the mark, the run time was very long for each model to train and test. In some cases, each epoch took over an hour to complete. Due to this slow training time, the research progress for the team was hindered. We hope to resolve these two issues for running other CNN models for future comparisons and analysis.

7.3 Future Works

Currently, we are able to fabricate a CNN model by implementing convolutional layers, activation function, max pooling, dense, and various other methods to make our model more accurate and make sure that the training time is very short. Our future goal is to add more layers with different parameters tweaking to make the model's accuracy even higher. Another future implementation of this model will be to test its accuracy by fitting it into a more challenging dataset such as OASIS and modifying it accordingly. Furthermore, this model is based on binary classification. In the near future, this research intends to implement categorical classifications to make it accessible to different categories of the dataset and also make a user interface that general people can use to help predict their image classification after training the model.

Bibliography

- [1] D. E. Rumelhart, G. E. Hinton, and R. J. Williams, "Learning representations by back-propagating errors," *nature*, vol. 323, no. 6088, pp. 533–536, 1986.
- [2] L. O. Chua and T. Roska, "Stability of a class of nonreciprocal cellular neural networks," *IEEE Transactions on Circuits and Systems*, vol. 37, no. 12, pp. 1520–1527, 1990.
- [3] L. Chua and T. Roska, "Cellular neural networks with nonlinear and delaytype template elements," in *Proc. 1990 IEEE int. workshop on cellular neural networks and their applications*, 1990, pp. 12–25.
- [4] E. R. Kandel, J. H. Schwartz, and T. M. Jessell, "Principles of neural science (3d edition)," Appleton & Lange Norwalk, CT, 1991.
- [5] L. O. Chua and T. Roska, "The cnn paradigm," *IEEE Transactions on Circuits and Systems I: Fundamental Theory and Applications*, vol. 40, no. 3, pp. 147–156, 1993.
- [6] W. Heiligenberg and T. Roska, "On biological sensory information processing principles relevant to cellular neural networks," *Cellular neural networks*, pp. 201–210, 1993.
- [7] F. Girosi, M. Jones, and T. Poggio, "Regularization theory and neural networks architectures," *Neural computation*, vol. 7, no. 2, pp. 219–269, 1995.
- [8] G. E. Hinton, P. Dayan, B. J. Frey, and R. M. Neal, "The" wake-sleep" algorithm for unsupervised neural networks," *Science*, vol. 268, no. 5214, pp. 1158– 1161, 1995.
- [9] G.-B. Huang and H. A. Babri, "Upper bounds on the number of hidden neurons in feedforward networks with arbitrary bounded nonlinear activation functions," *IEEE transactions on neural networks*, vol. 9, no. 1, pp. 224–229, 1998.
- [10] Y. Le, L. Bottou, G. Orr, and K. Muller, "Lecun y. efficient backprop in neural networks: Tricks of the trade," 1998.
- [11] Y. LeCun, L. Bottou, Y. Bengio, and P. Haffner, "Gradient-based learning applied to document recognition," *Proceedings of the IEEE*, vol. 86, no. 11, pp. 2278–2324, 1998.
- [12] B. Schölkopf, A. J. Smola, F. Bach, et al., Learning with kernels: support vector machines, regularization, optimization, and beyond. MIT press, 2002.
- [13] P. Y. Simard, D. Steinkraus, J. C. Platt, et al., "Best practices for convolutional neural networks applied to visual document analysis.," in *Icdar*, vol. 3, 2003.

- [14] S.-C. Wang, "Artificial neural network," in *Interdisciplinary computing in java programming*, Springer, 2003, pp. 81–100.
- [15] L. Fei-Fei, R. Fergus, and P. Perona, "Learning generative visual models from few training examples: An incremental bayesian approach tested on 101 object categories," in 2004 conference on computer vision and pattern recognition workshop, IEEE, 2004, pp. 178–178.
- [16] Y. LeCun, F. J. Huang, and L. Bottou, "Learning methods for generic object recognition with invariance to pose and lighting," in *Proceedings of the 2004 IEEE Computer Society Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*, 2004. CVPR 2004., IEEE, vol. 2, 2004, pp. II–104.
- [17] J. Deng, W. Dong, R. Socher, L.-J. Li, K. Li, and L. Fei-Fei, "Imagenet: A large-scale hierarchical image database," in 2009 IEEE conference on computer vision and pattern recognition, Ieee, 2009, pp. 248–255.
- [18] V. Nair and G. E. Hinton, "Rectified linear units improve restricted boltzmann machines," in *Icml*, 2010.
- [19] D. Scherer, A. Müller, and S. Behnke, "Evaluation of pooling operations in convolutional architectures for object recognition," in *International conference* on artificial neural networks, Springer, 2010, pp. 92–101.
- [20] A. Krizhevsky, I. Sutskever, and G. E. Hinton, "Imagenet classification with deep convolutional neural networks," *Advances in neural information processing systems*, vol. 25, pp. 1097–1105, 2012.
- [21] D. Costarelli and R. Spigler, "Multivariate neural network operators with sigmoidal activation functions," *Neural Networks*, vol. 48, pp. 72–77, 2013.
- [22] L. Bottou, "From machine learning to machine reasoning," Machine learning, vol. 94, no. 2, pp. 133–149, 2014.
- [23] J. Jin, A. Dundar, and E. Culurciello, "Flattened convolutional neural networks for feedforward acceleration," *arXiv preprint arXiv:1412.5474*, 2014.
- [24] J. Jin, K. Fu, and C. Zhang, "Traffic sign recognition with hinge loss trained convolutional neural networks," *IEEE Transactions on Intelligent Transportation Systems*, vol. 15, no. 5, pp. 1991–2000, 2014.
- [25] D. P. Kingma and J. Ba, "Adam: A method for stochastic optimization," arXiv preprint arXiv:1412.6980, 2014.
- [26] D. P. Kingma, S. Mohamed, D. J. Rezende, and M. Welling, "Semi-supervised learning with deep generative models," in Advances in neural information processing systems, 2014, pp. 3581–3589.
- [27] A. Krizhevsky, "One weird trick for parallelizing convolutional neural networks," arXiv preprint arXiv:1404.5997, 2014.
- [28] K. Simonyan and A. Zisserman, "Very deep convolutional networks for largescale image recognition," arXiv preprint arXiv:1409.1556, 2014.
- [29] N. Srivastava, G. Hinton, A. Krizhevsky, I. Sutskever, and R. Salakhutdinov, "Dropout: A simple way to prevent neural networks from overfitting," *The journal of machine learning research*, vol. 15, no. 1, pp. 1929–1958, 2014.

- [30] S. Ioffe and C. Szegedy, "Batch normalization: Accelerating deep network training by reducing internal covariate shift," in *International conference on machine learning*, PMLR, 2015, pp. 448–456.
- [31] Y. LeCun, Y. Bengio, and G. Hinton, "Deep learning," *nature*, vol. 521, no. 7553, pp. 436–444, 2015.
- [32] C. Szegedy, W. Liu, Y. Jia, et al., "Going deeper with convolutions," in Proceedings of the IEEE conference on computer vision and pattern recognition, 2015, pp. 1–9.
- [33] B. Bayar and M. C. Stamm, "A deep learning approach to universal image manipulation detection using a new convolutional layer," in *Proceedings of* the 4th ACM workshop on information hiding and multimedia security, 2016, pp. 5–10.
- [34] I. Goodfellow, Y. Bengio, and A. Courville, *Deep learning*. MIT press, 2016.
- [35] K. He, X. Zhang, S. Ren, and J. Sun, "Deep residual learning for image recognition," in *Proceedings of the IEEE conference on computer vision and pattern* recognition, 2016, pp. 770–778.
- [36] E. Maggiori, Y. Tarabalka, G. Charpiat, and P. Alliez, "Convolutional neural networks for large-scale remote-sensing image classification," *IEEE Transactions on geoscience and remote sensing*, vol. 55, no. 2, pp. 645–657, 2016.
- [37] P. Moeskops, M. A. Viergever, A. M. Mendrik, L. S. De Vries, M. J. Benders, and I. Išgum, "Automatic segmentation of mr brain images with a convolutional neural network," *IEEE transactions on medical imaging*, vol. 35, no. 5, pp. 1252–1261, 2016.
- [38] E. Walach and L. Wolf, "Learning to count with cnn boosting," in *European* conference on computer vision, Springer, 2016, pp. 660–676.
- [39] F. Chollet, "Xception: Deep learning with depthwise separable convolutions," in Proceedings of the IEEE conference on computer vision and pattern recognition, 2017, pp. 1251–1258.
- [40] A. G. Howard, M. Zhu, B. Chen, et al., "Mobilenets: Efficient convolutional neural networks for mobile vision applications," arXiv preprint arXiv:1704.04861, 2017.
- [41] K. J. Johnson, J. Schwartzbaum, C. Kruchko, et al., "Brain tumor epidemiology in the era of precision medicine: The 2017 brain tumor epidemiology consortium meeting report," *Clinical neuropathology*, vol. 36, no. 6, p. 255, 2017.
- [42] E. Lima, X. Sun, J. Dong, H. Wang, Y. Yang, and L. Liu, "Learning and transferring convolutional neural network knowledge to ocean front recognition," *IEEE Geoscience and Remote Sensing Letters*, vol. 14, no. 3, pp. 354–358, 2017.
- [43] H. Phan, L. Hertel, M. Maass, P. Koch, R. Mazur, and A. Mertins, "Improved audio scene classification based on label-tree embeddings and convolutional neural networks," *IEEE/ACM Transactions on Audio, Speech, and Language Processing*, vol. 25, no. 6, pp. 1278–1290, 2017.

- [44] E. Hoseinzade and S. Haratizadeh, "Cnnpred: Cnn-based stock market prediction using several data sources," *arXiv preprint arXiv:1810.08923*, 2018.
- [45] S. Indolia, A. K. Goswami, S. P. Mishra, and P. Asopa, "Conceptual understanding of convolutional neural network-a deep learning approach," *Proceedia computer science*, vol. 132, pp. 679–688, 2018.
- [46] Y. Li, H. Huang, Q. Xie, L. Yao, and Q. Chen, "Research on a surface defect detection algorithm based on mobilenet-ssd," *Applied Sciences*, vol. 8, no. 9, p. 1678, 2018.
- [47] H. Mohsen, E.-S. A. El-Dahshan, E.-S. M. El-Horbaty, and A.-B. M. Salem, "Classification using deep learning neural networks for brain tumors," *Future Computing and Informatics Journal*, vol. 3, no. 1, pp. 68–71, 2018, ISSN: 2314-7288. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fcij.2017.12.001. [Online]. Available: https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S2314728817300636.
- [48] J. Seetha and S. S. Raja, "Brain tumor classification using convolutional neural networks," *Biomedical & Pharmacology Journal*, vol. 11, no. 3, p. 1457, 2018.
- [49] M. Wang, S. Lu, D. Zhu, J. Lin, and Z. Wang, "A high-speed and lowcomplexity architecture for softmax function in deep learning," in 2018 IEEE Asia Pacific Conference on Circuits and Systems (APCCAS), IEEE, 2018, pp. 223–226.
- [50] Y. Wu and K. He, "Group normalization," in *Proceedings of the European* conference on computer vision (ECCV), 2018, pp. 3–19.
- [51] M. T. Abed, S. A. Nabil, U. Fatema, et al., "Early prediction of alzheimer's disease using convolutional neural network," Ph.D. dissertation, Brac University, 2019.
- [52] A. B. Amir, U. H. Nisa, A. A. Shafi, M. Reza, et al., "Traffic sign recognition using deep learning," Ph.D. dissertation, Brac University, 2019.
- [53] S. Das, O. F. M. R. R. Aranya, and N. N. Labiba, "Brain tumor classification using convolutional neural network," in 2019 1st International Conference on Advances in Science, Engineering and Robotics Technology (ICASERT), 2019, pp. 1–5. DOI: 10.1109/ICASERT.2019.8934603.
- [54] S. Deepak and P. Ameer, "Brain tumor classification using deep cnn features via transfer learning," *Computers in biology and medicine*, vol. 111, p. 103 345, 2019.
- [55] J. J. Graber, C. S. Cobbs, and J. J. Olson, "Congress of neurological surgeons systematic review and evidence-based guidelines on the use of stereotactic radiosurgery in the treatment of adults with metastatic brain tumors," *Neurosurgery*, vol. 84, no. 3, E168–E170, 2019.
- [56] A. Khanna, D. Gupta, S. Bhattacharyya, V. Snasel, J. Platos, and A. E. Hassanien, "International conference on innovative computing and communications," *Proceedings of ICICC*, vol. 2, 2019.
- [57] Y. Liu, J. Zhang, C. Gao, J. Qu, and L. Ji, "Natural-logarithm-rectified activation function in convolutional neural networks," in 2019 IEEE 5th International Conference on Computer and Communications (ICCC), IEEE, 2019, pp. 2000–2008.

- [58] S. Ma, T. Huang, S. Li, J. Huang, T. Ma, and J. Liu, Mcsm-wri: A smallscale motion recognition method using wifi based on multi-scale convolutional neural network, Sep. 2019. [Online]. Available: https://www.mdpi.com/1424-8220/19/19/4162/htm.
- [59] X. Ou, P. Yan, Y. Zhang, et al., "Moving object detection method via resnet-18 with encoder-decoder structure in complex scenes," *IEEE Access*, vol. 7, pp. 108152–108160, 2019.
- [60] A. P. Patel, J. L. Fisher, E. Nichols, et al., "Global, regional, and national burden of brain and other cns cancer, 1990–2016: A systematic analysis for the global burden of disease study 2016," *The Lancet Neurology*, vol. 18, no. 4, pp. 376–393, 2019.
- [61] H. H. Sultan, N. M. Salem, and W. Al-Atabany, "Multi-classification of brain tumor images using deep neural network," *IEEE Access*, vol. 7, pp. 69215– 69225, 2019. DOI: 10.1109/ACCESS.2019.2919122.
- [62] H. Gholamalinezhad and H. Khosravi, "Pooling methods in deep neural networks, a review," arXiv preprint arXiv:2009.07485, 2020.
- [63] D. Theckedath and R. Sedamkar, "Detecting affect states using vgg16, resnet50 and se-resnet50 networks," *SN Computer Science*, vol. 1, no. 2, pp. 1–7, 2020.
- [64] D. Ezzat, A. E. Hassanien, and H. A. Ella, "An optimized deep learning architecture for the diagnosis of covid-19 disease based on gravitational search optimization," *Applied Soft Computing*, vol. 98, p. 106742, 2021.
- [65] A. Naseer, T. Yasir, A. Azhar, T. Shakeel, and K. Zafar, "Computer-aided brain tumor diagnosis: Performance evaluation of deep learner cnn using augmented brain mri," *International Journal of Biomedical Imaging*, vol. 2021, 2021.
- [66] A. Rehman, M. A. Khan, T. Saba, Z. Mehmood, U. Tariq, and N. Ayesha, "Microscopic brain tumor detection and classification using 3d cnn and feature selection architecture," *Microscopy Research and Technique*, vol. 84, no. 1, pp. 133–149, 2021.
- [67] O. Sevli, "Performance comparison of different pre-trained deep learning models in classifying brain mri images," Acta Infologica, vol. 5, no. 1, pp. 141–154, 2021.
- [68] [Online]. Available: https://www.superdatascience.com/blogs/convolutionalneural-networks-cnn-step-1b-relu-layer/.
- [69] Cnn image classification / image classification using cnn, https://tinyurl.com/ 27x8rxwd.